
Subject: What to expect?

Posted by [Kevin Jordan](#) on Tue, 31 Aug 2004 13:04:52 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I'm new here, and I have questions. Let me start by telling you where I'm coming from. I work with low distortion pro speakers and I'm used to loud clean sound. Magneplanars are different but sound great to me, just small for lack of a better word. If I could get the same punch from planars, I'd do it in an instant because they sound so real, but like a tiny version of the original. I think that is because they just aren't loud enough, plain and simple. Now here is my questions. What is the most efficient planar speakers? How much power can they handle, and what compression is there? How much output total can I get? Rgs, Kevin

Subject: Apples and Oranges

Posted by [thePath](#) on Sun, 05 Sep 2004 19:33:11 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

How to put this without being blunt? It's about refinement. Pro speakers are sometimes very good, but they are still basically PA speakers for rock bands. Magneplanars are detailed and accurate, with nearly perfect imaging at least IMHO. There is no comparison, they're apples and oranges.

Subject: From what little I understand about this....

Posted by [wunhuanglo](#) on Sat, 11 Sep 2004 01:21:11 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

you're limited by the diaphragm excursion. Consequently, higher efficiency won't answer the mail. I have seen several references to using multiple (stacked) Quad ESL-63's to overcome this inherent limitation.
