Subject: Lowthers DX2: First Impressions
Posted by akhilesh on Wed, 04 May 2005 03:25:59 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

In Bob Brines's box (using I think Martin King's findings & calculations). My impressions:First, I am really glad Bob gave us all a chance to listen to Lowthers. My first time ever. The driver clearly is temperamental (in other words peaks). However, it sounds WAY clearer than the fostexes (16X series) and has the nice midrange that my Stephens trusonics has, but even better detail. I can see a Lowther playing a role in my next speaker project (though probbaly not full range). My guess is that in the 100-150 to 3500 range these are great! There are some peaks that still need to be tamed even in that range. Overall, a phenomenal driver! BTW, the driver sounded great in Bob Brines' box & with the circuit used. Kudos to Bob for actually taking Martin's work & commercializing it in a value offering. The Lowther sounded great in that box, with reasonable bass (though you will need a sub for true extension, and proably a tweeter). Overall a wonderful driver and a very good implementation by Bob Brines!-akhilesh

Subject: Here's a more complete report on GPAF, 2005
Posted by akhilesh on Wed, 04 May 2005 18:23:41 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

http://nfp.cba.utulsa.edu/bajaja/FreeAudioReviews/Reviews/AudioMeets/GPAF2005/ReviewGPAF2005.htmthanks-akhilesh
My Report with opinions on GPAF 2005.

Subject: Re: Here's a more complete report on GPAF, 2005
Posted by GM on Wed, 04 May 2005 20:50:13 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Greets!Thanks for the review! BTW, 'Bill' Brines goes by the name 'Bob'. GM
ROB forum

Subject: Re: Here's a more complete report on GPAF, 2005
Posted by akhilesh on Thu, 05 May 2005 10:31:40 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Uh Oh! I knew that. I don;t know what I was thinkingwhen i typed up Bill! Will modify review. This is embarrassing since Bob & I spent a long time chatting together. I am SOOO bad with

names...-akhilesh

Subject: Re: Here's a more complete report on GPAF, 2005
Posted by Bob Brines on Thu, 05 May 2005 11:32:46 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Akhilesh,But then, I'm not likely to forget YOUR name Bob -- Bill -- anything but late to dinner

---

Subject: Re: Here's a more complete report on GPAF, 2005
Posted by akhilesh on Thu, 05 May 2005 12:53:16 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Sorry Bob! I don;t know what I was thinking. I corrected the review. Very embarrassing. If it makes you feel any better, I once forgot the name of a good friend of mine at a party, when I had to introduce her to someone else. She just glared at me and then shook her head. -akhilesh

---

Subject: Re: Here's a more complete report on GPAF, 2005
Posted by Manualblock on Thu, 05 May 2005 13:45:46 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

That wasn't your wife was it?

Subject: Re: Here's a more complete report on GPAF, 2005
Posted by akhilesh on Thu, 05 May 2005 14:47:56 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

No, Just a friend. -akhilesh

Subject: Re: Here's a more complete report on GPAF, 2005
Posted by Wayne Parham on Thu, 05 May 2005 15:57:18 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Oh dude, I'll bet that chilled the date. What a funny (uncomfortable) story!

Subject: Re: Here's a more complete report on GPAF, 2005
Posted by GM on Thu, 05 May 2005 18:02:49 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Ok then, we'll call you Billy-Bob. GM

Subject: Re: Here's a more complete report on GPAF, 2005
Posted by akhilesh on Thu, 05 May 2005 18:12:14 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Right, except it wasn't a date. Just a friend who i had known for quite a while. -akhilesh

---

Subject: Re: Here's a more complete report on GPAF, 2005
Posted by roncla on Thu, 05 May 2005 22:46:30 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Boho dan ya wad akhilesh ge.ron

Subject: Re: Here's a more complete report on GPAF, 2005
Posted by akhilesh on Fri, 06 May 2005 01:01:25 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

HI Roncla,PLease let me know what your post means. thanks

Subject: Re: Here's a more complete report on GPAF, 2005
Posted by roncla on Fri, 06 May 2005 10:06:36 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Sorry, i am learning Hindu. So i try to answer everything in that language.It means "Thank you very much" the ge is a sign of respect. (hell i tear up the english language , so i might as well be equal to others also)ron

Subject: Re: Here's a more complete report on GPAF, 2005
Posted by akhilesh on Fri, 06 May 2005 18:45:19 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

HI Ron,Sounds cool! Always cool t olearn another language. BTW, it's "hindi", not hindu. Hindu is a person who practices the Hindu philosophy/religion (whatever you wanna call it). Hindi is the language. thanks-akhilesh

Subject: Re: Here's a more complete report on GPAF, 2005
Posted by Wayne Parham on Fri, 06 May 2005 18:57:39 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

And Hindi is spoken mostly in the north, isn't that right? There are maybe a dozen mother tongues of the different regions. My friend Lakshmi speaks Telagu, came from a city down near Hyderabad.

Subject: Re: Here's a more complete report on GPAF, 2005
Posted by Manualblock on Sat, 07 May 2005 00:55:32 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Martin has quite a good review on his site of the developement of the enclosure and BSC circuit and sound of the DX2's. I suggest those who have not discovered his work make an attempt to do so.

Subject: Re: Here's a more complete report on GPAF, 2005
Posted by Wayne Parham on Sat, 07 May 2005 06:42:54 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Very true. Martin's analysis of the electrical conjugate for the acoustic response is sound, no pun

intended. And his mathematical models for reflex, tuned pipes and horns are really great too.
www.Quarter-Wave.com

Subject: Re: Here's a more complete report on GPAF, 2005
Posted by Manualblock on Sat, 07 May 2005 18:41:09 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I know; he toils away quietly but produces the goods.

Subject: Re: Here's a more complete report on GPAF, 2005
Posted by akhilesh on Sat, 07 May 2005 22:01:43 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

That's right Wayne.-akhilesh

Subject: Thanks for the kind words and a couple encouraging corrections
Posted by DRC on Wed, 11 May 2005 11:29:03 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Akilesh,Thanks the kind remarks on Mark's AN rig. Mark, you and your son all clearly have excellent taste! The prices and weights were a bit overstated, though.The Conquest amps come in three versions, std, Silver and Silver Sig. They are $9k, $11k and $15.5k respectively. I believe Mark has the std version. They weigh about 50lbs each. I'm really glad they're mono and not a single stereo unit! Audio Note's 300B amps start at $3,275 assembled, with kits even lower.Mark has the E/L speakers, which are an older version using the L speaker cable and housed in a chipboard cabinet. You are right about the pricing in that the current production speakers start around $4k with a Russian ply cabinet and Lexus cable. Again, kits are available for considerably less. There are a couple more pairs of E/L former dealer demos (same as Mark's) available at a very nice price. If anyone is interested, please contact me off-line.

Subject: Re: Thanks for the kind words and a couple encouraging corrections
Posted by akhilesh on Wed, 11 May 2005 12:06:48 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Thanks for the corrections, David. Hope to see you at the next fest!I really liked listening to the AN gear, it was very musical!-akhilesh