Subject: Six Pi Review Posted by rkeman on Thu, 20 Sep 2012 21:40:49 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Corners can be the undoing of a loudspeaker. Bass becomes exaggerated. Close proximity to the walls results in early reflections that make imaging vague and the highs grainy. Most manufacturers recommend placing loudspeakers well away from corners and the rear wall precisely to avoid these effects. The corner horn is a notable exception to these conventions - the room corners actually act as a part of the speaker, extending loading well into the lower midrange. Sound radiates uniformly over the 90 degree angle formed by the corner, minimizing room interactions above about 250Hz and significantly increasing clarity and sensitivity over that range compared to conventional front radiator loudspeakers. The increased bass resulting from corner loading becomes an advantage rather than a detriment. Corners might not be so bad after all!

Pi Speakers 6 Pi

The 6 Pi is a three-way corner horn based on a vented 12" woofer, a 10" horn loaded midrange driver in a sealed enclosure and the Eminence H290 horn (40° x 90°) with a 1" compression driver. The cabinet is 56" x 18" x 30" (H x W x D) overall. It is offered fully finished, in kit form including the drivers and crossover or as plans only. The basic package includes an Eminence Delta 12LF woofer, Eminence Delta 10A midrange, PSD2002 compression driver with H290 horn (now H290C), and crossovers comprised of 18 gauge air core inductors, non-inductive resistors and polypropylene capacitors. Upgrades include an Acoustic Elegance TD12S woofer, B&C DE250-8 compression driver, and a variety of improved crossover components. Prices for a finished 6 Pi speaker start at \$2600 sans shipping and the kit is a modest \$400. The midrange horn flat pack kit is \$100 and is a supplement to the kit. The Pi Speakers forum is moderated by Wayne Parham and offers a wealth of the information regarding speaker theory and construction. It is well worth a visit for more background and information about this corner horn and a variety of other constant directivity and high sensitivity loudspeaker designs.

Construction and Assembly

The 6 Pi cabinet consists of a rectangular bass module with a two shelf brace located and a rear vent, a sealed midrange cabinet with a removable back panel, and the tweeter enclosure that is primarily cosmetic. The loudspeaker can be constructed as a single unit or with as separate midrange/tweeter and bass modules. Wall thickness is specified as 5/8"; however, I fabricated the enclosure from more readily available 3/4" medium density fiberboard (MDF). A lightly textured satin black acrylic finish (Duratex) applied by roller is perfect for use in my darkened home theater.

The bass cabinet rear panel is double thickness (1-1/2") to accommodate flush mounting of the woofer. The overall cabinet depth is increased by 3/4" to compensate. A 3" flared port cut to length after final assembly to precisely tune the cabinet to 30Hz resides below the woofer. The bottom and top of the bass cabinet are also doubled in thickness (1-1/2") to add strength and accommodate adjustable threaded spiked feet. Unfaced R-13 fiberglass insulation is glued to the back, bottom, side closest to the port and the shelf brace above the woofer as recommended by Pi Speakers.

The midrange horn is constructed both from a cardboard mock-up and assembled as a flat pack purchased from Pi Speakers. The latter includes the driver mounting plate and uses Miller dowels to ease assembly and insure good alignment. Be forewarned that the compound angles required for the horn are exacting and the novice woodworker should strongly consider purchasing the flat pack. Bracing the inside of all four horn flares in several places is critical to damp vibration. Loose fiberglass enclosure fill further damps the enclosure. A spare midrange driver foam gasket is necessary for the front of the Eminence Delta 10A driver in order to prevent cone bottoming against the mounting plate and is available through Pi Speakers for a modest cost. The back panel of the midrange cabinet is braced with a rubber bumper against the rear of the woofer and attached using thin foam rubber gasket tape and 1-1/4" #8 black oxide pocket hole screws placed near the edge of the panel at 2" intervals.

The tweeter cabinet is for cosmetic purposes and I chose to match the shape of the midrange cabinet rather than use the simpler design suggested in the plans. A small amount of fiberglass insulation damps the enclosure.

Crossover Construction

The crossovers are constructed using Janzen 15 gauge air core coils, Dayton and Erse polypropylene capacitors, Dayton 1% tolerance 10 watt non-inductive resistors, and 16 gauge hook up wire mounted on a 1/4" MDF panel with nylon wire ties. Mounted on a slightly oversized piece of dense 1/2" adhesive backed acoustic foam, the crossover boards are attached to the inside side panel of the enclosure with six 1-1/4" #8 sheet metal screw. Two sets of nickel-plated binding posts are located on the lower rear of the cabinet to allow biwiring of the woofer and midrange/tweeter.

Driver Description and Assembly

Two woofers are offered for use in the 6 Pi - the Acoustic Elegance TD12S and the Eminence Delta 12LF. The Eminence use a pressed steel frame, treated paper cone and half roll cloth surround. It offers fine performance at an attractive price and shows very little driver-to-driver variation. For many, and perhaps most, listeners the 12LF should be all that is necessary in the 6 Pi. The AE TD12S used in my build, by comparison, is a hand-assembled precision device made to a very high standard. The Lambda motor design is among the best available featuring very low voice coil inductance that eliminates the need for Zobel impedance correction in the crossover. Operation is very linear operation with vanishingly low distortion and superb high frequency extension for a 12" driver. Availability of the TD12S has been spotty in the past, but the manufacturer is working to resolve the issue. The woofer is attached using #8 black oxide Phillips head screws.

The Eminence Delta 10A is a 10" mid-woofer constructed similarly to the Delta 12LF. The hard paper dust cap is perfectly suited for use in the 6 Pi midrange horn. The driver is mounted to the rear of the mounting plate using an extra front gasket for spacing and 1" #8 black oxide pocket hole screws.

The B&C DE250 1" compression driver uses a high quality polyamide diaphragm and is mounted to the Eminence H290 horn with two bolts provided with the driver. The series of molded veins on the outer surface of the horn are filled with pliable electrician's putty. Adhesive backed 1/2" dense

acoustic foam is applied to the exposed surfaces of the horn and wrapped around the horn throat to further damp the structure. The latter is tied down with several loops of thin nylon thread. The final tweeter assembly is heavy and non-resonant. The woofer and horn are both mounted to the cabinet using 1" #8 black oxide machine screws. A small amount of flexible rope caulk was used in place of gasket material for the horn and two additional screws are added at the center of the vertical sides of the horn.

Speaker Placement and Room Set Up

The 6 Pi requires corner placement with at least 5-6" of adjacent wall on either side. Speaker toed-in can be varied slightly to accommodate a variety of listening distances. Acoustic treatments in my home theater include first reflection point damping (2' x 4' panels of 4" Owens Corning 903 fiberglass in wooden frames), substantial corner bass absorbers (8' thick Owens Corning 903 in 2-1/2' and 4' lengths); absorbent window coverings and a heavily padded medium cut pile carpet. A slightly modified floor standing 3 Pi center channel and two stand mounted 3 Pi surrounds employing Eminence Delta 12LF woofers and B&C DE250 tweeters are used for multichannel listening. An Onkyo TX-NR3009 receiver drives the front speakers in biamplified mode and the remaining speakers using the dedicated single channel amplifiers. Two custom subwoofers based on Adire Tumult 15" drivers in sealed cabinets and driven by an SVS STA-800H supplement the bass and handle the low frequency effects (LFE) channel. Sources include an Oppo BPD-93 BluRay player and Scientific Atlanta HD Cable Tuner.

Listening Impressions

The 6 Pi provides smooth and clean sound that lacks any distinct tonal emphasis. Bass is defined and well balanced with the upper range, extending to 30Hz in-room. Vocals and the spoken voice are naturally reproduced without the pinched nasal quality and chestiness found in so many loudspeakers. Dynamics are amazingly life-like and convincingly convey the scale of music ranging from a string quartet to heavy metal. Little power is required by the 6 Pi thanks to its' high sensitivity (97 db/w/m) and most any amplifier will easily drive the benign 8 ohm load presented by the speaker. Imaging is often compromised in large multi-way loudspeakers leading many audiophiles to believe that only small stand mounted monitor can excel in this. With the 6 Pi the soundstage is solid and stable. Depth and height are presented naturally and accurately convey the hall ambience found in good recordings and motion pictures. The LEDR test found on the Chesky Jazz Sampler and Test disc confirmed these impressions. It is actually astounding that a speaker of this size can disappears as well as the 6 Pi. The tonal accuracy and soundstaging characteristics of the 6 Pi are shared with the 3 Pi and they work well together to greatly enhance the presentation of multichannel sources in my home theater.

Measurements

Measurements have been performed using the Dayton Audio Omnimike system in my listening room. Frequency response measurements had 1/12 octave smoothing and the response would likely vary substantially below 300Hz in other rooms.

Figure 1: 6 Pi 1 m room response on midhorn axis

Figure 2: 6 Pi 3m room response at 36" listening height

Figure 3: 6 Pi 3 m distortion curve

The curves appear to confirm the neutral tonal character and clean sound that is appreciated on auditioning the 6 Pi.

Conclusion

The Pi Speakers 6 Pi is simply an outstanding loudspeaker. It offers superb performance in a relatively affordable package and is designed to make the most of listening rooms with appropriate corners. It will mate with a wide variety of amplification and produce enough sound to fill even large domestic rooms. The cabinet construction is more difficult than the two-way 3 Pi, but the increased performance is well worth the effort. Highly recommended.

File Attachments

 Six Pi 1 m Room Response Sine Wave.bmp, downloaded 10367 times
Six Pi 3 m Room Response Sine Wave.bmp, downloaded 10233 times
Six Pi 1 m Distortion.bmp, downloaded 10498 times
DSC_0156.jpg, downloaded 12144 times

Subject: Re: Six Pi Review Posted by skywave-rider on Thu, 20 Sep 2012 22:32:29 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Your setup looks excellent! Congratulations. If I had the space and corners, I'd do the same. Did the 6 Pi s replace speakers in that room, or is it all new?

Subject: Re: Six Pi Review Posted by chrisR on Fri, 21 Sep 2012 01:20:41 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Very nice setup!

I have to confirm that cutting the midhorn is a non-trivial endevor, and if I make a pair again, I will be ordering the flat-pack from Wayne (plug commission check is expected :^). Wayne might argue with me about the Pi-ishness, but I have a non-standard Pi-7 setup

I truely love.

Chris

Subject: Re: Six Pi Review Posted by rkeman on Fri, 21 Sep 2012 01:46:20 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

The current system has been evolving for about five years. It started out with a number of highly respected audiophile speakers, none of which integrated well with the oddly shaped asymmetric room. Eventually research into how to decrease room effects led me to constant directivity designs and Pi Speakers. For the last several years four 3 Pis anchored the sound system with great results. The chance to upgrade a 60" Panasonic plasma to a 9' front projection display came up about six months ago and the 6 Pi looked like the best way to create a more spacious soundstage to match the larger screen. About 40 hours of construction and \$450 dollars in additional drivers and materials later, the 6 Pis were ready. The system has been described by a fellow audiophile as "effortlessly musical" and I can only agree. As good as the 3 Pi is, the corner horn is better still.

Subject: Re: Six Pi Review Posted by Wayne Parham on Fri, 21 Sep 2012 13:39:11 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Thanks so much for taking the time to post this review.

For any new readers looking on, I'll post a couple links to the basic concepts: High-Fidelity Uniform-Directivity Loudspeakers Speaker placement and wavefront launch

Subject: Re: Six Pi Review Posted by petew on Fri, 21 Sep 2012 17:40:36 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Thanks for the GREAT writeup!

channel duty at some point, but need to build my acoustically transparent screen first.

So I'm trying to decide if the screen project needs to come before the speaker project. I want to do the speaker project if I think I can get by with a phantom center for a year or two.

Subject: Re: Six Pi Review Posted by rkeman on Fri, 21 Sep 2012 19:04:20 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

The need for a center channel depends on the arrangement of your room and the number of listeners. If the main speakers will be much further separated compared to the distance to the primary listening position or listeners will be substantially to either side of the screen, a center channel will help provide a more stable image. A center is only being used in my case because of off-axis listeners and the relatively large size of the screen. The 6 Pis produce a solid and stable center image at my primary listening position. The 3 Pi matches very well tonally, even with the current woofer mismatch (an AE TD12S woofer is on backorder).

Subject: Re: Six Pi Review Posted by petew on Fri, 21 Sep 2012 20:06:35 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

My room is about 17' wide. The money seat (center of three seats in the first row) about 12' back. The back row is at about 17'. Overall room depth is 26'.

I'm thinking about using the eminence driver with a possible future upgrade to the AE unit. I understand that the xover is the same either way.

Thanks again for the feedback.

Subject: Re: Six Pi Review Posted by Wayne Parham on Mon, 24 Sep 2012 19:06:03 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

As an aside, measurements made in a trihedral corner outdoors naturally do not show room modes, the response down low is ruler-flat. But indoors, room modes take over and make hot spots and dead spots, causing ripples in response. I'm sure in your case, the room modes clean up considerably when the subs and other speakers are added in. Do you have any response charts with subs running?

On the other hand, the pair of mains-only charts at 1M and 3M (3 feet and 10 feet) are excellent for illustrating the benefits of the constant directivity cornerhorn, because they show movement of the anomalies, which proves the absense of self-interference from the nearest boundaries, the walls adjacent to the corners. The fact that the anomalies move in frequency proves them to be caused by room modes and not by self-interference.

Looking at the measured response charts, you can clearly see the room modes below about 200Hz. This is always present, and is the reason for multisubs. What is also clear, is the absense of self-interference notches from the nearest walls, the ones behind and beside the speaker. This is significant, because it shows how effective the constant directivity cornerhorn configuration is.

In most cases, you can't really tell which ripples are caused by modes and which are caused by self-interference from a nearest boundary. They're similar in that they are caused by reflections from the walls, floor and ceiling. The only difference is that modes are standing waves, whereas self-interference notches from a boundary occur without standing waves. They're just a cancellation effect between the direct sound and a reflection.

But there is one special case where you can tell the difference. The reflection from the wall behind the speakers is delayed by the same amount no matter what distance the microphone is placed from the speaker. So in this case, the self-interference ripple doesn't change frequency even when the microphone is moved. As long as the angle of reflection remains constant, the frequency of the anomaly remains constant too.

This helps identify self-interference notches. When you move around in the room, the microphone passes through modal regions. This changes response due to room modes. Some frequencies will peak and others will dip, and the ripple will move as you change positions. If you change the angle of incidence to the nearest boundary, its self-interference notch will change frequency too. But if you do not change the angle of incidence - just the distance - then the frequency of the response anomaly will remain the same.

So to learn the effects of a particular boundary reflection, measure the response while moving the microphone away, perpendicular to the boundary. The self-interference notch from that boundary will remain at the same frequency. This will tell you the amplitude of the anomaly caused by a particular boundary. If you do not see a notch that stays put, then there is no delayed reflection, as is the case with constant directivity cornerhorns.

A self-interference notch from the wall behind the speakers will remain at the same frequency even as the microphone distance is varied. The floor bounce notch will move because the angle of reflection changes. Room modes will change because they are standing waves that form fixed "pockets" of sound, like a checkerboard pattern that the microphone moves through. But the self-interference from the back wall remains constant.

When you move the measurement microphone through the room, in most cases you see a mixture of modal and self-interference anomalies. Some move as the microphone is moved, but others remain fixed. The largest one remains fixed, actually, the one that comes from behind the speakers. But in the case of the constant directivity cornerhorn, it doesn't even exist. A constant directivity cornerhorn has no self-interference from the walls behind the speaker. The only thing that remains to be solved are room modes, and they are best mitigated using multisubs.

If the most offensive anomalies are at low frequency, as is often the case with constant directivity cornerhorns, then subs can be placed fairly distant from the main speakers for best results. But if they are higher in frequency, I would prefer a pair be placed closer, much like flanking subs. They aren't needed to smooth self-interference notches, so it isn't the same thing. They don't need to

be placed between the mains and the nearest boundaries, nor could they be. But they do need to be symmetrically placed and somewhat close to the mains, so they can be used up into the lower midrange without localization problems, similar to the flanking sub approach.

I think your subwoofer placement as shown in the photo probably works pretty well for this, since the second and third axial modes between your relatively narrow side walls are probably at least partly responsible for the anomalies at the upper end of the modal region. Sometimes having the subs on risers helps too, since the upper frequency modes are often vertical axial modes. The lower frequency modes are the primary modes, lowest being from the longest dimension. Those can be smoothed using subs placed further from the mains, run only at very low frequency. Room modes, multisubs and flanking subs

Subject: Re: Six Pi Review Posted by rkeman on Mon, 24 Sep 2012 19:43:09 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

The response curves are smoother below about 100Hz with the 6 Pis as a stereo pair and even more so if the subwoofers included, but I didn't want to muddy the waters in the review. Four new 15" drivers are on their way, and these will replace the current subwoofers in the near future. The Onkyo receiver being used has Audyssey MultiEQ XT32 room correction, and it produces a very flat response curve at the primary listening position. Surprisingly, the audible differences are fairly slight - a bit more image stability and bass definition. The Audyssey Dynamic Eq (dynamic equalization) is a completely different story. This corrects tonal balance of any program material for listening level. Finally a "loudness control" that actually works! The "10 db" setting seems to be a good compromise for both movies and music.

Subject: Re: Six Pi Review Posted by Wayne Parham on Mon, 24 Sep 2012 20:24:46 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

rkeman wrote on Mon, 24 September 2012 14:43The response curves are smoother below about 100Hz with the 6 Pis as a stereo pair and even more so if the subwoofers included, but I didn't want to muddy the waters in the review.

Understood and agreed. I think most people familiar with the multisub concept know that the more bass speakers you use, the smoother the modal range becomes. And under 100Hz, it almost doesn't matter where you put the woofers if you have four or more. Considering the woofers in the mains and in the subs - There are several bass sound sources running in most home theater and hifi setups - Just don't put them on top of one another and you have useful distribution.

But its a little harder to deal with the 100Hz - 200Hz region, which is where the constant directivity cornerhorns have an advantage, since they do not suffer from self-interference. I didn't want to hijack your thread, but I did find it useful to point out that your 1M and 3M measurements show no common features below 200Hz. This clearly indicates the response is dominated by modes, and

not by direct radiation or by self-interference from first reflections. This is a key point, because preventing self-interference from the nearest boundaries is something no other free-standing loudspeaker configuration can do except for a constant directivity cornerhorn.

You rightly stated that in-room response below 300Hz is dominated by room modes, and that every room will measure differently. In fact, every position in every room will measure differently. A single speaker in the room is going to illustrate this starkly, because it will suffer most strongly from room modes no matter what configuration it is. Most speakers measured indoors have peaks and valleys greater than 20dB, but constant directivity cornerhorns actually reduce this by a factor of about 10x in terms of power. The improvement is great enough that most people with constant directivity cornerhorns don't even really notice modal problems. But still, 10dB ripple is more than one would want, and it can be reduced further with distributed bass sound sources.

That's why I wanted to make a few comments about the response curve posted. I wanted to point out that people should expect average in-room response to be smoother when a pair of speakers are used, especially if augmented with distributed subs. When measured outdoors in a trihedral corner, response is ruler flat. Indoors, room modes will kick in, but when a stereo pair of speakers is used, response below 200Hz smoothes out and even more so when distributed subs are added.

Also, to smooth the higher end of the modal region, the low-pass frequency of the subwoofers has to be set a little higher than normal. Most sound processors limit the subwoofer channel to 80Hz or 100Hz, and they usually use a fourth-order slope. But to get any smoothing above 100Hz, naturally, the woofers have to be blended through that region. Sometimes you can set the processor for a higher low-pass frequency. Another way is to bypass the built-in LPF, and go with an external second-order filter, set to rolloff around ~120Hz. More distant subs can use the built-in filter set to rolloff lower.