
Subject: Wiretapping By Feds Is Ruled Unconstitutional
Posted by [Manualblock](#) on Thu, 17 Aug 2006 17:22:05 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Yep; a federal judge in Detroit has put a stop to the snooping on citizens by the government. America; you got to love it.

Subject: For Some Real Analysis . . .
Posted by [elektrartig](#) on Thu, 17 Aug 2006 17:42:07 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Follow the discussion at The Volokh Conspiracy, a legal blog, starting with the post linked below. We'll see.
Volokh Conspiracy Post

Subject: Re: For Some Real Analysis . . .
Posted by [Manualblock](#) on Thu, 17 Aug 2006 18:46:27 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Nice link E; A cursory reading; international or border crossing search is a no-brainer; it is like they say; if you can be searched at the border than communications are implied in that same doctrine. I am reading the case record but it is long. While that is ongoing allow me to present the arguments made for the same reasons back in 1970 and the Nixon administration. No terrorist organisations were even searched just anti-Nixon groups. Thats my complaint. Back to the case law.

Subject: Re: Wiretapping By Feds Is Ruled Unconstitutional
Posted by [Bill Martinelli](#) on Thu, 17 Aug 2006 19:34:51 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I dont see anything wrong with the feds spying on people

Subject: Re: Wiretapping By Feds Is Ruled Unconstitutional
Posted by [Manualblock](#) on Thu, 17 Aug 2006 19:51:14 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Always worked for Joe Stalin. Lot of successfull dictators find it to be very usefull. The guy that just died; Stroessner; from Argentina; he was able to acquire all his torture victims that way. Very effective.If it works don't knock it.

Subject: Sweet!

Posted by [PakProtector](#) on Thu, 17 Aug 2006 19:52:21 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

though I was not expecting to see such good sense from Detroit. First good thing I've seen the Criminal Liberties Union supporting in a while. If only those SOB's would refrain from hobbling folk who're dealing with illegal immigrant legislation.cheers,Douglas

Subject: Re: Sweet!

Posted by [Manualblock](#) on Thu, 17 Aug 2006 20:07:35 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I am not sure but I would assume Detroit was handpicked as a court that would be freindly to the cause for the ACLU.

Subject: Re: So it really boils down to executive priveledge

Posted by [Manualblock](#) on Thu, 17 Aug 2006 23:54:53 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Using the State Secrets Defense bars the entire action. Is that correct? Also do you know what the Youngstown Issue is?

Subject: Wash Post: "A Judicial Misfire"

Posted by [elektratig](#) on Fri, 18 Aug 2006 13:17:36 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I rarely agree with the Post, but I do agree with many points made in its editorial today, "A Judicial Misfire." Whether the result ultimately proves right or wrong, the entire opinion is amateurish. If a junior associate, or a judge's law clerk, produced work product like this, he/she would be put on

warning or terminated. It's also demeaning to the federal judiciary to look so blatantly partisan. In the end, the chief victim of the decision is going to be the judge's reputation, not the administration.

"A Judicial Misfire"

Subject: Re: Wash Post: "A Judicial Misfire"

Posted by [Manualblock](#) on Fri, 18 Aug 2006 13:33:59 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Yes but it has accomplished what we wanted. If you follow the development of this situation the Administration has always tried this case in the media but has been very reticent to actually involve the courts. Now they must be hauled into the eye of the court and argue their case where it counts and answer for their conduct in a forum more serious than the media. That's the positive outcome from this ruling. The Judge is irrelevant here in my opinion.
