

---

Subject: BEST OF BREED

Posted by [madhatter](#) on Fri, 27 Oct 2006 10:28:32 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I just bought a pair of the bose head phones. 330 dollars but they are the best money can buy. I would recomend any one who travels on airplanes allot to get a pair of them.

---

---

Subject: Bose is below average

Posted by [Wayne Parham](#) on Sun, 05 Nov 2006 03:16:17 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Bose is far below average in everything except marketing. I wouldn't own their headphones for 33 dollars, let alone 330. The Wave radio is an absolute joke, and their loudspeakers aren't even that. Sorry to be negative, but mention of their products here is kind of like talking about cars with spinners.

---

---

Subject: Re: Bose is below average

Posted by [madhatter](#) on Sun, 05 Nov 2006 05:49:03 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

well wayne find me a better head phone? put your money where you mouth is dont trash a great product with no rebuttle. what headphones are better? give me a example?

---

---

Subject: Re: Bose is below average

Posted by [Wayne Parham](#) on Sun, 05 Nov 2006 16:16:10 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Sennheiser is much better, where headphones are concerned. Just about everyone is better where loudspeakers are concerned.

---

---

Subject: Re: Bose is below average

Posted by [madhatter](#) on Mon, 06 Nov 2006 00:01:52 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

that is your opinion of course I bought a pair of sennheiser before basically they were done in less than a year garbage in my opinion. I never said there speakers were great but there head phones are the best of the best

---

---

Subject: Re: Bose is below average  
Posted by [Wayne Parham](#) on Wed, 28 Feb 2007 15:12:36 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

A co-worker of mine had Bose noise cancelling headphones that broke where the ear cups mount to the frame. On inspection, the whole design was shoddy, weak at the point of most stress. So in my opinion, not only does Bose get an "F" in the electrical and acoustical engineering departments, but they also get an "F" in structural and mechanical engineering. They get a "B" in aesthetics and an "A+" in marketing.

---

---

Subject: Re: BEST OF BREED  
Posted by [shinningstar](#) on Mon, 26 Mar 2007 07:53:33 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

\$330 is a little bit expensive for me. Do you think the product will last long? Is it durable?

---

---

Subject: Re: Bose is below average  
Posted by [Coda1108](#) on Sat, 07 Apr 2007 06:55:45 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I was given a fantastic pair of KOSS Studio-grade headphones. These things are amazing. You really have to be dedicated to travel with them as they're a bit bulky. But the sound is amazing. I was listening to some digitally re-mastered Louis Armstrong tracks. Granted, it was a tremendous digital remastering job, but listening to them with the KOSS headphones I heard Satchmo's lips smacking during some of his vocals. Oh wait, when we're talking about Louis, they're "Chops" not lips

---

---

Subject: Re: Bose is below average  
Posted by [Wayne Parham](#) on Mon, 09 Apr 2007 19:43:42 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I agree with you in praising Koss products. They've been a top-notch company for a long time so it does not surprise me their noise cancelling headphones would be good.

---

---

Subject: Re: Bose is below average  
Posted by [randle](#) on Thu, 12 Apr 2007 23:14:34 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I wonder why their speakers aren't that great but the headphones are? That to me is so backwards. Makes me not want to buy anything from them at all.

---

---

Subject: Re: BEST OF BREED  
Posted by [randle](#) on Wed, 16 May 2007 17:28:28 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

You may just want to save up for those headphones. They are great I got some for christmas when they first came out and I still have them. They are wonderful, the best your money can buy.

---

---

Subject: Re: Bose is below average  
Posted by [TTL](#) on Tue, 03 Jul 2007 14:25:33 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Bose is a joke. \$11 worth of sound.

---

---

Subject: Re: BEST OF BREED  
Posted by [granch](#) on Sun, 29 Jul 2007 17:32:27 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Fools rush in where angels fear to tread (to coin a phrase). Nevertheless, are you guys sure you are all talking about the same things - namely noise-canceling (NC) headphones? Sometimes it doesn't sound like you are. I've never tried a pair of any NC phones, but I also hear alarm bells when I read categorical, across the board condemnations of serious mfrs like Bose. Sounds like some of you should have joined in the suit by Bose against Consumer reports - which it won. Aside from their well-known biases against certain manufacturers, the main thing I have against CR is that the more I know about a particular product, the less I agree with them. Anyway, I would

expect that Bose would make a phone that cancels noise very well. In fact they now make two different models of NC phones. I would not expect either of them to be "super-fi" judged solely as a headphone. The greatest headphones in the world, in my estimation, are the STAX Clasic Models, but as for NC, they rate zero.zero zero zero zilch.

---

---

Subject: Re: Bose is below average  
Posted by [slwiser](#) on Sun, 19 Aug 2007 14:07:07 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

In todays market the Audio Technica ATH-ANC7 is a better choice and can be purchased for half the price of the Bose. It is also a noise cancellation headphone.

---