

---

Subject: Inexpensive Used PP EL84 Recommendations, please

Posted by [DrD](#) on Mon, 24 Oct 2005 16:45:42 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On the hunt for a good used, preferably vintage EL84 amp. Thinking about a 60's HH Scott, something like that. I have never heard one I didnt like. Thanks for your input.

---

---

Subject: Re: Inexpensive Used PP EL84 Recommendations, please

Posted by [PakProtector](#) on Mon, 24 Oct 2005 23:24:44 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hey-Hey!!!,aside from enjoying it...what are your plans for the piece? Also, will you be needing just an amp? like a ST35 Dynaco? or some sort of integrated style?The Dynaco SCA35 fits the integrated category, has the same output Iron as the ST35 and can be modified( probably require some re-fit for reliable day-to-day use anyway ).The Heath W2 is a 6L6 amp, but has a 10k a-a output TX, and a seperate power supply, so a bit of work would be needed to fit EI84. Mostly PS building, and socket swapping. It probably has better output Iron, but I am just speculating there...And then there are the Eico and Scott to consider...cheers,Douglas

---

---

Subject: Re: Inexpensive Used PP EL84 Recommendations, please

Posted by [metasonix](#) on Mon, 31 Oct 2005 18:07:17 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

let me see....you're telling this guy to get a Heath W-2 and hack it to take EL84s? Why? EL84s are lesser performers than 6L6s--higher distortion, lower power. And W-2s do have some collectible value--but not when they've been hacked.He'd be better off just finding a Scott 222 or Heath AA-151. Or a long list of other vintage EL84 amps. Or far better, getting two W-2s and using them as-is.

---

---

Subject: Re: Inexpensive Used PP EL84 Recommendations, please

Posted by [PakProtector](#) on Mon, 31 Oct 2005 21:30:04 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Well, thanks for waiting to suggest all those other options. As a matter of fact, W2's turn up one-at-a-time fairly inexpensively, especially w/o the PS part.Considering my experience with the big S265 Peerless output, I'd conclude that a single 16277 would be a similar performer. Better than the cheaper integrated options you mention I'll bet. Get one, unwind and make more. No big

deal there, that's for sure. Probably ~\$75 each to make as many as you want. Can't call that expensive...:) Or try building with a 16311, or 16309. I would agree that the W2 would do quite well with its own 6L6's. I don't have much experience with EL84's. 6L6's, those I've built with and liked the result. I would say that the original front end can be improved upon easily. See Merlin V2 for an example. Getting rid of the global NFB path has always sounded better, assuming the rest of the amp could be brought to heel of course...:) cheers, Douglas

---

---

Subject: Re: Say; anyone have an opinion on the Mullard 5-20?  
Posted by [Manualblock](#) on Tue, 01 Nov 2005 01:33:17 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Nice tube compliment but the power trans looks difficult. I wouldn't mind hearing one myself.

---

---

Subject: Re: Say; anyone have an opinion on the Mullard 5-20?  
Posted by [Damir](#) on Tue, 01 Nov 2005 09:28:50 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

No, Merlin V2 has "ordinary" Raa=10k PP OPT, just with two UL taps, on 20% and 30%. The "usual suspects" we can buy only have one UL tap, about 40%, and "Merlin" OPT must be custom ordered, but acc. to Doug - no big deal. Nothing complicated in terms of Quad, McIntosh or new Plitron "Specialist" range, with various windings for cathodes and g2.

---

---

Subject: Huh, sorry Manual...  
Posted by [Damir](#) on Tue, 01 Nov 2005 10:12:38 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I just realized that you talked about Mullard 5-20 POWER transformer, and not about "Merlin" OPT... Well, nothing complicated with PT - usual 5V rectifier winding, two 6,3Vct heater windings and 410-0-410V HV secondary, GZ34 rectifier, CLC "smoothing". OPT is UL, 2xEL34 cathode biased, input/driver EF86 to ECC83, high neg. feedback. There're a few pages of descriptions/comments in M. Jones book(s). Of course, the full schematic/descriptions/construction, measured performances...etc - in "Mullard Tube circuits for audio amplifiers" book. IMO - go for "Merlin V2" :-).

---

---

Subject: Re: Huh, sorry Manual...

---

Posted by [Manualblock](#) on Tue, 01 Nov 2005 12:33:39 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Thanks Damir; let me say this; it is sometimes easier for us new guys to follow the older schematics in terms of the maths and the reasons for part choices than it is in the CCS models. Thats why I happened to look at the Mullard. I don't like the high feedback though.Thanks for that tutorial earlier on the circuit math. The trouble with those posts for us is that by the time I have it sorted out you guys are long past that point and on to something else.It is much easier to learn on the all tube models for me at this point.

---

---

Subject: CCS

Posted by [Damir](#) on Tue, 01 Nov 2005 16:56:08 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"Active load" is not a new idea, it is mentioned many times in the book "Vacuum Tube Amplifiers" by Walley and Wallman, 1948.You can ignore how SS CCS circuit works, you can just look at it like very high value resistor for AC, and with "adjustable" voltage drop for DC.

<http://home.pacifier.com/~gpimm/>

---

---

Subject: Re: CCS

Posted by [Manualblock](#) on Tue, 01 Nov 2005 17:43:29 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Thanks D; thats what I needed.

---

---

Subject: Re: CCS

Posted by [PakProtector](#) on Wed, 02 Nov 2005 14:54:36 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hey 'blockThe biggest trouble I have substituting CCS board for resistors is figuring out where to put them. They usually get used as a special, high performance resistor...or at least that's how I have to think about them sometimes.cheers,Douglas

---

---

Subject: Re:Thanks Douglas. nt

---

Posted by [Manualblock](#) on Wed, 02 Nov 2005 16:29:50 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

nt

---