
Subject: Radio Subscriber Fees for Channels That Run Commercials

Posted by [AFreed52](#) on Mon, 13 Oct 2014 21:36:49 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Is there any reason for a person to buy a subscription to a radio channel that also airs commercials? You don't see cable channels like HBO or Showtime doing that, yet nobody utters a peep in protest.

Subject: Re: Radio Subscriber Fees for Channels That Run Commercials

Posted by [audioaudio90](#) on Tue, 14 Oct 2014 13:40:20 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Commercials are annoying, but I'd rather deal with them than pay to listen to the radio. If I did actually pay, I'd hate to start hearing commercials and would cancel my subscription if they showed up.

Subject: Re: Radio Subscriber Fees for Channels That Run Commercials

Posted by [Pioneer](#) on Wed, 15 Oct 2014 00:17:13 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

This made me think of Hulu -- although Hulu isn't radio -- because they make you pay like \$8 or \$10 a month to stream and they still air commercials. No way am I doing that! I say either it's free to stream and you deal with commercials or you pay to stream commercial-free. When customers have to pay AND they have to watch commercials, that is just the company lighting the candle at both ends.

Subject: Re: Radio Subscriber Fees for Channels That Run Commercials

Posted by [audiophile88](#) on Thu, 16 Oct 2014 00:57:14 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Pioneer wrote on Tue, 14 October 2014 20:17 When customers have to pay AND they have to watch commercials, that is just the company lighting the candle at both ends.

These are my thoughts exactly. One of the major benefits of paying for a subscription membership, in my opinion, is getting rid of commercials and ads.
