

---

Subject: Has this technology been used?  
Posted by [adavis464](#) on Tue, 12 Jul 2005 16:10:26 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

<http://patimg1.uspto.gov/piw?docid=US005304746&SectionNum=1&IDKey=0670CF724F20&HomeUrl=http://patft.uspto.gov/netacgi/nph-Parser?u=/netahtml/srchnum.htm%2526Sect1=PTO1%2526Sect2=HITOFF%2526p=1%2526r=1%2526l=50%2526f=G%2526d=PALL%2526s1=5304746.WKU.%2526OS=PN/5304746%2526RS=PN/5304746>

---

---

Subject: Re: Has this technology been used?  
Posted by [Wayne Parham](#) on Tue, 12 Jul 2005 23:51:38 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I've not seen it in production. I would expect something like this to modify cone breakup behavior, so the upper response could be tuned. But I don't see how IM distortion is improved unless bandwidth is reduced. I also don't think it would work on a horn because it is too small to be significant at the low frequencies where the horn is most reactive.

---

---

Subject: Here's more info  
Posted by [adavis464](#) on Wed, 13 Jul 2005 15:22:22 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Did you read the patent.It seems to have solid theory.Regards Tim

---

---

Subject: could not get attachment to work  
Posted by [adavis464](#) on Wed, 13 Jul 2005 15:27:35 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

sorry.I can send by e-mail?

---

---

Subject: More info, where?  
Posted by [Wayne Parham](#) on Wed, 13 Jul 2005 15:33:52 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I did read the patent, but I don't think much of it.

---

---

Subject: Re: could not get attachment to work  
Posted by [Wayne Parham](#) on Wed, 13 Jul 2005 15:35:14 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

OK, send. If you have some webspace, you could probably upload to it and post a link.

---