Subject: Double-Blind test proves conclusively Fostex 103E is a horrible liddle squawker!

Posted by BillEpstein on Wed, 31 Mar 2004 02:11:25 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Subject: Re: Double-Blind test - You, Sir....

Posted by wunhuanglo on Wed, 31 Mar 2004 23:50:29 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

are a funny bastard!

Subject: Re: Double-Blind test - You, Sir....

Posted by Wayne Parham on Thu, 01 Apr 2004 00:04:15 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

CurrentlyBill is a lot of fun, isn't he? It's making me want to have another MAF-style get together. That RMAF thing is sounding better every day. Can't do it, but I sure wish I could and all our buddies would be there just like last year's MAF. It was just almost exactly one year ago today, and I miss everyone. I'm glad we keep in touch here.

Subject: Re: Double-Blind test proves conclusively Fostex 103E is a horrible liddle squawker!

Posted by mikebake on Mon, 12 Apr 2004 16:34:49 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

"I forgot that the M115 doesn't like crossing at 1.6 or maybe it just isn't too good. So now I have to uncork the other pair of 2226's from the 4648 cabinet and swap into the 3677's."The M115 is a curvilinear cone, does not go very low, and has a small magnet/voice coil compared to the 2226. Not in the same league, really. The 2226 will sound better in that box. I'm trying to remember what the box tuning is for the 3677, but it seems like it might be a bit high, too, in order to work with the M115; thus the 2226 will be better but the box may not be right.MBB