
Subject: Posts?

Posted by [roncla](#) on Wed, 22 Sep 2004 21:31:05 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Was there a reason my posts were deleted. I try not to offend anyone, but if just stating my beliefs or results is not to this forums desires i will not post here any further.Thank You ron

Subject: Re: Posts?

Posted by [TC](#) on Wed, 22 Sep 2004 22:51:58 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I tried your posts using 3 browsers and none came up when they were up. My try offending again, it could have been a technical glitch. About half the time I try to read this place it has a server/html issue(s). TC

Subject: Re: Posts?

Posted by [GM](#) on Wed, 22 Sep 2004 23:08:02 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I queried earlier today and here's the response:GM
disk space

Subject: Re: Posts?

Posted by [Visions of Infinedi](#) on Wed, 22 Sep 2004 23:43:26 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Well, I can understand your frustration. I cannot speak to the html erros encountered on this site, however as the host for this website, I can assure you that the downtime - caused by server errors - have been less than .01% of the time. Occasionally, we have to bounce the machine and, of course, during the summer months we had some pretty major DoS (Denial of Service)attacks.We strive to give the best service possible.

Subject: Re: Posts?

Posted by [Wayne Parham](#) on Thu, 23 Sep 2004 00:36:48 GMT

Hi Sheri, I appreciate your divvy'ing the system and making more space available. I appreciate all your work on the system. But I don't know that I'd say it has enjoyed 99.99% uptime. I don't think it's down 50% of the time either, but I think Terry was just using that as an expression to mean "a

pretty good feel for it. The 0.01% downtime metric may be accurate if you consider it as time spent with the server power light on, but it is certainly not true for useable service. If the disk drives can't be written to, then a discussion forum is pretty much useless. Even if we only considered today's outage, then the yearly downtime would be 0.27%. If it ran perfectly for the rest of a year, it would have been up all but 0.27% of the time. But I recall a few DOS attacks and some other stuff in the early days, particularly during transitions between servers. So I'm guessing the system was probably down something like 1% or 2% of the time. I'm pretty happy

when it has a glitch, and always seems to happen right when someone needs support the most. But it's usually just temporary and things are going again pretty quickly. I'm very happy with the site, all in all. I just wanted to throw that in, because I thought the 0.01% remark would probably raise some eyebrows. Wayne

Subject: Re: Posts?

Posted by [akhilesh](#) on Thu, 23 Sep 2004 13:47:37 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

You did not offend anyone I know of! So please do post! It was just a technical disk issue, I think, based on other posts here. -akhilesh

Subject: One post I know of is missing

Posted by [akhilesh](#) on Thu, 23 Sep 2004 13:57:43 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

<http://www.audioroundtable.com/SingleDriverSpeakers/messages/833.html> Could you find out if it was lost when moving to a larger disk? -akhilesh

Subject: Re: One post I know of is missing

Posted by [Webmaster](#) on Fri, 24 Sep 2004 09:55:04 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

That was one of 27 posts that were lost during the period that the system would not accept disk writes. We're investigating to find the reason why no alarm was raised and we're very sorry that it occurred.

Subject: Not a problem!
Posted by [akhilesh](#) on Sat, 25 Sep 2004 04:09:42 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

It wasn't that important anyway! -akhilesh
