
Subject: 3D TVs

Posted by [Roxie](#) on Sat, 04 Jun 2011 01:30:05 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I have been thinking about the 3D TVs again. I am wondering how does it work with the normal programming that is not 3D. Are they really worth it?

Subject: Re: 3D TVs

Posted by [audioaudio90](#) on Wed, 08 Jun 2011 14:07:29 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I don't think so, but then I hate 3D, so I'm a bit biased. I imagine they function as normal TVs with regular programming and switch to 3D mode when required.

Subject: Re: 3D TVs

Posted by [Nymeria](#) on Wed, 15 Jun 2011 14:45:29 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I don't care for 3D either, although I guess if I don't have to wear the stupid headache-inducing glasses, I could change my mind.

I'm willing to wait awhile to see if the 3D craze is going to work or be a short-lived fad.

Subject: Re: 3D TVs

Posted by [Wayne Parham](#) on Wed, 15 Jun 2011 15:47:49 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Subject: Re: 3D TVs

Posted by [Jolene](#) on Wed, 15 Jun 2011 17:27:38 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I am not ready to buy a 3D TV yet but eventually I will. Now they have battery-free glasses. I know one of the manufacturers is producing a 3D TV that doesn't require glasses. But for me I am all about the experience. I think a 3D TV at home would be very cool!

Subject: Re: 3D TVs

Posted by [gofar99](#) on Sat, 18 Jun 2011 14:35:15 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi, I love the photo Wayne. They were probably watching something like the Thing. Audiences were different then, no popcorn and all adults (most curious). Might have been something above PG13.

Subject: Re: 3D TVs

Posted by [AdrianG](#) on Wed, 16 Nov 2011 07:18:06 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Nymeria wrote on Wed, 15 June 2011 09:45 I don't care for 3D either, although I guess if I don't have to wear the stupid headache-inducing glasses, I could change my mind.

I'm willing to wait awhile to see if the 3D craze is going to work or be a short-lived fad.

It's all about the glasses for me too. I have bad eyesight, so I would never consider getting a 3D TV if I have to wear special glasses. I've also heard about the ones that wouldn't require glasses though, as Jolene mentioned. If those become common and affordable, I could see the technology becoming much more popular.

Subject: Re: 3D TVs

Posted by [Lancelot](#) on Wed, 04 Jan 2012 12:45:31 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I visited our local mall and there was this company, I forgot the name but it is from China, and they showed me a small TV the size of an iPad. It has 3D features and you don't need glasses in order to watch it. It makes me dizzy watching it because of the double vision but if you concentrate on the picture then your eyes will adjust and it seems like the image is outside the screen.

As for buying it, I would rather have the 3D TV that requires glasses.

Subject: Re: 3D TVs

Posted by [likearecordingbaby](#) on Sat, 28 Apr 2012 16:45:51 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I would skip the 3D if I were you, at least until the technology improves. It is nothing but a gimmick. Be happy with high-def for now; that works perfectly fine and is good enough!

Subject: Re: 3D TVs

Posted by [audiot3ch](#) on Tue, 16 Oct 2012 21:14:50 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

3D Television sets have not reached their full potential yet. A lot of them still only lets a few people watch them at once, and the 3D glasses are often expensive. I've also heard that many people get headaches from watching television in 3D for so long.

I would personally wait a few years and see if the prices come down. I went into Best Buy the other day and saw that they had their 3D TV's priced at over \$2,000.
