Subject: BEST OF BREED
Posted by madhatter on Fri, 27 Oct 2006 10:28:32 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I just bought a pair of the bose head phones. 330 dollars but they are the best money can buy. I would recomend any one who travels on airplanes allot to get a pair of them.

Subject: Bose is below average
Posted by Wayne Parham on Sun, 05 Nov 2006 03:16:17 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Bose is far below average in everything except marketing. I wouldn't own their headphones for 33 dollars, let alone 330. The Wave radio is an absolute joke, and their loudspeakers aren't even that. Sorry to be negative, but mention of their products here is kind of like talking about cars with spinners.

Subject: Re: Bose is below average
Posted by madhatter on Sun, 05 Nov 2006 05:49:03 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

well wayne find me a better head phone? put your money where you mouth is dont trash a great product with no rebuttle. what headphones are better? give me a example?

Subject: Re: Bose is below average
Posted by Wayne Parham on Sun, 05 Nov 2006 16:16:10 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Sennheiser is much better, where headphones are concerned. Just about everyone is better where loudspeakers are concerned.

Subject: Re: Bose is below average
Posted by madhatter on Mon, 06 Nov 2006 00:01:52 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

that is your opipion of course I bought a pair of sennheiser before basically they were done in less then a year garbage in my opionion. I never said there speakers were great but there head phones are the best of the best

Subject: Re: Bose is below average
Posted by Wayne Parham on Wed, 28 Feb 2007 15:12:36 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

A co-worker of mine had Bose noise cancelling headphones that broke where the ear cups mount to the frame. On inspection, the whole design was shoddy, weak at the point of most stress. So in my opinion, not only does Bose get an "F" in the electrical and acoustical engineeing departments, but they also get an "F" in structural and mechanical engineering. They get a "B" in aesthetics and an "A+" in marketing.

Subject: Re: BEST OF BREED
Posted by shinningstar on Mon, 26 Mar 2007 07:53:33 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

$330 is a little bit expensive for me. Do you think the product will last long? Is it durable?

Subject: Re: Bose is below average
Posted by Coda1108 on Sat, 07 Apr 2007 06:55:45 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I was given a fantastic pair of KOSS Studio-grade headphones. These things are amazing. You really have to be dedicated to travel with them as they're a bit bulky. But the sound is amazing. I was listening to some digitally re-mastered Louis Armstrong tracks. Granted, it was a tremendous digital remastering job, but listening to them with the KOSS headphones I heard Satchmo's lips smacking during some of his vocals. Oh wait, when we're talking about Louis, they're "Chops" not lips

Subject: Re: Bose is below average
Posted by Wayne Parham on Mon, 09 Apr 2007 19:43:42 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I agree with you in praising Koss products. They've been a top-notch company for a long time so it does not surprise me their noise cancelling headphones would be good.

Subject: Re: Bose is below average
Posted by randle on Thu, 12 Apr 2007 23:14:34 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I wonder why their speakers aren't that great but the headphones are? That to me is so backwards. Makes me not want to buy anything from them at all.

Subject: Re: BEST OF BREED
Posted by randle on Wed, 16 May 2007 17:28:28 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

You may just want to save up for those headphones. They are great I got some for christmas when they first came out and I still have them. They are wonderful, the best your money can buy.

Subject: Re: Bose is below average
Posted by TTL on Tue, 03 Jul 2007 14:25:33 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Bose is a joke. $11 worth of sound.

Subject: Re: BEST OF BREED
Posted by granch on Sun, 29 Jul 2007 17:32:27 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Fools rush in where angels fear to tread (to coin a phrase). Nevertheless, are you guys sure you are all talking about the same things - namely noise-canceling (NC) headphones? Sometimes it doesn't sound like you are. I've never tried a pair of any NC phones, but I also hear alarm bells when I read categorical, across the board condemnations of serious mfrs like Bose. Sounds like some of you should have joined in the suit by Bose against Consumer reports - which it won. Aside from their well-known biases against certain manufacturers, the main thing I have against CR is that the more I know about a particular product, the less I agree with them. Anyway, I would

expect that Bose would make a phone that cancels noise very well. In fact they now make two different models of NC phones. I would not expect either of them to be "super-fi" judged solely as a headphone. The greatest headphones in the world, in my estimation, are the STAX Clasic Models, but as for NC, they rate zero.zero zero zero zilch.

Subject: Re: Bose is below average
Posted by slwiser on Sun, 19 Aug 2007 14:07:07 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

In todays market the Audio Technica ATH-ANC7 is a better choice and can be purchased for half the price of the Bose. It is also a noise cancellation headphone.
