
Subject: On the Specification of Moving-Coil Drivers for Low-Frequency
Horn-Loaded Loudspeakers

Posted by [Adrian Mack](#) on Fri, 14 Jan 2005 15:06:47 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I found this on the web today, a paper by W Marshall Leach Jr. It is about drivers used on basshorns, upper/lower cutoffs, cavity behind rear chamber and reactance annuling, driver and throat area specifications, impedance etc - its a good read so I thought I would post a link here.
<http://users.ece.gatech.edu/~mleach/papers/HornPaper/HornPaper.pdf>Adrian

Subject: Re: On the Specification of Moving-Coil Drivers for Low-Frequency
Horn-Loaded Loudspeakers

Posted by [Bill Fitzmaurice](#) on Fri, 14 Jan 2005 16:48:26 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

These are the calcs that the McBean program uses. They are quite useful for the most part but it's well known that they aren't accurate in predicting HF roll-off.

Subject: Re: On the Specification of Moving-Coil Drivers for Low-Frequency
Horn-Loaded Loudspeakers

Posted by [tomservo](#) on Mon, 17 Jan 2005 13:54:08 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi Adrian This is THE paper to use when starting a horn design, as I mentioned in the LAB-SUB project, it has proven to be dead on in a dozen products I have designed over the last 15 + years or so. The traditional approach, such as Don Keele's math for the throat / driver relationships are a waste of time IMHO. Part of the problem with horn design in general is many people use much less accurate math to do this part of the design. What it is, is an approach that relates a drivers T&S parameters to the horn dimensions needed to result in a desired power bandwidth. The math can be parsed three ways, one to get an ideal driver for a given horn's parameters, another to get horn dimensions based on a given driver and lastly a maximum BW horn with a given driver. I used the "get an ideal driver for a given horn" version on the LAB sub project. What it is not, this paper is a starting point, the math assumes one has an ideal (full size) horn attached. If one has a "less than full size" horn, then one of the acoustic modeling programs should be used to fine tune the design based on what you really have. This math also only predicts / designs the power bandwidth (the bandwidth of efficient operation) and does not predict the Voltage driven on axis frequency response which is a function of the directivity and largely ignores power and efficiency. In general a Voltage response on axis will show a much higher upper cutoff than the power BW due the fact one is then ignoring efficiency and directivity. This is the place to start. Cheers, Tom Danley

Subject: Re: On the Specification of Moving-Coil Drivers for Low-Frequency
Horn-Loaded Loudspeakers

Posted by [S](#) on Sat, 29 Jan 2005 14:32:11 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I recall a thread about reactance annulling a couple months back that would do nicely linked here:
Reactance Annulling

Subject: Re: On the Specification of Moving-Coil Drivers for Low-Frequency
Horn-Loaded Loudspeakers

Posted by [John Sheerin](#) on Tue, 01 Mar 2005 15:50:46 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Probably too late to be seen, but this is totally incorrect. David McBean's program does not use Leach's paper. In fact, McBean's program was written (in some form) several years prior to Leach's paper's publication.
