
Subject: just say no to shimano
Posted by [MQracing](#) on Sun, 18 Dec 2005 18:10:09 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

The web can be a great place. And sometimes fun. It also allows folks to vent... so we go from the extremes of endorsement, adulation, and approval all the way to envy, flaming, and bizarre behaviour as regards folks expressing opinions on products or personalities. Who thinks Bill Gates gives two poops as to what his net detractors\haters say? Gates realizes that a certain amount of kookattention is the price he must pay. The best the anti gates netkook (who makes stalking gates his occupation or "reason for being") can hope for is to actually get a response from his target. Bill's smarter than that...but what is more fun and not over the edge of sanity... is one of my favorite net "wars".... which is better shimano or campagnolo? I say campy hands down. I say "just say no to Shimano"...for fun do a google search on the phrase "just say not to shimano"...most of the banter btwn the two camps is relatively good natured... though I would guess there are both anti-campy and anti-shimano kooks out there... who might become unglued a bit....but if you really need to know why campy is better just go to www.campyonly.com which do you guys like? Are we campy fans or shimano fans?msl

Subject: Re: just say no to shimano
Posted by [Manualblock](#) on Sun, 18 Dec 2005 22:10:19 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Lets talk about girls.Or women for us older guys.Why don't they like audio?

Subject: Re: campy
Posted by [Bill Martinelli](#) on Mon, 19 Dec 2005 01:01:42 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I'm with you on the campy gear. Some of the high end shimono is good gear. Shimono makes more low end junk though and just flashes their name on it? Most of the campy stuff I've ever seen is all good shit, or Really good shit. Why would gates give a pig or a poke about what anyone other than his wife thought? I'm surprised he hasn't started his on broadcasting network yet.

Subject: Re: campy
Posted by [Leland Crooks](#) on Mon, 19 Dec 2005 03:49:04 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I used to own a bike shop. Shimano is a pain in the ass. Every new piece of gear needs a specialized tool to install, adjust or just look at. I didn't get to work on much campy gear, not enough high end bikes around here in the 80's. But my own bike is shimano.

Subject: Re: campy

Posted by [Bill Martinelli](#) on Mon, 19 Dec 2005 15:50:02 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Yep, I'm afraid as much as I favor the Campy gear. All my bikes have Shimano rigged on them. I had the opportunity to run a Look, carbon frame with all Campy top shelf for a 30 mile spin a few years ago. Basically a very expensive TDF type bike. I was impressed. Just an incredible machine. Now I ride my hybrid a few times a year on a canal trail

Subject: Re: campy

Posted by [MQracing](#) on Mon, 19 Dec 2005 16:14:28 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi Bill:For many years campy did not offer components suitable for hybrids... this year and last has seen the introduction of components designed for hybrids and mountain biking... so perhaps campy will get back into these sectors of the bike industry. I have a cinelli with carbon forks and stays.. it's the unica model.. which is equipped with mostly Chorus 10 speed components. If campy still made the old Nuovo Record or Super Record groups... that's what I would still use... indexed shifting sucks in my opinion... but... it is the only game in town...and talk now is how soon before campy and shimano raise the stakes (obsolete a bunch of equip) by going to 12 speed clusters in the rear...it's actually a perfect example of how company's must continually "innovate" new and "improved" products when they compete in small niche markets... since the markets are so small and are not expanding at any real healthy rate... company's realize that they have to sell each buyer more than one Durace or Record group to stay in biz....what better way than to raise the stakes and obsolete last years products.... hence, twelve speeds... are not, I predict, too far off into the future.cheers,msl

Subject: Re: campy

Posted by [Leland Crooks](#) on Mon, 19 Dec 2005 16:23:39 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Life gets in the way of riding. I have a carbon fiber Trek w titanium spokes, all kinds of cool knicknacks that I installed before I quit in 95. Probably has 2k on it, and no mileage at all the last

3 or 4 years. Haven't even ridden my mountain bike. Although come spring it's coming out of retirement for my commute, which is all of 1 mile. Small town life has it's advantages.

Subject: Re: campy

Posted by [MQracing](#) on Mon, 19 Dec 2005 16:48:01 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi Leland:for me... it's that darn old age gettin' in the way...rebuilt a fixed gear track bike that I custom built (frame and all) back in 1980. With my commute being about four miles and all flat terrain... I was really excited to get back on my bike and do something healthy for a change...wouldn't ya know it... my left knee goes south... pain out the wazoo... but nothing that the shot of cortizone didn't relieve quickly... so... I guess I do need to dust it off and get back on the road.the titanium spokes sound neat... have they held up well? wheel stay true?cheers,msl

Subject: Crap-agnolo

Posted by [PakProtector](#) on Mon, 19 Dec 2005 23:49:43 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

D-A all the way...or at least most of it. And what if one wants to go on the trail? XTR will do just fine. Restricted to 8-spds of course.cheers,Douglas

Subject: they do...

Posted by [PakProtector](#) on Mon, 19 Dec 2005 23:52:04 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I am designing a PP 2A3 amp for my wife to build. Heyboer wound Iron and Sovtek finals. What a catch, that girl!cheers,Douglas

Subject: Re: campy

Posted by [Leland Crooks](#) on Mon, 19 Dec 2005 23:56:09 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Yes they have. They were the hot ticket when I built the wheels back in 95. You have to have a tensiometer to set them however. If you over tighten they will break more easily than steel. I

need to rework it before it gets ridden, but I've lost my reference for my tensiometer and WheelSmith wants \$25 to send me a replacement. I've tried them maybe twice in the original mileage on the bike. Not to brag, but I was a damn good wheelbuilder. I'm impressed, frame and all! All I did to frames was straighten them out when kids slammed into copings, curbs and whatnot. I miss my shop, it was a fun business. Not much money though.

Subject: Re: they do...

Posted by [Manualblock](#) on Tue, 20 Dec 2005 00:32:42 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Glad to hear that! Happy H-Days to you both. So do you both like the same music?

Subject: Re: they do...

Posted by [PakProtector](#) on Tue, 20 Dec 2005 00:41:53 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

We like a lot of the same stuff, some of mine, she won't listen to, and the other way around. Just went to see, "Walk the Line" and enjoyed the heck out of it with her. Resisting the temptation to wave the red cape and say what I am going to unwind....:)As much as I'd like to see her solder her way through my Citation II refurb, I think I'll start her on a ground-up. It will be just building, not taking apart and then putting it back together. I am resisting the temptation to pull the Deuce apart and put it back together one bit at a time. All the little solid core insulation has gotten gooey and sticky as it de-polymerizes.cheers,Douglas

Subject: Re: campy

Posted by [MQracing](#) on Tue, 20 Dec 2005 01:51:49 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Leland wrote::::Not to brag, but I was a damn good wheelbuilder.:::heh... that's something in my book.:::I'm impressed, frame and all! All I did to frames was straighten them out when kids slammed into copings, curbs and whatnot. I miss my shop, it was a fun business. Not much money though.:::Framebuilding was fun. I worked for Michael Melton back in 1980. Michael later went on and built the first gen funny bikes for the 84 olympic team. I still have the track tandem that I built in Mike's shop in SC. We took it to the NYC bike show in 1980 and it's been under wraps for the last 26 years... still a virgin.right now I have the hots for a cinelli traditional steel frame... while they are still available. I never worked in a retail bike shop... that takes lot of patience...and other folks have told me.... it's not the place to get rich either :=)If you were a good wheel builder you should be adept at diy'ing amps I would guess. msl

Subject: Re: campy

Posted by [Leland Crooks](#) on Wed, 21 Dec 2005 11:16:37 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

The only electronics I ever diy'd was a Heathkit AR2020 back in the late 70's, and a Hafler amp in the early 80's. SS, still have the heathkit. Lots of xovers and such but no tube or serious hifi since then. Time to start however. I have an old heathkit tube integrated from my father in law he built in 54 or 55 that I think I'll revamp once I build some of Wayne's 7pi's. Woodworking, old cars, and old house(more than a hobby) have been the main hobbies for quite a while. Your tube discussions on other forums here leave me in the dust. Not much on theory anymore, but give me a schematic and I can probably build it, point to point anyway. I tried making a pc board once . Maybe it's easier now.

Subject: Re: campy

Posted by [Damir](#) on Wed, 21 Dec 2005 11:58:32 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hehe, we like to discuss technical details and designs choices even more then building amps (much easier, for sure:-)). There will be more work on some projects soon in "Group Build" section - interesting E-linear PP tube amp (new local neg. feedback principle), mosfets/tubes hibrid. Relatively easy for building when PCB for SS components will be design (hopefully soon). You can see the schematic in "Projects" section. Then "classical" 300B SE design, with all the details - 5 parts of this story already posted, I hope that final schematic and finished amp will be out in a few months...

Subject: Re: campy

Posted by [MQracing](#) on Wed, 21 Dec 2005 21:48:45 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi Damir:the group build section-- notwithstanding your desgin, which I've not seen-- is getting utterly stale...it's just the hawking of one person's circuit design and his incessant shilling on behalf of one company who he claims to have a "close" relationship with... with not a dash of creativity or inspiration over the last several months... and it's effectively a closed forum that is dominated by one strong personality... not much room at all for others to creatively contribute... nor the climate which would encourage such contributions... it has become one guy's battlefield... fortunately, there are many alternatives. When I've posted several proposals for recommending a viable, impartial, voluntary, and fair game plan as regards testing for example (and lord knows why that is even in a group buy forum)... each of them have been yanked down... that surely shuts the door toward creating an open envirnment that encourages participation, creativity, equal access, and etc...I would encourage Leland to look around the web and see the really cool projects that are out there... you can email me privately at acrosound@aol.com and I will try to

give you some pointers. and Leland, stick around, it can only get better :=)msl

Subject: Re: campy

Posted by [MQracing](#) on Wed, 21 Dec 2005 22:29:48 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi Leland:so that I can try to be more positive :=)::Woodworking, old cars, and old house(more than a hobby) have been the main hobbies for quite a while.::Well that sounds like more than enough to keep any one guy busy. I like old cars as well... and especially race cars, motorcycles, and street hot rods... are you restoring an old car currently?Woodworking... I am sure you've been over to the Craftsman forum here at ART, right? It's one of my fave spots here. But I am a complete neophyte when it comes to woodworking.::Your tube discussions on other forums here leave me in the dust. Not much on theory anymore, but give me a schematic and I can probably build it, point to point anyway.::Boring as shit, heh? sort of like talking to a bunch of brake and suspension engineers if your a layperson.. the angle of the vanes, the pitch of the vanes, the area, the cooling surface... all we hot rodders want to know is will it stop this sprint car at the end of the shoot before I go over the wall and out of the park :+))so... apologies... and I mean this somewhat sincerely... we should all be exploring the benefits of or the excitement of audio... not playing weenie engineer with each other and to impress the newbie's... So... often... on any group of forums... they become egineering centric... and you get a bunch of guys talking about the most mundance shit... and arguing with each other... whereas, all of us (including me) need to be more helpful and try to focus on offering practical advice and guidance as opposed to dishing out arcane magnetic theory... even I find the technical discussions of which is better a SRPP or a CF.... boring, boring, snooze.... someone wake me up...thanks for the compliment... and I realize it was offered sincerely... and it's appreciated...but you don't want to hear all that technical dry bullshit stuff... you might want to make something beautiful that sounds good...best of with the 7pi's... are they a kit? What wood you gonna use? Any pics? Start yet?mslKansas and old houses... seems picturesque.... idyllic even. Not like an east coast urban center (philly).

Subject: Re: campy

Posted by [Leland Crooks](#) on Thu, 22 Dec 2005 01:55:57 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Thanks for the encouragement all. My 7pis will either be cherry or oak. I have the oak, but want to work with some cherry to try it out. There is one unfinished bedroom in my big ol victorian that will get built in armoire's out of cherry next year. I have a 65 lemans convertible almost done. New top, interior, stereo (to die for, I know how to do car audio right), are all that's left. If I could get about 4 days off I could finish it.Wood shop time for the last year has been building Fitz's stuff for my son's band. Amp racks, subs, mains, mixer rack, monitors, the works. Two more tops and subs in production right now. Winter time is shop time. My business peaks in the spring and summer and time off then is pretty scarce.I really want to try some tube amps or gainclones.

Gainclones strike me as a good place to start tinkering. Easier than tube amps.

Subject: Re: campy

Posted by [MQracing](#) on Thu, 22 Dec 2005 02:15:35 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi Leland:I was trying to find the 7pis on the Pi site with no luck. can you post a url for the kit?If you want to wait a year or two... we have a large cherry that's gotta come down on some land that I have in upstate Pa. I was offered some big bucks for the tree... but when she comes down I am going to have it sawn up into boards instead of selling it. I have a boatload of soft maple from some downed trees on my land that is drying.your lemans convertible... very nice... what powerplant? wasn't the lemans the early or predecessor of the GTO?mentioning the gain clones... they have also caught my attention... and my ears. I heard a pair of the 47 lab amps and thought they sounded really good. They are, as you suggest, simple to build and quite inexpensive as kits. speaking of simple... over on diyaudio.com there's a thread on some really "easy to build" tube preamps that run on 24 volts... it's in the tube forum. I didn't know a 6dj8 or a 6c45pi could be run on such low voltage.and if you ping Steve Eddy (say over on the tube amp and preamp board here at ART) ask (though technically the wrong forum) him about his "one" amp... small as a pen holder SS amp... SE looks like he is doing so cool stuff with simple solid state circuits with input tranneys...ask SE to put up his "friends don't let friends use AC" button...What city or town in Kansas are you in?msl

Subject: Re: campy

Posted by [Leland Crooks](#) on Thu, 22 Dec 2005 03:30:33 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Parsons. In the SE corner of the state, close to OK and MO border. Really the geographical start of the ozark mountains which are in SW Missouri. We're not flat nor brown. Lots of trees and low rolling hills. 120 miles from KC, Wichita, and Tulsa. Makes the drive to the airport a bitch though. There were three packages of tempest pontiacs. Tempest, Lemans, and GTO. The GTO had a 389, Lemans 326, Tempest a 6 cylinder. I have a rebuilt 326 w edelbrock intake and carb, monster 4spd automatic. Runs good, just enough of a hot rod to make my 17 yr old happy, not enough of one to make Dad nervous. Fun car to drive. Takes 30 minutes to buy gas because everyone wants to talk cars. 24v on tubes? I know just enough to make that sound crazy. One of the things that has got me going on tubes is I bought a Fender blues Jr tube amp for my guitar and fell in love. I know I'll need efficient speakers for the low wattage stuff I'm looking at, and Wayne's pi's fill the bill. After hearing how accurate and clean my DR250's (prosound tops) are, I'm convinced that horns are the way to go, after 25 yrs with my beloved EPI's. Plus Wayne's a really good guy, I met him at the prosound shootout in Tulsa this summer, and I intend to go to his GPAF in the spring to hear some of this gear. He also drives a sweet old cutlass. Old cars and audio seem to go together.

Subject: Re: campy

Posted by [Damir](#) on Thu, 22 Dec 2005 06:08:34 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Well, you now publically said what you think about "Group Build" and why you are there - arguing with Doug and trolling the forum.

Subject: Re: campy

Posted by [MQracing](#) on Thu, 22 Dec 2005 11:02:03 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Damir:I think your long standing friendship with another is clouding your judgement and ability to moderate the forum impartially and fairly.Otherwise, I believe that your moribund forum just barely goes along and along... as you allow one other person to dominate it. For the last few months... how many projects? One? Followed by incessant shilling which you allow for just that one project.Steve Eddy and I in just one day got more quality posts going in amps and preamps forum here than you've been able to generate in a month on the group build forum if you discount the almost constant spam and shill posts which you allow. Look at the moribund results... that tells you exactly what kind of forum your quality of leadership has wrought.So I won't argue the details... and your the boss... I'll just note the lack of enthusiasm, participation and productivity of your moderated forum which pales by comparison to other alternative venues.msl

Subject: here's one "offending" post yanked down by Damir....

Posted by [MQracing](#) on Thu, 22 Dec 2005 11:31:57 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Who can find the troll lurking in the text below?Damir... I think your judgement is out of skelter.Posted by MQracing [141.151.13.134] on December 21, 2005 at 17:11:13:In Reply to: an invitation posted by PakProtector on December 18, 2005 at 17:35:57:Dugie wrote;:::All the gap-free, butt stacked inductors I've measured.....:a butt stacked transformer is one in which all of the E's are put in from one direction and all of the I's from another direction and then "butted" up against one another...a butt stacked transformer does in fact have an air gap... if you look in Reubin Lee's book (3rd ed) on page 126... you will see that in the example shown a butt stack has an equivalent reluctance of a 5 mils airgap...a butt stacked core assembly is, in fact, the opposite of a gap-free assembly... and is used explicitly for the purpose of introducing a "gap" (i.e., a path of high reluctance) in the magnetic circuit.msl

Subject: Re: campy

Posted by [Damir](#) on Thu, 22 Dec 2005 11:57:55 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

You don't like "Group Build", don't like Douglas and don't like me. Fine, go right back where you came from, on your "better" forums and stop spamming and trolling "Group Build". Your tactic is miserable and seen before, but this time you can't force anyone to ban Douglas. Your presence is not desirable on "Group Build" any more. And, BTW - download yourself from "Tubes" forum my message "Introduction of PP Theory", you can learn a lot - last time when we saw clearly your behaviour, you claimed that output tube in A-class PP "see" Raa/4 impedance...what an expert...

Subject: Re: here's one "offending" post yanked down by Damir....

Posted by [Damir](#) on Thu, 22 Dec 2005 12:08:41 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

From now on, all your messages will be removed from "Group Build". After many nice asking not to argue, not to spamming/trolling every message by Douglas (whom you called "daugie", "Dug", "Dugie", etc.), and many by me by several messages of yours - I started deleting the worst messages. You continued. Your intentions are now clear. The answer was massive deletion. Everyone who wants to contribute in "Merlin" project by Douglas is welcomed. Other intentions/messages will be removed.

Subject: your the boss....

Posted by [MQracing](#) on Thu, 22 Dec 2005 12:31:59 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

If you want to act in an arbitrary and capricious manner I suppose that I can't stop you. But for the record... would you kindly point out the troll in the following response which you have taken down not once but several times? the poster in question wrote in his post; "Or I could just ask the manufacturer...but most don't even post Cw numbers." to which I simply ask in the posting title only; ***What is the Cw of the HTS-7457?*** that... being the entire content of my post...and it too gets yanked down...but before yanking it down the mod allows multiple personal attacks by the original poster... and I even sent a private email to Damir complaining about the personal attacks. To no avail. Instead he yanks down my post which simply asks the designer of the HTS-7457 what the Cw of his trans is... since the original poster expects other companies to publish this data I thought it more than fair to ask him to post this figure for his design. You're a bit off base here Damir. And your friendship with Doug has clouded your objectivity. And now you want to ban me for typos? Jeez Louise. How many others will get the axe for typographical errors?msl

Subject: Re: your the boss....

Posted by [Manualblock](#) on Thu, 22 Dec 2005 12:42:40 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I am personally against all censorship but in this case Damir isn't censoring your posts; he is asking that you make the move to the Dungeon if you guys want to argue. He's a fair guy and I know deleting posts isn't something he likes to do. And in Wayne's defense there have been some pretty wild arguments here allowed to continue with impunity. I mean on the Dungeon. Sort of like praying in school or praying in church type thing.

Subject: Re: your the boss....

Posted by [Damir](#) on Thu, 22 Dec 2005 12:50:43 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

The contest of your posts, your questions, even your "typos" have some goal. Is your goal building E-linear "Merlin" amp, designed by Douglas - or is it something else? I tried to be fair and patient, but enough is enough - I warned you (and Doug, too) many times, privately or through the forum. The purpose of "Group Build" is Tubes DIY COOPERATION, other messages will be deleted.

Subject: Re: campy

Posted by [MQracing](#) on Thu, 22 Dec 2005 12:52:50 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Damir: This post clearly shows your capriciousness and your lack of objectivity. "... but this time you can't force anyone to ban Douglas." I didn't get Doug banned from AA. Doug's posts got him banned. Over 250 posts that had been deleted and complaints from multiple persons to the board operator. His behaviour was described as stalking. And yes it did include a lot of MQ bashing. And yes I did complain about that. Just as... on this forum and Group Build we had a long discussion about Doug's continuing use and abuse of our trademarks. After long discussions and us offering proof of our trademark interests and rights Wayne agreed that our legitimate interests would be honored. Yet you still allow Doug to use our trademarks freely and illicitly. If I point out that he is misusing our trademark you pull down my post. Your message above... now clearly shows that short of being an impartial and fair moderator... that you too harbor some grudges that apparently will not allow you to even honor the agreements that had been reached on this forum after long discussions. "Your presence is not desirable on "Group Build" any more." you claim that I am attacking Doug. I beg of you to put up (in complete context) proof of where I went on the attack against Doug. And for quality of post and contributions... I would venture that I put up more substantive posts... well... why argue with anyone who shows the unfounded and unproven prejudice you've displayed in your text above. And, BTW - download yourself from "Tubes" forum my message "Introduction of PP Theory", you can learn a lot - last time when we saw clearly your

behaviour, you claimed that output tube in A-class PP "see" Raa/4 impedance...what an expert...
:::What an absolute laugher. so you still have hurt feelings over the notion of primary impedances? And you've harbored these ill feelings for how long?And if your findings are disputed by a host of other sources including the RCA tube manual... then we should all bow to Sir Damir?What's most telling is... when their is a technical dispute that is unresolvable... instead of everyone just agreeing to not agree on the technical matter at hand and recognizing that there are differing points or views or opinions... apparently... it's become a religious creed to you or some lithmus test.Heck... we can even argue politics and social issues on the Dungeon and still not turn it into a personal vendetta or crusade against one another. Why can't you do the same on a technical disagreement? Instead... talk about trolling and flaming... what does this historical (occurred many months ago in a different forum) issue of primary impedances have to do with anything going on in the ART forums at the present time? It's clearly extraneous... but shows your cavalier attitude toward moderating with an even and fair hand. And that you have some personal bias' which your now using your moderating authority to take out on your target.I can see all that... good luck with your Dougie and Damir Group Build forum. msl

Subject: Re: your the boss....

Posted by [MQracing](#) on Thu, 22 Dec 2005 12:59:58 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I haven't attacked Doug... not once. I have asked him politely... and have asked the moderator via private email to please respect our trademarks and not allow Doug to continually use and abuse our trademarks. But to no avail.I have questioned whether Doug's continual shilling for another company falls with the guidelines of fairness as described in the rules. but most of that has also been done via private emails to Damir and phone calls to Wayne.I beg of Damir... to put up in context just one example of where I provoked an attack on Doug...it won't bee found.Thanks for your voice of moderation.Instead I've had posts yanked down simply because they apparently contained a typo... which could only bee but the flimsiest of reasons obscuring some other motives or agenda.msl

Subject: Re: your the boss....

Posted by [MQracing](#) on Thu, 22 Dec 2005 13:05:12 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Damir wrote::::The contest of your posts, your questions, even your "typos" have some goal::::this is almost laughable. my typos have some hidden meaning? not just simple typos? Are you Damir the seer?of course my posts have some goals... to make a contribution::::Is your goal building E-linear "Merlin" amp, designed by Douglas - or is it something else?:::So the group build forum is a shill vehicle for Douglas' Merlin amp? In order to participate one must actively be seeking to build just this one amp?No... I made many positive posts... including some complete grid chokes that I put in the public domain and which you said you were thankful for. I'd invite

anyone to go over there and read my posts... and see for themselves what I've contributed or not contributed. Sorry if I haven't shilled for Doug's Merlin amp.msl

Subject: Deja vu
Posted by [Damir](#) on Thu, 22 Dec 2005 13:19:42 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

We saw this before, I just reminded you - the same behaviour. You can freely "spit" on GB forum, but didn't like my response? Too bad. And trying to represent everything like personal prejudices, where you are "right and clean"...haha. And for the record, this is not just my decision. Almost all permanent GB "members" from the beginning (who actually build things "together" on GB) demanded your removing from the forum. The quantity of your blablah can't deceive anyone. I respect you and your company, but not your behaviour. Good bye.

Subject: I see we are making some progress....
Posted by [MQracing](#) on Thu, 22 Dec 2005 13:25:38 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

toward a more even handed moderation of the Group Build forum. Dougie had put up a post again calling his transformer a Peerless S-265-Q. I put up a very polite post... the content is quoted below... Posted by MQracing [141.151.13.134] on December 21, 2005 at 19:18:29:
***** In Reply to: Pentode front end posted by PakProtector on December 21, 2005 at 19:02:42: Doug wrote: :::E-Linear modified Peerless S265::: Just a polite reminder and request to not use our trademarks. The transformer mentioned above is not a genuine nor an authorized Peerless. Lest anyone be confused as to it's authenticity. thank-you, msl *****]in reponse...
Doug comes back and uses the opportunity to shill his product and Heyboer... by stating that his version is "better" than the original and etc. It was just a pure and simple plug for his and heyboer's co-operative venture. And it was allowed to stay up by Damir... I then ask Doug to just simply refrain from "riding on our coattails". Guess who's post got yanked? So a guy steals our design, uses it for a project on Group Build, exploits our trademark and our goodwill for his own personal gain... time and time again... day after day... and there is nothig deemed wrong with that approach? But if you point out that your the legitimate owner of that property and that trademark then your post gets yanked down and your labeled a "troll" by the moderator? This... after this issue had been discussed on the Dungeon and seemingly an agreement made that such use of another's trademark and goodwill would not be permitted. Finally... I see that the entire thread appears to have been taken down only... better late than never that the moderation policy be enforced impartially.msl

Subject: Re: your the boss....

Posted by [Damir](#) on Thu, 22 Dec 2005 13:35:15 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Please, don't. You know the game - one or two solid technical posts, then attack, then "solid" technical post with "interlarded" other things inside...why? You want to contribute? You just said the worst things about the forum - you didn't like it at all. But you posted many messages per day. Why? To make it better? This "game" continued too long, but now it's the end. You lose. Byebye. P.S. And yes, you can post your long tirades here, no moderation... What a happiness for you...

Subject: Re: Deja vu

Posted by [MQracing](#) on Thu, 22 Dec 2005 13:39:47 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Spin it like you want to Damir. I still challenge you to put up one post where I gratuitously attacked Doug. Instead, I tried to use the emails and private communications to put an end to the stalking aimed at us. And for the record... at least one of the founding members of ART... asked me why this pakprotector guy was so "off his rocker"... I told him that some guys are babe magnets... and others kook magnets... that it was the second time in 17 years that I had a net-kook hanging on to my coattails and nipping at my ankles.msl

Subject: Re: your the boss....

Posted by [MQracing](#) on Thu, 22 Dec 2005 13:47:11 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi Damir:::You just said the worst things about the forum - you didn't like it at all. But you posted many messages per day. Why? To make it better?:::Tried to do just that, yes. Non-judgemental posts. Posts with technical content... and yet giving ample room for alternate views... even when a seeming criticism was made of the choke you bought... I also allowed and noted that the company might have some insights or reasons of their own and that they were under no obligation to disclose anything. Again... even excepting for the purely technical posts of mine which you yanked down... anyone can go there and see what I contributed. :::This "game" continued too long, but now it's the end. You lose. Byebye.:::yes... that's your anger showing as it has shown on several posts in this thread. And you have power to use or abuse.... your choice.:::P.S. And yes, you can post your long tirades here, no moderation... What a happiness for you.....:well... at least it doesn't suffer from capricious moderation.msl

Subject: Re: I see we are making some progress....
Posted by [Damir](#) on Thu, 22 Dec 2005 13:52:14 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Well, that's your side of the story - Doug's is the opposite. He thinks that he have right to say that his OPT is modified old S265Q "Peerless" design, with added E-linear taps, made by Heyboer, and that you don't have a patent on those names. I asked Doug that he carefully use those marks (to be fair with you), always with explanation "modified, E-linear version of an old design", etc. But, both you and Doug continued the "fight"... I warned that GB forum is no place for arguing and hate, and selective then massive deletion is on its way. Your messages here, with your arrogant description of GB was the last "drop". Sorry, your tactic failed, we'll continue without your "help". Goodbye.

Subject: Re: Deja vu
Posted by [Damir](#) on Thu, 22 Dec 2005 14:04:16 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I deleted everything. And you have no right to say something so arrogantly about GB. And about other member who actually contributed to the forum. You have your own forum. Write it there, don't bother with GB anymore. Please.

Subject: misuse of trademarks
Posted by [MQracing](#) on Thu, 22 Dec 2005 17:30:19 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Damir wrote: He thinks that he have right to say that his OPT is modified old S265Q "Peerless" design, There was a long discussion of this issue months ago on the Dungeon. And here is what the outcome was... or what was supposed to be guiding us as regards Doug's wish to misuse our trademarks. In message number 1440 written by Wayne Parham he stated in part; As for acknowledgement of trademark rights by AudioRoundTable.com or me personally (or anyone else in America for that matter), the litmus test is actually pretty clear. First use in commerce. That's what determines who owns trademarks, and that's what ART recognizes because that's what trademark law says. further, in a later message #1450 that same day Wayne Parham stated in part; "...on the matter of the trademark, I think Mike has clearly shown he owns the registration for the "P" and the stylized logo. I think he also makes a reasonable argument that he has been using the Peerless name in commerce for several years and that it identifies his products." "So I think we should probably respect their longstanding use of this name to identify their products." and, yet, you have allowed Doug to abuse our trademarks and the goodwill of our trademarks for his personal gain. I was operating under the assumption that this issue had been discussed and that an agreement was reached respecting he use of another's trademarks and the goodwill which it represents. Yet you have chosen to take Doug's word... that he has a "right" to use our name...

absolutely not. And you know better as well. And yet allowed it to continue unabated even after I wrote to you privately asking you to follow and implement the agreements that had been reached. We would not have had any strife whatsoever if you had simply done your job as a moderator.msl

Subject: Re: Deja vu
Posted by [MQracing](#) on Thu, 22 Dec 2005 17:42:58 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

As a mature adult and a moderator you should be able to take some criticism. I said that the forum is basically... moribund. And it is. One project... the Merlin gets spammed several times a week... take that away... and what's it got to offer? And your attitude that I should only go to the forum if I want to build Doug's amp... I did not think it a forum dedicated to the works of one individual. And bashing manufacturer's and allowing their trademarks to be abused under your watch and with your guidance would not ever be much of an encouragement for other manufacturer's or industry people to become involved. In fact several have told me they consider GB to be like a cesspool and just hope they are not picked as the next victim.msl

Subject: Re: misuse of trademarks
Posted by [Damir](#) on Thu, 22 Dec 2005 18:19:22 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Yes, all those problems arose from the fact that I rejected to ban Douglas for using "modified, E-linear version of old Peerles S265Q design, made by Heybour". I'm not a judge, lawyer, nor a psychiatrist - I can't solve your personal (and maybe legal) problems with Douglas. All I can, I've already done - I warned you both several times through the forum and privately to not abuse GB forum for arguing, then deleting takes place.

Subject: Re: misuse of trademarks
Posted by [MQracing](#) on Thu, 22 Dec 2005 18:28:58 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

what your banning me because you can't follow the rules? I thought wayne was pretty clear about use of trademarks. Or am I being banned for asserting my trademark rights when you have allowed such to be misused? that seems like a good playground for the rolex copy cats to play in and if rolex says anything then they are BANNED. there is a much simpler solution Damir... which I have shared with you via email several times. Tell Doug he can not make any reference to the trademarks or attempt to use the good will of others. That he should assign this transformer his

own part number or use a Heyboer part number. See how simple that is.msl

Subject: Wow...

Posted by [Damir](#) on Thu, 22 Dec 2005 18:36:11 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I hope that those "victims" friends of yours won't "sockpuppeting" and trolling the forum, like you did before. And I think that "Merlin" is a good and innovative design, as well as new transformer/mosfets based buffer. And I'm working on "conventional" SE 300B amp...and Colin on SE 811-10 amp. We are just the hobbyists, without too much time. I'm working on my amp when I feel like it, from time to time, and don't feel that I must apologize for it. This is a small forum, but with good people/atmosphere. At least till you came there.

Subject: Damir's latest moderation miscues...

Posted by [MQracing](#) on Thu, 22 Dec 2005 18:36:22 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Just saw this evil string come down a few minutes ago. You be the judge of who was flaming who in this exchange which just took place a few hours ago. Here is the original post in the thread; Posted by PakProtector [64.12.116.65] on December 18, 2005 at 17:35:57: Or I could just ask the manufacturer...but most don't even post Cw numbers...:to which I responded; Posted by MQracing [141.151.13.134] on December 22, 2005 at 08:06:33: what is the Cw of the HTS-7457 ct choke? :to which one hour later Damir wrote; Posted by Damir [213.202.96.181] on December 22, 2005 at 09:12:16: In Reply to: what is the Cw of the HTS-7457 ct choke? posted by MQracing on December 22, 2005 at 08:06:33: Mike, stop that childish game - you are no longer desirable here. Please, go away - you have your own forum. :to which I responded to Damir thusly; Posted by MQracing [141.151.13.134] on December 22, 2005 at 12:47:57: In Reply to: Re: what is the Cw of the HTS-7457 ct choke? posted by Damir on December 22, 2005 at 09:12:16: Damir: On Dec 4 Doug posted the following; "...good engineering design is not defeated by publishing specifications when asked." "getting a straight answer OTOH..." so I am only asking Doug that which he has demanded of others. So... I believe that your personal attack in unsupported and unwarranted.msl at which time Damir deleted the thread except for Doug's post. Unbelievable. I'm banned? The mod should ban himself for flaming. It was totally unprovoked and gratuitous. Some folks just don't know how to handle any power... and can only abuse it.msl

Subject: Re: misuse of trademarks

Posted by [MQracing](#) on Thu, 22 Dec 2005 18:47:13 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

So I can go on the GB forum with a new brand name.... say... Elinear transformers... and you will let it ride? Or would there be two sets of rules?What about another merlin amp... I don't think it is a federally registered trademark.As Wayne pointed out... ownership of trademarks goes to he who uses it first in commerce. Both the Peerless namesake and the model number have been in continuous commercial use since 1948 or before.read what wayne had to say about trademarks and ART policy regarding trademarks.msl

Subject: Re: Damir's latest moderation miscues...
Posted by [Damir](#) on Thu, 22 Dec 2005 18:55:01 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

If you think that by continuing your game here you`ll achieve something, ban people you don`t like, choose/manipulate the moderators, and get some support from the owner of the forum or/and people from GB - then you forgot that you are no longer in your AA "base" where you have some influence through sponsorship... You are just troll here, nothing more. Return in your sponsored forum in AA, please.

Subject: How simple that is...
Posted by [Damir](#) on Thu, 22 Dec 2005 18:58:27 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

...to just doing what you told me... but, I refuse the manipulation... and you must spamming/trolling... how sad...

Subject: Re: misuse of trademarks
Posted by [Damir](#) on Thu, 22 Dec 2005 19:02:57 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Well, I have no doubt that you`ll continue trolling through sockpuppets.Don`t want to talk in Wayne`s name, but my feeling is that you`re very wrong in your thoughts that he is somehow on "your side"...

Subject: Re: Damir's latest moderation miscues...
Posted by [MQracing](#) on Thu, 22 Dec 2005 19:04:46 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

more personal attacks by Damir. Not an ounce of rational discussion of the issues at hand. Just the impugning of character... and this from a moderator? So why did you take down a simple post which asks only "what is the Cw of HTS-7457 ct choke" forget about the millions of dollars which corrupted the heart and soul of AA--- or any other fantasy which you may be harboring... just tell us... point out from the published rules which specific rule the post above allegedly was in contravention of... thank-you... let's try to stay on topic, please.msl

Subject: Re: How simple that is...
Posted by [MQracing](#) on Thu, 22 Dec 2005 19:10:16 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

manipulation? I think you've been manipulated by the person who has continuously violated the trademark rights which Wayne himself said would be respected in ART. And you are now being manipulated by your own ornery emotions... and you're not using any rational basis on which you capriciously want to exercise your power. How am I spamming/trolling? I've pointed out the facts... Your only plea is that you apparently will not be *manipulated* by the facts. You want to talk facts and talk rationally or do you want to strike out at with your baseless allegations that we have paid off AA.sad.msl

Subject: Re: Damir's latest moderation miscues...
Posted by [Damir](#) on Thu, 22 Dec 2005 19:12:58 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Well, let's finish it Mike - I have no more time to spend on your fantasies. You are banned from "Group Build" forum, but you continued to post the same message over and over again. Well, you can spam here in "Dungeon" as much as you like, nothing will change - you stayed banned. Byebye.

Subject: Re: How simple that is...
Posted by [Damir](#) on Thu, 22 Dec 2005 19:15:24 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

The nice thing is: -I am the moderator - You are just troll You lose, and no blablah here will change

that. Goodbye.

Subject: Re: misuse of trademarks

Posted by [MQracing](#) on Thu, 22 Dec 2005 19:16:18 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

See... I don't care about sides. I am not aiming at you, or douglas, or Wayne or anyone else to be on my side or not on my side. It's absolutely immaterial to me.what I am aiming at is.... shouldn't we all be following the rules? I posted up what Wayne had written several months ago on this issue of trademarks. You have done everything to encourage and allow this continued violation of our trademark right in the forum which you moderate.And then wish to ban me for pointing this out.And then you start flaming me on a public forum outside of the dungeon... where I have not said one ill word about you. Falme me all you want here... but you have a responsibility outside of this forum to not be the one flaming others (especially since your the mod).msl

Subject: Re: How simple that is...

Posted by [MQracing](#) on Thu, 22 Dec 2005 19:19:27 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

you bee da man damir... my hat is off to you for your moderation abilities and maturity.good job, well done.msl

Subject: Re: Wow...

Posted by [MQracing](#) on Thu, 22 Dec 2005 19:30:25 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

again... cheap talk and flames...point to one post where I went after anyone on the group build forum where I first wasn't attacked or flamed or trolled. Just one post...OTOH... I have put up posts of you flaming me which was totally unprovoked and purely gratuitous...and your admission of hurt feelings over a technical disagreement... and your fantasy that I had doug kicked off of AA... and that I've paid big money in sponership to "rule the roost" over at AA. Wake up. All offered as flames and personal attacks instead of rationally discussing the relevant issues. msl

Subject: Re: Wow...

Posted by [Bill Martinelli](#) on Thu, 22 Dec 2005 19:38:27 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Damir, Merry Christmas and happy holidays. I think your taking this a little personal from a moderators standpoint? Just take a deep breath dude. Not everything is peachy keen. Furthermore a moderator never wins. your always wrong in someones point of view.

Subject: Re: campy

Posted by [MQracing](#) on Thu, 22 Dec 2005 19:45:14 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi Leland:I had the same exact thoughts you did about the 24 volt tubes... which is why I opened it up and started reading the thread. There were several sample cicuits in the thread. One using a 6dj8 and the other a 6c45pi...looked so simple... maybe worht building just to prove that it's a crazy idea. If you want I will get the url for you... it was over on the tube forum at www.diyaudio.com. I went over and checked out the Pi speaks. Did you get the kit and your building the cabinets? The kit price seemed very, very good. Wayne must have some purchasing power! It's a corner horn, right?My uncle has a (think it is) 67 GTO that he bought brand new when he was like 21 years old. Pristine condition sitting in his garage.Yeah, about the cars and audio going together.... Lloyld Walker of Walker Audio had a really radical 4.6 V8 that was breathed on pretty well... and could just plain scare ya...msl

Subject: Re: Wow...

Posted by [Damir](#) on Thu, 22 Dec 2005 21:19:15 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hey, I must confess that I just pull your leg, and if you want - I can respond 100 times more. No hurt feelings, just a little giggle.And I read the official E-mail from AA about Doug`s banning, don`t worry. It`s interesting to watch your reactions, it`s the "Dungeon" - and it`s too late for rational talk. Remember, you are banned from GB.

Subject: Re: Wow...

Posted by [Damir](#) on Thu, 22 Dec 2005 21:29:16 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi, thank you Bill. I`m just doing this unpopular "job", and don`t worry - I`ve been in "flame wars" before... Anyway, the only disadvantage is - it`s Christmas time. (But, the real war in my country started exactly on Easter 1991.)Merry Christmas to you!

Subject: Re: Wow...

Posted by [MQracing](#) on Thu, 22 Dec 2005 21:34:31 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

As someone pointed out to me a short while ago...If you ban me you've lost 20 percent of your readership.Made me laugh.MSL

Subject: Re: Wow...

Posted by [Damir](#) on Thu, 22 Dec 2005 21:39:28 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi, thank you, Bill. I`m just doing this unpopular "job" and making fun... Don`t worry, I`ve been in "flame wars" before... The only disadvantage is - it`s Christmas time...not really nice. (But, the real war in my country started exactly on Easter, 1991.).Anyway, Merry Christmas to you!

Subject: Re: Wow...

Posted by [Damir](#) on Thu, 22 Dec 2005 21:44:13 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I can live with that, and - yes, you are already banned...Have a nice day! (I`ll go to sleep, and you can continue - enjoy yourself!)P.S. Maybe tommorow to have a party on your forum, what you think?

Subject: can't steal what you don't own Mike

Posted by [PakProtector](#) on Thu, 22 Dec 2005 21:44:41 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

You bought the paperwork and 'P' from Altec. You could not have purchased any extension for protection of the designs contained within, because none existed. Your point is in error. Sorry, but it is. I did not steal anything from you. I purchased an S265Q(and a few others), and had an expert take it down and document for reconstruction. Turns out that there is nothing out of the ordinary, and NC machines are quite able to produce the design with speed and ease. It does not matter how many times you say it, it is not suddenly going to happen(me being guilty of theft). Can't steal something from you that you don't own. It is as simple as that. Just because you say it does not make it true.If you continue to call me a thief, I will be forced to dedicate resources to deal with your deluded self. Why don't you quit smoking marijuana for a month and see if you don't realize that you might be mistaken. cheers,Douglas

Subject: Re: Wow...

Posted by [MQracing](#) on Thu, 22 Dec 2005 21:47:18 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

:::And I read the official E-mail from AA abut Doug`s banning, don`t worry.:::and... what does that have to do with the price of rice in china?different forum, different time, same abuse... 250 posts yanked down before he got the axe... and not for imaginary flames like the poster made a typographical error. Same stalking behaviour as you've allowed and have encouraged. :::It`s interesting to watch your reactions, it`s the "Dungeon" - and it`s too late for rational talk.:::Never was anything resembling rationality or objectivity in your moderation of grope build.:::Remember, you are banned from GB.:::I'll make a note on a post it... and put it on my refrigerator...

Subject: Re: Wow...

Posted by [Bill Martinelli](#) on Thu, 22 Dec 2005 23:05:28 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Yep, it can suck at times. We are all having a great Christmas here. I've been going crazy getting things for the kids. My wife thinks I'm nuts. Got a few new toys for myself and I'm sipping on a mai ti having diner. Suppose to warm up this weekend around here so although no snow, it will be pleasent. Your country and people there have endured a lot. That was not a good war for the people from the little I know. I wish you the best to you and Family. Have a safe holiday. this thread is too long to wade through anymore. time for a new.Love the drunk russion callender by the way. sent that one to a few friends for giggles.bill

Subject: now I'm going to have an invalid stalking me....

Posted by [MQracing](#) on Thu, 22 Dec 2005 23:12:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Damir wrote:::P.S. Maybe tommorow to have a party on your forum, what you think?:::humour me. your not sending over this invalid are you?Posted by Damir_the real one on February 13, 2005 at 05:54:29on AA. :::A friend of mine, ex-special forces, now with an invalid pension (3 times wounded in battle), but in good shape, has plenty of time, has a sense of humour, but the kind of man you can`t BS with. Honest and brave, doesn`t like bad people - but he wouldn`t kill anybody without a reason.::: :::He knows almost nothing about tube electronics, but it`s alright - nor do many other people here...::: :::But, there`s one problem - he doesn`t have a PC.....

Subject: All you have to do is close your eyes...

Posted by [PakProtector](#) on Fri, 23 Dec 2005 00:36:57 GMT

and something will appear out of the darkness to stalk you. I'm sure that you believe that nothing you did or said has anything to do with your current state of affairs. Until you became the back-stabbing lunatic some of the more experienced(with you)folks warned me about, things were a whole lot more productive. Perhaps your marijuana smoking *IS* making you paranoid? Stop for a month, and it might go away. cheers, Douglas

Subject: Sorry Mike...

Posted by [PakProtector](#) on Fri, 23 Dec 2005 00:50:33 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Mike accused: ...you have allowed Doug to abuse our trademarks and the goodwill of our trademarks for his personal gain. Sorry dude, no personal gain involved. I have not done anything you have not done for that matter. Mike bought the blueprints, I bought a real example. Both of us sought the winding geometry which constituted the design. Face it, it's a 1948 design(according to Mike). Where do you get off claiming that there is something which can be 'stolen'? It just isn't anything which is even possible to steal. I could be accused of stealing if I had to break in, and steal your copy...but that didn't happen. Not even close. cheers, Douglas

Subject: Dugie introduces his new line of tranneys....

Posted by [MQracing](#) on Fri, 23 Dec 2005 01:56:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

hey dougie...just keep on hawking on the Doug and Damir forum your ROLECKS tranneys. when you grow up and stop ripping off other peoples designs and do something meritorious.... perhaps you too can get a great review in the current issue of Stereo Sound Tube Kingdom (No. 38). Till then you and Damir can talk to each other and pat each other on the back about how great each of you are. You the transformer tear down genius and Damir the electronics genius. Oh... one of our importers told us that you solicted him with your phoney ROLECKS and he laughed...msl

Subject: you're a funny little man...

Posted by [PakProtector](#) on Fri, 23 Dec 2005 02:03:54 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

from Mike: stop ripping off other peoples designs I'll have to do it at least once before I can stop. Can't stop what hasn't been started. The rest of your ravings make absolutely no sense at all.

when you have detoxified, it might make a bit more sense. Looking forward to it.cheers,Douglas

Subject: Re: Sorry duog...

Posted by [MQracing](#) on Fri, 23 Dec 2005 02:23:38 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

you've been ripping us off by taking our designs which we acquired legitimately and having phoney copies made of them and then representing them and hawking them as Peerless copies. I consider those actions in their totality tantamount to theft. When you have continually used our name to hawk your wares I consider that to be theft of our good will. You even had the audacity to approach one of our importers saying you had Peerless tranneys you could wholesale him... but he laughed. I shouldn't be surprised that you have to send the real thing out to a chop shop to get them reverse engineered since you did not even know what a butt stacked core was... which you said was a gap free core over on the Dougie and Damir forum. I had to put up a nice post explaining the difference to you... but it must have been too embarrassing so your partner Damir took it down... but I think I saved a copy of it as I found it truly humourous.msl

Subject: it is really fairly simple

Posted by [PakProtector](#) on Fri, 23 Dec 2005 02:30:39 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

From the misbehaving MSL: baseless allegations that we have paid off AA. maybe not paid off, but influenced by any means you could bring to bear, backed up with your lies about "owning" all the rights to the designs you have a copy of. hell, you won't even admit to representing yourself as a friend and design collaborator when you called Heyboer to discuss a choke I designed. You then went on to question my ethics because it resembled a British design you also refuse to name. You have no idea what detail contribution on my part went into that early effort do you? do you want to know? Evidence of your intimate relationship with AA? you know exactly how many posts of mine were taken down. hell, you won't even admit to representing yourself as a friend and collaborator when you called Heyboer to discuss a choke I designed. You then went on to question my ethics because it resembled a British design you also refuse to name. You have no idea what detail contribution went into that early effort do you? do you want to know? So Mike, how many were taken down before Labor Day 2004? I bet you won't even have to take off your mittens to count on your thumbs. cheers, Douglas

Subject: Rolecks new motto.... dougie's new brand of iron...

Posted by [MQracing](#) on Fri, 23 Dec 2005 02:31:44 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"forgeries are us"who's the little man who has to copy another mans products? No skills, no ambition, no pride?And the audacity to hawk them as Peerless'what's your next forgery the Mona Lisa?msl

Subject: you couldn't stay on topic if your life depended on it.

Posted by [PakProtector](#) on Fri, 23 Dec 2005 02:35:41 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

the complaint fromthe whiner MSL: Not an ounce of rational discussion of the issues at hand. please point to any rationality contributed by MIKE:Let's see...I own exclusive rights to the design of a 1948 model output transformer.That looks really rational...put down the joint, you may want to change your opinion of its rationality.Cheers,Douglas

Subject: well now...

Posted by [PakProtector](#) on Fri, 23 Dec 2005 02:42:02 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

from the whiner Mike:you've been ripping us off by taking our designs which we acquired legitimately and having phoney copies made of them and then representing them and hawking them as Peerless copies. I consider those actions in their totality tantamount to theft.I also acquired the design information legitimately. Matter of fact, I paid good money for it. Since it is no more your design than mine, your accusation of theft is a matter of your opinion.I am not hawking anything. I am not selling anything save the information that you are not the final word. The price for all this is: nothing, it is free.cheers,Douglas

Subject: you're too funny....

Posted by [PakProtector](#) on Fri, 23 Dec 2005 02:46:49 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

these accusations of copying somebody else's work...over a design you claim sole rights to copy? Listen carefully, you can probably hear me laughing all the way in Philadelphia...Just because you say the designs are yours, and yours alone does not make it so. If there were any truth to your claims, or bite to your bark, it would have surfaced loooooong ago. I would have found it myself for one....:))cheers,Douglas

Subject: Re: it is really fairly simple
Posted by [MQracing](#) on Fri, 23 Dec 2005 03:01:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Douglas wrote::::...you won't even admit to representing yourself as a friend and collaborator....:::Who could blame me? I am not your friend and/or collaborator. Would be hard for me to collaborate with a guy who did not even know what a butt stacked tranney was and had to be told just yesterday.Regarding AA and your behaviour on that board. All I know is that you were the gold record holder for the person having the most posts deleted ever on the asylum. And there were multiple victims on AA that you netstalked. Not just little ole me.And that as soon as you were booted from there you came here and started the same nonsense that got you the boot... but, perhaps, with an even greater vengeance.so... I don't know if it's because you are lazy, or incompetent, or just plain unethical... you have set about a course of events that has brought strife and discord to two different boards... good job Douglas.Hey... pick a name any name... I think ROLECKS fits your scheming enterprise well... call your knockoffs ROLECKS... you might be able to find a corner on canal street to hawk them when your not hawking them on the Dougie and Damir forum. But don't call your knockoffs Peerless', cause they ain't.

Subject: The Rolecks President.... no it's not a new watch... it's dougies new transormer
Posted by [MQracing](#) on Fri, 23 Dec 2005 03:05:06 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

So dougie why do you have to ride on the coattails of a product made before you were born?Why have you continually misused our namesake and good will for your own profit?Aren't you smart enough, transformer genius, to design your own products?so... just get off of our coattails and stop your free loading.msl

Subject: Rolecks.... if it aint't under lock and key....
Posted by [MQracing](#) on Fri, 23 Dec 2005 03:13:26 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dougie... we went through this a few months ago... and there is a long post down in the dungeon somewhere that talks about the FTC's role in protecting consumers and produceers from certain unethical business practices... which includes "copying of goods and designs"....There is much common law and some statutory law... covering this area.When you purchased the product for use... you were not purchasing the deisgn of the product. We purchased the designs you did not. And again... if your so smart why do you have to rip off our designs and then try to get a free ride by using our namesake and our goodwill?Don't be so lazy and unethical.

Subject: read this you unethical jackass.....
Posted by [MQracing](#) on Fri, 23 Dec 2005 03:15:55 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

<http://www.audioroundtable.com/Dungeon/messages/1540.html>

Subject: read this you jackass....
Posted by [MQracing](#) on Fri, 23 Dec 2005 03:27:01 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

<http://www.audioroundtable.com/Dungeon/messages/1540.html>

Subject: I know you probably pass a lot of gas... but read the real meaning of passing off below...
Posted by [MQracing](#) on Fri, 23 Dec 2005 03:47:27 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Patents and the laws establishing patents and their use and scope are only one area of statutory law and common law that governs the conduct and practices of businesses. There are many other laws (both common and Statutory) which address other important business issues and governs the conduct of businesses. Things like unfair competition, deceptive business practices, false advertising, trademark law, trade secrets, proprietary know-how, and many other issues...but, contrary to Dougs apparent misunderstanding... he seems to think that if a product is not patented than anything and any practice is fair game. Such could not be further from the truth. other issues that a business lawyer will look at and examine

include,*****The FTC ActThe FTC Act, among other things, created the Federal Trade Commission which is responsible (along with the Justice Department in the case of Sherman Act violations) for the enforcement of antitrust laws. The FTC Act also included language which makes activities that constitute unfair competition by individuals illegal (remember that the Sherman Act only addressed such activities by groups). The FTC Act has additional provisions that relate to consumer protection. Factors to be considered in determining "obviously unfair""Obviously unfair" as used in Article 24 refers to engaging in competition or commercial transactions by obviously unfair means. Its most common and concrete types fall into three general categories:(i) Unfair competitive conduct contrary to business competition ethics(a) Exploiting the fruits of others' workCommon types of such conduct are: free riding on the business reputation of another; imitation to a substantial degree; taking advantage of the work of another person to promote one's own goods or services.(b) Impeding fair competition with the purpose of harming competitorsCommon types of such conduct are improper comparative advertising and making representations to trading counterparts of a competitor alleging that the competitor's infringement of intellectual property rights.(ii) Engaging in trade by means contrary to social ethicsCommon types of such conduct include carrying out trading by

means of coercing or harassing a trading counterpart to suppress the trading counterpart's free will regarding whether to trade.(iii) Abusing an advantageous market position to engage in unfair trade*****common law and statutory law also provides remedies for an illicit business practice which is known as "passing off":::Passing Off occurs when a trade or service mark is not registrable it may still be entitled to certain protection, i.e. a passing-off action. Passing off is available where there is a prospect of confusion of identity through the unauthorised use of similar marks or get up, and such use damages, or is likely to damage the goodwill and reputation of a business. Unregistered marks and passing off can apply to virtually any name, mark, logo or get-up which distinguishes a company, business, product or service. :::::Passing off occurs when a producer misrepresents his own goods or services as someone else's. Reverse passing off occurs when a producer misrepresents someone else's goods or services as his own. Both can be actionable under the Lanham Act, which makes actionable not only the misleading use of marks, but also the false designation of origin of goods.:::the lanham act is a federal statue. Business practices are also governed by the Fair Trade Practices Act which covers rights of publicity, misappropriation of trade values and trade secrets, false and deceptive advertising, interference with trade relations. It short it addresses many issues relating to unfair and deceptive competition practices.... or what is also called predatory business practices.so that, far in addition to the protection of property rights and designs captured through the provisions of the applicable patent laws. There exists, also, state and federal laws governing predatory business practices. Doug is just plain wrong if he believes that patent law is the only protections for a business against unfair business practices.for example,:::If designs are commercially important to your business, the downside of only owning unregistered design rights is that you can only stop third parties from copying your designs.:::notice that this states remedies may be available against third party piracy of proprietary designs.and the above is further amplified in both common law and statutory law when consideration further includes;::::MISAPPROPRIATION [unfair competition]. A common law form of unfair competition in which an individual or firm copies or appropriates some creation of another that is not protected by patent, copyright, or trademark law.::::GOODWILL [trademark]. The value of a business or of a line of goods or services, beyond its tangible assets, that reflects its commercial reputation. A business with a well-established goodwill could have all its tangible assets destroyed yet still own its reputation — its goodwill. Since a trademark or service mark is a symbol of a business's goodwill, trademark infringement is a form of theft of goodwill.UNFAIR COMPETITION [general intellectual property]. Commercial conduct that the law views as unjust, giving a civil claim against a person who has been injured by the conduct. Trademark infringement has long been considered to be unfair competition. Other recognized legal categories of unfair competition are false advertising, trade libel, infringement of a trade secret, infringement of the right of publicity, and misappropriation.Unfair Competition: The imitation, by design, of the goods of another, for the purpose of palming them off on the public, misleading it, and inducing it to buy goods made by the imitator.Unfair CompetitionIt means any acts designed to mislead and confuse the public and to incur deceptive substitution of one product for another, in the interests of obtaining an unfair advantage over one's competitors. Practically all such activities are illegal.

Subject: pay particular attention to "misappropriation"
Posted by [MQracing](#) on Fri, 23 Dec 2005 04:54:59 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

contrary to Doug's apparent misunderstanding... he seems to think that if a product is not patented than anything and any practice is fair game. Such could not be further from the truth. Other issues that a business lawyer will look at and examine

include,*****The FTC ActThe FTC Act, among other things, created the Federal Trade Commission which is responsible (along with the Justice Department in the case of Sherman Act violations) for the enforcement of antitrust laws. The FTC Act also included language which makes activities that constitute unfair competition by individuals illegal (remember that the Sherman Act only addressed such activities by groups). The FTC Act has additional provisions that relate to consumer protection. Factors to be considered in determining "obviously unfair""Obviously unfair" as used in Article 24 refers to engaging in competition or commercial transactions by obviously unfair means. Its most common and concrete types fall into three general categories:(i) Unfair competitive conduct contrary to business competition ethics(a) Exploiting the fruits of others' workCommon types of such conduct are: free riding on the business reputation of another; imitation to a substantial degree; taking advantage of the work of another person to promote one's own goods or services.(b) Impeding fair competition with the purpose of harming competitorsCommon types of such conduct are improper comparative advertising... (ii) Engaging in trade by means contrary to social ethics(iii) Abusing an advantageous market position to engage in unfair

trade*****common law and statutory law also provides remedies for an illicit business practice which is known as "passing off"....:Passing Off occurs when a trade or service mark is not registrable it may still be entitled to certain protection, i.e. a passing-off action. Passing off is available where there is a prospect of confusion of identity through the unauthorised use of similar marks or get up, and such use damages, or is likely to damage the goodwill and reputation of a business. Unregistered marks and passing off can apply to virtually any name, mark, logo or get-up which distinguishes a company, business, product or service.:Passing off occurs when a producer misrepresents his own goods or services as someone else's. Reverse passing off occurs when a producer misrepresents someone else's goods or services as his own. Both can be actionable under the Lanham Act, which makes actionable not only the misleading use of marks, but also the false designation of origin of goods....:the Lanham Act is a federal statute. Business practices are also governed by the Fair Trade Practices Act which covers rights of publicity, misappropriation of trade values and trade secrets, false and deceptive advertising, interference with trade relations. It short it addresses many issues relating to unfair and deceptive competition practices.... or what is also called predatory business practices.so that, far in addition to the protection of property rights and designs captured through the provisions of the applicable patent laws. There exists, also, state and federal laws governing predatory business practices. Doug is just plain wrong if he believes that patent law is the only protections for a business against unfair business practices.for example,:::If designs are commercially important to your business, the downside of only owning unregistered design rights is that you can only stop third parties from copying your designs.:::notice that this states remedies may be available against third party piracy of proprietary designs.and the above is further amplified in both common law and statutory law when consideration further includes;:::MISAPPROPRIATION [unfair competition]. A common law form of unfair competition in which an individual or firm copies or appropriates some creation of another that is not protected by patent, copyright, or trademark law.:::GOODWILL [trademark]. The value of a business or of a line of goods or services, beyond its tangible assets, that reflects its commercial reputation. A business with a well-established goodwill could have all its tangible assets destroyed yet still own its reputation — its goodwill. Since a trademark or service mark is

a symbol of a business's goodwill, trademark infringement is a form of theft of goodwill. UNFAIR COMPETITION [general intellectual property]. Commercial conduct that the law views as unjust, giving a civil claim against a person who has been injured by the conduct. Trademark infringement has long been considered to be unfair competition. Other recognized legal categories of unfair competition are false advertising, trade libel, infringement of a trade secret, infringement of the right of publicity, and misappropriation. Unfair Competition The imitation, by design, of the goods of another, for the purpose of palming them off on the public, misleading it, and inducing it to buy goods made by the imitator. Unfair Competition It means any acts designed to mislead and confuse the public and to incur deceptive substitution of one product for another, in the interests of obtaining an unfair advantage over one's competitors. Practically all such activities are illegal.

Subject: perhaps your tummy is feeling a little bloated... and you need to pass off
Posted by [MQracing](#) on Fri, 23 Dec 2005 04:59:53 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

common law and statutory law also provides remedies for an illicit business practice which is known as "passing off". Passing Off occurs when a trade or service mark is not registrable it may still be entitled to certain protection, i.e. a passing-off action. Passing off is available where there is a prospect of confusion of identity through the unauthorised use of similar marks or get up, and such use damages, or is likely to damage the goodwill and reputation of a business. Unregistered marks and passing off can apply to virtually any name, mark, logo or get-up which distinguishes a company, business, product or service. Passing off occurs when a producer misrepresents his own goods or services as someone else's. Reverse passing off occurs when a producer misrepresents someone else's goods or services as his own. Both can be actionable under the Lanham Act, which makes actionable not only the misleading use of marks, but also the false designation of origin of goods. the lanham act is a federal statute. Business practices are also governed by the Fair Trade Practices Act which covers rights of publicity, misappropriation of trade values and trade secrets, false and deceptive advertising, interference with trade relations. It short it addresses many issues relating to unfair and deceptive competition practices.... or what is also called predatory business practices. so that, far in addition to the protection of property rights and designs captured through the provisions of the applicable patent laws. There exists, also, state and federal laws governing predatory business practices. Doug is just plain wrong if he believes that patent law is the only protections for a business against unfair business practices. for example, If designs are commercially important to your business, the downside of only owning unregistered design rights is that you can only stop third parties from copying your designs. notice that this states remedies may be available against third party piracy of proprietary designs. and the above is further amplified in both common law and statutory law when consideration further includes; MISAPPROPRIATION [unfair competition]. A common law form of unfair competition in which an individual or firm copies or appropriates some creation of another that is not protected by patent, copyright, or trademark law. GOODWILL [trademark]. The value of a business or of a line of goods or services, beyond its tangible assets, that reflects its commercial reputation. A business with a well-established goodwill could have all its tangible assets destroyed yet still own its reputation — its goodwill. Since a trademark or service mark is a symbol of a business's goodwill, trademark infringement is a form of theft of goodwill. UNFAIR COMPETITION [general intellectual property]. Commercial conduct that the law views as unjust,

giving a civil claim against a person who has been injured by the conduct. Trademark infringement has long been considered to be unfair competition. Other recognized legal categories of unfair competition are false advertising, trade libel, infringement of a trade secret, infringement of the right of publicity, and misappropriation. Unfair Competition The imitation, by design, of the goods of another, for the purpose of palming them off on the public, misleading it, and inducing it to buy goods made by the imitator. Unfair Competition It means any acts designed to mislead and confuse the public and to incur deceptive substitution of one product for another, in the interests of obtaining an unfair advantage over one's competitors. Practically all such activities are illegal.

Subject: Re: now I'm going to have an invalid stalking me....

Posted by [Damir](#) on Fri, 23 Dec 2005 05:31:42 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Unlike you, he is a normal and good man - and friend of mine. For the record - this is my ironic/funny message on Tube DIY, thread - classifications of the moderator? I suggest special forces - some disturb individuals can't be stopped easily. You are the best example.

Subject: Re: now I'm going to have an invalid stalking me....

Posted by [MQracing](#) on Fri, 23 Dec 2005 06:02:10 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dair meant to say:.....some individuals can't be stopped easily.....not by your level of bullshit.

Subject: Re: just say no to shimano

Posted by [Shane](#) on Fri, 23 Dec 2005 06:27:05 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Notwithstanding the continuing patent debate, I for one am a Campy man. I have a nice Bianchi, Made in Italy, superset 2 steel frame in Ferrari Red with Campy Centaur drivetrain and Record Ergos. Nice red Mavic CXP33 rims. I also own an older aluminum Trek with undersize gearing that I inherited from my uncle that has Shimano RSX "mountain bike" gearing on it. Excellent bike for fighting wind and hills. Used to ride at least 20 miles/day but since we moved a year and a half ago I've just been too busy with work to get in the miles.

Subject: Re: it is really fairly simple

Posted by [PakProtector](#) on Fri, 23 Dec 2005 10:20:27 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

from the banned MSL: ::::you won't even admit to representing yourself as a friend and collaborator...:::Who could blame me? I am not your friend and/or collaborator. I never said you were a friend or collaborator. Only that you chose to represent yourself as such. That's your behaviour, not mine.cheers,Douglas

Subject: Re: Wow...

Posted by [Damir](#) on Fri, 23 Dec 2005 11:15:29 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Nothing like methanol-based alcohol! Well, we just bought the Christmas tree. Santa will bring us something (some "Mudorf" caps and AN tantalum resistors for me, ha).Thanks again, all the best!

Subject: Re: Wow...

Posted by [Manualblock](#) on Fri, 23 Dec 2005 12:34:18 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

The most unbelievable thing about this whole mess is that Bill is drinking a Mai Tai; Bill, what the heck is a mai Tai anyway??

Subject: wrong again...

Posted by [PakProtector](#) on Fri, 23 Dec 2005 15:48:49 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Not passing anything off. It also has been modified. It is also modified is a way you refuse to do, and claim is detrimental. There is no confusion, save perhaps in your imagination. Put down the dope for a while, things might become a bit more clear.cheers,Douglas

Subject: you're guilty of most of them...

Posted by [PakProtector](#) on Fri, 23 Dec 2005 16:03:13 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

...like I said: look at what Mike is accusing others of, and you'll know what he is doing.cheers,Douglas

Subject: same old M'queef BS
Posted by [PakProtector](#) on Sat, 24 Dec 2005 02:55:57 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

and in truth, most of it can be leveled at you for what you have tried to do to me! get a life! and a better legal writer.cheers,Douglas

Subject: this is even funnier than the last!!!
Posted by [PakProtector](#) on Sat, 24 Dec 2005 03:03:39 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

M'queef whines: So dougie why do you have to ride on the coattails of a product made before you were born? Not riding on any coattails. Besides, the circuit I modified that particular piece of Iron for didn't exist until I named it after inventing it.and some more M'queef whining: Aren't you smart enough, transformer genius, to design your own products? Nope, only good enough to help your sorry, lying, back-stabbing and worthless self. Fortunately I now know better than to try that one again.cheers,Douglas

Subject: Don't worry...
Posted by [PakProtector](#) on Sat, 24 Dec 2005 03:14:34 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I am sure that your typo's were not typo's. They are a deliberate provocation.Where have you provoked me? with your edited and misrepresented publishing of my personal email for one. The rest of the list is long, and has its start date quite clearly documented. At this rate, I see no end to it, only your RAT/Jute War sort of escalation. How many other people are you going to have shouting your party line against me?It is OK, word will spread, and so will the ripples throughout the listening circles.the only person holding flimsy motives and agenda is you M'queef, and it is getting easier and easier to see it. While I have good reason to be upset with you, I am quite ready to forgive you and move on.cheers,Douglas

Subject: Nope...that is not what he meant.
Posted by [PakProtector](#) on Sat, 24 Dec 2005 03:21:28 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

but you go ahead and think so...Nobody is trying to stop you. Just pursuing DIY, and you need to get in the way, and make ridiculous accusations. Sad it is...M'queef is not in control, and can't dictate his own terms or work to ban somebody who questions his Kingdom. You must be really upset by now.cheers,Douglas

Subject: Re: Wow...
Posted by [Bill Martinelli](#) on Sat, 24 Dec 2005 04:10:19 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

just one of those fruity rum drinks.shot of bicardi lightshot of myers's dark1/2 oz triple-secdash of grenadinesplash of lemon lime sodasplash of orange juice and fill up the rest with pineapple juice.
merry christmas to all and to all a good night
