

---

Subject: Using in room tests?

Posted by [adavis464](#) on Thu, 21 Apr 2005 15:54:36 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Calibrated the test system but before I do anything is in room testing a good idea. Keep in mind I'm just getting started and I,m not completely a pro at using it but that will improve over time. I,m going to start frequency response in room one channel to start. regards Tim

---

---

Subject: Re: Using in room tests?

Posted by [Wayne Parham](#) on Thu, 21 Apr 2005 21:20:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

In room testing measures the room, anechoic (chamber or outdoors) measures the equipment. If you're interested in a baseline test for equipment comparisons, anechoic is all that makes sense. But if you're interested in setting up your equipment in a specific room, you'll probably want to tailor for that room, and so you'll measure in that room. You'll get different measurements at different places inside the room, so you'll find some good spots and some bad. Depending on how important coverage is to you, you might try to setup for good response over a large area, or you might just set it for best sound in a specific listening spot.

---

---

Subject: Re: Using in room tests?

Posted by [yehuda](#) on Sun, 08 May 2005 09:24:29 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hi, If you are measuring frequency using the MLS then you can measure it in room. Yehuda.

---

---

Subject: Re: Using in room tests?

Posted by [Wayne Parham](#) on Sun, 08 May 2005 19:59:19 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

If you're concerned with response above the gated frequency, then pseudo-anechoic via gating will suffice. But below the gated frequency, you're getting reflections and room resonances just like with an ungated measurement.

---