Subject: Thank You!

Posted by DMW on Thu, 08 Jul 2004 18:00:11 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Wayne:Thank you for this new Forum! I'll definitely post here and encourage others to do so. Would it be OK for a small, startup tube guitar amp company owner to plug his new product line here? I don't mean that he would spam the readership, but just include a link to his site in a post. I'll also use this opportunity to thank you for your generosity, in general. I certainly appreciate the technical information you share with the readers.

Subject: Re: Thank You!

Posted by Paul Marossy on Thu, 08 Jul 2004 18:56:25 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Personally, I think if it is tastefully done, it shouldn't be a problem. I see links to commercial websites all the time. Of course, the posters are usually the ones who own the website...But, then again, I am not the last word on the subject. :)

Subject: Re: Thank You!

Posted by Wayne Parham on Thu, 08 Jul 2004 19:38:17 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Nobody wants to see the place become too strict, but then again, nobody wants it to be a free-for-all either. Here are the rules:

Posting Rules

Subject: Re: Thank You!

Posted by Brian Wallace on Thu, 08 Jul 2004 22:28:29 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I'd just like to say thanks for inviting me to post here. I hope to see some interesting posts in the future. :)Brian
Marstran.com

Subject: Re: Thank You!

I'm glad you have visited, and I hope you'll keep coming back. It looks to me like you have the low-down scoop on the iron.

Subject: Re: Thank You!

Posted by Brian Wallace on Fri, 09 Jul 2004 23:51:13 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I know a little bit about iron and Marshall's. ;) I'll check in here from day to day to see what's up.Brian

Marstran.com

Subject: Re: Thank You!

Posted by Wayne Parham on Sun, 11 Jul 2004 05:34:12 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I understand that the transformers on the website you linked are for Marshall amps. But I'm wondering what the difference is between them and the iron used for hi-fi applications? Are there response differences? Perhaps less need for response at frequency extremes? Or are they made "industrial strength" for high current through the windings and things like that?

Subject: Re: Thank You!

Posted by martinleewin on Tue, 20 Jul 2004 03:11:15 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi again Wayne, Hello Brian & good to see this forum on its feet!Brian seems quite up on the Marshall iron and doesn't mind publishing useful data for those who might need it. I, too, recently saw Brian's site earlier while researching an upcoming amp project. I found a Bell Carillon PA amp pushing two 6CA7's (USA version of EL34) to 75 woppers. Hmmmmm.... Anyway;To answer simply, typical Vintage guitar amp bandwidth is only about 60 Hz to 6kHz. Most vintage amp makers would buy the cheapest output iron that got the job done and High Fidelity was not on the spec sheet. They are generally stronger reluctance cores that naturally add harmonic and intermod distortion. Some would add more secondary windings for more 5-10% more numerical (salesman watts) output at the further expense of highs. Construction varies a lot and some of the flimsiest looking little OPT's will sound the coolest (because they are so nonlinear). Modern era tube designs are becoming more full range. Boutique manufacturers can afford to put higher

quality components with the prices they can get. Some (actually do) go for wider bandwidth and more controlled distortion characteristics! Most higher fidelity design goes toward Fenderish clean tones as one would expect. Sometimes the faster impulse response alone while using limited BW speakers is enough to give more bite and growl. Brighter and deeper 12" speakers are becoming popular as they are more buildable and adopted for modern playing styles. Some boutique makers are gravitating to smaller drivers with more high end. Triple and guad 8" driver combo models are appearing. Classic plexi era Marshalls, in fact, initially came with 5881 or 6L6 output tubes before settling on the rightously grainy EL34 powerplant. Clean tubes--just not "chimey" enough it seems. Plexis will play with relatively little preamp and output stage distortion, before onset of clipping, but nobody cares for their "clean" sound-- preferring to run LOUD enough to get OT distortion. "Chimey" is mostly upper mid emphasis with a touch of highish sparkle which is tough to characterize spectrally. I suspect there is some low order IMD from light tube bias that accounts for the old sparkle in Leo's eyes. Marshalls sound too brittle with light tube bias. Increasing negative feedback to compensate makes an overdriven sound problematic. What is a poor player to do? An ultralinear Marshall Silver Jubilee 75 watter? I know, Jim never made one-- I think he should have. My "Slasher 75" experiment will see if a Marshall design can sound as good clean as it will sound distorted. It will have the option to run ultralinear (min thd/imd), pentode (max power), or triode (max thd). Then I can try different tube types and biases. I settled on the 2550 series preamp topology because it has plenty o' gain to spare for preamp tube drive, a much richer EQ and pretty decent (compared to JCM series) solid state diode clip circuitry modules. Besides, any fool can build a decent 50W or 100W Marshall clone if they got good iron-- eh, Brian? This Slasher (any association with famous endorsers is, of course, purely intentional and legal up to a point... hey it could be that GnR guy, it could be Freddy K.?) will add footswitch capability to the rhythm circuit crunch in addition to the lead boost. A few more tweaks to allow level control between rhythm/lead boost, a master volume After the phase splitter and... the generally accepted shortcomings of a very nice guitar amp should be tweaked away. Even if this amp can't do all the tricks it will be the only one on my block no matter where I travel. Now I will have to build that ultimate Fender guitar to test the Slasher. My Les Paul, even with split tap humbuckers, won't play clean for very long. Just got a pretty TeleMex body on ebay. I will start the articles soon for the Projects section of wizard-labs.com for any interested voyeurs. I am open to suggestions for improvement. Over but not out, Martin W.

Subject: Re: Thank You!

Posted by Wayne Parham on Tue, 20 Jul 2004 16:37:28 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Interesting and informative reply, thanks. So if I understand you, it appears that one of the biggest differences between guitar amps and hifi amps is the transformers used.

Subject: Re: Thank You!

Posted by martinleewin on Tue, 20 Jul 2004 18:32:42 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

That and equalization. Guitar amp bass, middle and treble eq center frequencies are much different and with less loss. There is often simple low pass filtering added to prevent oscillation. Plate resistor HF bypass caps and caps across the transformer primary are very un hifi like. Martin W.

Subject: Re: Thank You!

Posted by Brian Wallace on Tue, 20 Jul 2004 20:59:44 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hey Wayne/Martin, Lots of info in your response Martin. The early Marshall RS Spares OT was a cheap Hi-Fi OT made for RS by various companies. It was only rated at 30W though and the JTM45 will push a little more than that. This gives a great saturated sound to the amp when it's cranked. The laminations in this OT were M6 as opposed to the junk steel used later on. The next generation OT's from Drake were rated at the same wattage so the same saturation occurs but they used low grade steel and were interleaved completely different. This effects the tone of the OT and the amp. There was definitely a lean towards less need for response at frequency extremes. This OT was also made with a higher reflected primary Z and this alters the amp sound as well. Hi-Fi amps and OT's are, in my opinion, designed around a wider frequency response than guitar amps and proper loading for optimum power and fidelity. I haven't considered how the newer breed of amps are made as far as a wider frequency range in their OT's. I would imagine that a better speaker setup would be required to take advantage of this as opposed to the standard 2x12 and 4x12 setups. I'm sure I'm just repeating the previous response here but I'd thought I'd answer anyway. Yup, any fool can build an amp. ;)Brian Marstran.com