

---

Subject: Cowboys Faggots

Posted by [Damir](#) on Wed, 18 Jan 2006 11:53:06 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Well, I`m glad for you, Wild-West boys (movie awards, etc.), but when I was a kid cowboys were strong macho man, fightin` for justice and nice ladies - and not foolin` around sucking each other dicks... What`s happenin` ?

<http://www.drudgereport.com/flash3bm.htm>

---

---

Subject: Re: Cowboys Faggots

Posted by [Manualblock](#) on Wed, 18 Jan 2006 12:51:22 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Where you been? Montana; where men are men and sheep are nervous.It gets lonely out there on the prairie; the hacienda is far away and only the stars for company. A man gets lonely and comes to feelin' like he needs some company. Cows are too big. Yep; your partner starts to look mighty good after six months in the tall grass. Those big old spurs a jinglin' and janglin'.

---

---

Subject: Re: Cowboys Faggots

Posted by [akhilesh](#) on Wed, 18 Jan 2006 16:35:56 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

HI JOhn,WOUld you extend that line of reasoning to the military as well?How about oil rigs?CONstruction crews in third world countries?YOU think the percentage of gays is higher in these environments?-akhilesh

---

---

Subject: Re: Cowboys Faggots

Posted by [Damir](#) on Wed, 18 Jan 2006 18:24:40 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Well, we covered this before...

<http://www.audioroundtable.com/Dungeon/messages/1653.html>

---

---

Subject: Re: Cowboys Faggots

---

Posted by [Manualblock](#) on Wed, 18 Jan 2006 20:56:55 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hold up there old buddy; I don't really have an opinion on gays or where they are located. That was my attempt at humor. Someone else's sexual proclivities are their business; I don't ask and frankly I don't think about it. I don't believe in persecuting or denigrating anyone for how they live their lives as long as they don't hurt anyone. But I reserve the right to make humor out of anything that happens under the sun. I truly believe that is the cure for all of mankind's disputes. A liberal dose of humor applied with abandon. It's hard to fight/hate/hurt or punish people when you are laughing. Faggots was not my word BTW. That's not a good word. It means kindling wood that has been torched in a fireplace. So; I don't really have a reply for this one. What do you think about the Supreme Court upholding the right to assisted suicide?

---

---

Subject: Re: Cowboys Faggots

Posted by [akhilesh](#) on Wed, 18 Jan 2006 22:53:39 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I was kidding John. I think I know you well enough to know you are not at all a bigot, unless it's being bigoted against the conservatives!:-) The SC decision...hmm. I think it's interesting that the new Chief Justice Roberts, Thomas & Scalia opposed it. May be an indication of how Roberts will vote later on, doesn't it? Looks like Bush made a good appointee (for his base that is) and a BAD appointee for the opposition. Wonder how Alito will pan out. -akhilesh

---

---

Subject: Re: Cowboys Faggots

Posted by [Manualblock](#) on Thu, 19 Jan 2006 01:00:36 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I know you know how I am; I just wanted to clarify for those who don't. The rule concerning Supreme Court nominees as you know is never predict how they will vote. I need to read Justice Roberts' opinion to see how his reasoning is. I think Alito is an opportunist based on his replies during the confirmation hearings which BTW I thought (and I am sure I am not alone here) were atrociously pompous and trivial at the same time. What a disaster for the public to witness the witless questioning by the representatives and the dodging and weaving of the nominee. If I were an appointee I would stand and speak my mind like Robert Bork did. I know that might be shooting myself in the foot but as a judge shouldn't you be proud of your opinions? Studying Scalia and Thomas I think the court will always be divided. They are reactionaries and as such they will never be a unifying force on the court regardless of who is appointed. The thing is the most serious of the culture questions are now pretty much settled law. So; we will see. Did you watch any of the hearings?

---

---

Subject: Re: Cowboys Faggots  
Posted by [akhilesh](#) on Thu, 19 Jan 2006 15:23:43 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Yeah I watched some of the hearings. They were fun!The senators made an ass out of themselves, in general. It got interesting when the wife blubbed and left, and the "poor senators" all felt so guilty. It was ridiculous. -akhilesh

---

Subject: Re: Cowboys Faggots  
Posted by [Manualblock](#) on Thu, 19 Jan 2006 16:15:41 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I agree with half of your statement; they all made asses out their selves IMHO. If you had the opportunity to become omnipotent regarding the US Govt what would you change; what one thing, not like "The Whole Thing," but which specific thing would you want to implement. I only ask that it be reasonable in scope.

---

Subject: Re: How about religion  
Posted by [Old Brown Eyes](#) on Fri, 20 Jan 2006 17:27:28 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

What about religious faiths that allow gay clergy members? The Bible is rather clear on homosexuality (not too big on making them sheep nervous either). It is called an "abomination". To me that is a very strong word. As such it is beyond my understanding how any religion that associates itself with the Bible could allow homosexuality.Russ

---

Subject: Re: How about religion  
Posted by [Manualblock](#) on Fri, 20 Jan 2006 17:50:45 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

How do you mean that? What do you mean by allow?

---

Subject: Re: Cowboys Faggots  
Posted by [Manualblock](#) on Fri, 20 Jan 2006 18:01:57 GMT

---

I am going out on a limb here. We; AK and I have had numerous discussions regarding the government and how it is conducted. He is not alone in establishing what appears to me to be a strong dislike of how the structure of government is conducted. At various times we have heard that the Constitution is mis-read. That all of Congree and the Senate is filled with crooks and liars and theives. I think that is an accurate statement if you look at previous posts.I find this difficult to follow. I believe that all citizens in a democratic republic like ours have a responsibility to observe and participate in the government as much as is feasible and correct. That means holding opinions on matters of the day; voting; keeping abreast as much as possible with the arguments surrounding the political landscape. Knowing who your representatives are and what they stand for.I have strong opinions concerning our leaders. If I think they are wrong or behaving badly I use my power of the vote to express my view.But make no mistake; I think this is the best governing body in the history of the world. While I don't agree with many of our current political members in the house and senate; I respect them for doing an impossible job as best as they can. Do they have faults? Absolutely; thats why we vote. Do they have more faults than the average guy? Nope.Talked to an insurance executive lately?How about a CEO?

---