Subject: Replacing Photo Camera Posted by iDummy on Sat, 04 Jun 2011 01:49:23 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

It seems that everything machine we have does multi-tasking. Is it better to buy a video camera that takes stills or a still camera that takes videos?

Subject: Re: Replacing Photo Camera Posted by Clueless on Sat, 04 Jun 2011 02:09:52 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I personally like each machine to do only one thing and do it right. I have an old 35mm camera as well as a digital one just because I like to do things old school too.

Subject: Re: Replacing Photo Camera Posted by Doppler on Fri, 10 Jun 2011 10:13:29 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Personally, I'll go for a still camera that captures videos. I take pictures more than I shoot videos, so I pay more attention to the camera function than the video capability.

Subject: Re: Replacing Photo Camera Posted by Nymeria on Sat, 11 Jun 2011 14:30:42 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I'm with Doppler. Since most of the time, I take pictures, video capability can be cruder and I'm ok with it. It depends on which is more important to you, iDummy.

Subject: Re: Replacing Photo Camera Posted by DJ Dave on Sat, 11 Jun 2011 19:05:05 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Ha! That's a good question. I think if you plan on doing lots of movies, go with the movie camera. But if you just want to do a small video here and there, the camera works best. It is easier to carry around.

Subject: Re: Replacing Photo Camera Posted by Shane on Mon, 13 Jun 2011 12:26:44 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message Depends. If in your budget, some of the new DSLR's that have come out in the last year have superb video capability. My wifes little Panasonic takes quite good 1080P video for what it is. Great for the occasional video.

Page 2 of 2 ---- Generated from AudioRoundTable.com