Subject: Dr. Phil
Posted by Gator on Fri, 18 Jan 2008 19:12:05 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hey, hey,Everybody's heard by now that Dr. Phil is being investigated for practicing without a license and violating doctor-patient privilege.What I'd like to know is how is that news? When you broadcast people's problems to 300 million homes across America, doesn't that tend to violate doctor-patient privilege?Everyone on the Dr. Phil show has had their doctor-patient privilege violated. What took the California Board of Psychology so long to figure this out?Later,Gator
In Case You Didn't Know » State of California Investigates Dr. Phil

Subject: Re: Dr. Phil
Posted by Melissa on Thu, 31 Jan 2008 15:11:26 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Yeah, I knew about this when he started with the BIG "O", I wonder if she will lecture him on the air like she did the author FREY from the book "A Million Little Pieces". Dr PHIL is JOKE at best!! I think all the little SHEOPLE need him!!! They can have him!!

Subject: Re: Dr. Phil
Posted by granch on Thu, 14 Feb 2008 09:53:36 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I have not been following this on the air since I don't watch "Big O", but to answer your question. Doctor-patient privilege would not be violated if 1. He had patients permission or 2. never identified the patient under discussion. If he is not a doctor, then probably the same rules would still apply. As to practicing without a license, I'll leave that to the AMA.-Dick

Subject: Re: Dr. Phil
Posted by Drummer on Sat, 15 May 2010 14:39:14 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

People realize that their problems will be broadcast for millions to see and hear and make opinions. But these days you can sue for just about any and everything .

Subject: Re: Dr. Phil

Posted by Mavare on Sat, 15 May 2010 22:30:13 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Yeah, remember when the lady sued McDonald's for having the coffee hot? She got a couple of million dollars out of that one and now McDonald's has to have a stupid sign on their drive through and on their cups that say "Caution: coffee is hot". Well duh! Ya Think?

Subject: Re: Dr. Phil
Posted by TLC123 on Fri, 28 May 2010 02:09:06 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

What I want to know is how Dr. Phil could have been around for some many years without anybody knowing he was practicing without a license? Seems like someone should have known by now.

Subject: Re: Dr. Phil
Posted by Lyrica on Sun, 30 May 2010 23:01:37 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

As far as doctor Phil goes...I remember he had a "family in crisis" on there about 8 years ago. The 16 yr old daughter was pregnant and I guess they'd turned to him for help. He and his staff have been working with the family for years, with occasional update episodes. Since the intervention, the parents are now divorced, the girl has struggled with drug addiction and it just goes downhill from there. I just wonder how destructive having your whole life chronicled by some "tv psychologist" has been. Seems to me all the "publicity" would only compound problems for the family. His motives to "help" don't appear to be very altruistic.

Subject: Re: Dr. Phil
Posted by DaBase on Thu, 03 Jun 2010 13:05:33 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I use to watch Dr. Phil but I don't anymore. I think he is smug and thinks he knows it all and I want to shave that caterpillar off his face. I don't know why anyone would want to go on his show and talk about their "problems" with him.

Subject: Re: Dr. Phil
Posted by Equinom on Fri, 09 Jul 2010 19:47:02 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I am watching Dr. Phil as we speak. Sometimes it can be a very interesting show. I don't understand how it took all that time for people to realize he was practicing without a license. Was he ever practicing with a license to begin with?

Subject: Re: Dr. Phil
Posted by Wayne Parham on Fri, 09 Jul 2010 21:07:28 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

So you can watch Dr. Phil at work but you can't listen to music there? Not even on headphones? That just seems kind of odd to me. Where do you work?
American Lullaby

Subject: Re: Dr. Phil
Posted by Jayson on Wed, 04 Aug 2010 19:01:11 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I wonder if my father knows this. He always is spouting about well DR. Phil said this or that. It really boggles my mind why people watch and listen to this stuff!

Subject: Re: Dr. Phil
Posted by tali on Thu, 05 Aug 2010 10:55:45 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I don't like Dr. Phil. I think he is pompous jerk that needs to be booted from the TV spotlight. He believes he is a know it all just as another poster stated.

Subject: Re: Dr. Phil
Posted by Loud on Thu, 05 Aug 2010 10:57:29 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I feel the same way Tali. All he is doing is making money and embarrassing these families. But isn't that what all talk show do these days?!

Subject: Re: Dr. Phil
Posted by Adveser on Sat, 07 Aug 2010 14:20:42 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I agree on the exploitation aspect. Oprah made her Billion dollars off the backs of people in extremely bad circumstances too.

I have been saying for years that this guy always misrepresents himself as a Doctor when he is no way one. Having a PHD is psychology does not mean he has the right to publish diet books with the huge "Dr." on the cover. He knows people don't see the distinction and exploits that to his advantage.

Subject: Re: Dr. Phil
Posted by Old Timer on Sat, 07 Aug 2010 16:59:07 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Sometimes I think Oprah is like the innocent looking child who is sweetly stirring the pot! I'm not convinced the PhD doctor vs MD doctor issue is deceptive. It could well make him qualified in the topic he is writing about.

Subject: Re: Dr. Phil
Posted by voidswife on Wed, 01 Dec 2010 17:13:12 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

The funny thing about these self-proclaimed relationship/family pros is that most of them probably don't even take their own advice and have some form of dysfunction at home themselves. The "Dr. Phil method" isn't always realistic!

Subject: Re: Dr. Phil
Posted by Adveser on Thu, 02 Dec 2010 18:52:10 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Old Timer wrote on Sat, 07 August 2010 11:59Sometimes I think Oprah is like the innocent looking child who is sweetly stirring the pot! I'm not convinced the PhD doctor vs MD doctor issue is deceptive. It could well make him qualified in the topic he is writing about.

No psychologist has any business writing a diet book and slapping "doctor" under the title.

Dr. Phil doesn't even have a liscense to practice psychology anymore.

Subject: Re: Dr. Phil
Posted by DJ Dave on Sat, 06 Apr 2013 09:29:02 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I don't find Dr. Phil all that interesting. I think he gained his fame through Oprah. There are better doctors in real life that should get more credit.