Subject: Yamaha M-50 Posted by MWG on Sun, 21 May 2006 20:08:33 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I picked one up this weekend and repainted the cover as it was pretty dog eared. It's no museum piece but it sure sounds good to me. It's 125 WPC. I plugged a cd player directly into it and like the crispness the extra power adds. I bought it to use with my Maggies after I fix the tweeter. I got the kit from a friend so now all I have to do is settle down and run the wire. I probably should install new caps. What is recommended for speakers crossover caps?

Subject: Re: Yamaha M-50 Posted by Manualblock on Mon, 22 May 2006 01:28:33 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I hear the North Creek Zen's are good.

Subject: M-50 correction Posted by MWG on Mon, 22 May 2006 03:38:36 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

It's 150 WPC not 125 hence the 50 designation......doh

Subject: Re: M-50 correction Posted by Manualblock on Mon, 22 May 2006 20:34:37 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

What amp is that? Is that the Class A model?

Subject: Re: M-50 correction Posted by Wayne Parham on Mon, 22 May 2006 20:46:16 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

What year model? I really liked Yamaha amps and receivers from around 1980.

Well maybe I'll get it right yet. This one is from 1982 and has 120 WPC. It also doesn't have any of the harshness normally associated with higher power SS amps. My Altecs with the 811 horns will quickly point out any stridency in an amp It has volume controls which allow direct connection to a high output source like a cd player.

Subject: Re: M-50 correction Posted by Manualblock on Tue, 23 May 2006 00:02:28 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I rememmber the B1/B2 series; any relation? I have a 840 with the silver face and oak case laying around here somewhere. Nice sounding amp.I am considering having my Luxman M 120A re-done for the Altecs; what do you think?

Subject: Re: M-50 correction Posted by MWG on Tue, 23 May 2006 00:13:48 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I don't have a owners manual for it but I know that some of the M-series started in class A until you cranked them up and then they switched out of class A operation.

Subject: Re: M-50 correction Posted by MWG on Tue, 23 May 2006 01:21:39 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I've tried many receivers and several ss amps including the M-50, Kenwood 6004 and my MC-2505 with my Altecs. So far none of them come close to the wonderful sound I get with the MC-275 tube amp. Altecs just sound better with tubes. It's what they were designed for originally. I listened to and loved mine since 1966 and only had the tube amp working for a year. I miss it and finally have a line on a local repair guy if I can ever get in touch with him.As for the Luxman I think they are top notch amps so it should sound good with it. If I had never tried the tube amp I would still be happy with the 2505

Say MWG; whats wrong with the 275?

Subject: Re: M-50 correction Posted by MWG on Tue, 23 May 2006 13:08:04 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

One channel is weak. I took it to the Mac authorized service center and they took my money and put in a couple of tubes and said it was fixed. It worked better for a week. I want to send it to Terry DeWick in TN. but all the weight on a 275 (78lbs) is on one side so when you pick it up it falls out of your hands if you don't know about it. Which is what I figure would happen several times there and back. It needs to be refurbished so I'm working on finding someone local to do the work.

Subject: Re: M-50 correction Posted by Wayne Parham on Tue, 23 May 2006 14:03:47 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Sweeeeet. I loved those models. Great sound. I'd probably go through it and swap all the caps, just 'cause it's been 20 years. Other than that, I think it's gotta be sweet.

Subject: Re: M-50 correction Posted by Manualblock on Wed, 24 May 2006 00:57:47 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Why not give it a shot? I didn't know much two years ago and now I can do some troubleshooting and construction. A weak channel sounds like it could be passive parts out of spec.