

---

Subject: Manual - here's a little tid bit on Bob Grant you might be interested in.  
Posted by [Mr Vinyl](#) on Sun, 13 Nov 2005 11:39:16 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I did a quick search on his name and came up with a biography on him. Here is a small excerpt from the following link. After being fired, Grant then moved down the dial to WOR where he continued to host the same type of show in the same time slot; he would occasionally criticize his WABC replacement Sean Hannity. In the late 1990s his show went into national syndication, trying to follow the lead of Rush Limbaugh and other successful conservative talkers, but few stations picked it up and it reverted to a local show. It would seem your accusation that Rush stole his act from Grant is also wrong. Seems to me that he was trying to follow Rush's lead. Also from what I have read about Grant (I have never listened to him). He is loudmouthed and hangs up on people. Rush never does this so I don't see how you could say Rush stole his "act" from Grant. In what way did Rush steal his act from Grant? \*\*Note - this is your chance to give some facts and not just make wild accusations\*\*

[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bob\\_Grant\\_\(radio\)](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bob_Grant_(radio))

---

---

Subject: Re: Manual - here's a little tid bit on Bob Grant you might be interested in.  
Posted by [Manualblock](#) on Sun, 13 Nov 2005 12:45:40 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Easily; Grant was around on the dial since the late 70's and in radio since the early 70's. Where was Rush other than listening to him? And yes, I said he hangs up on people; when they say stupid things. Really who wants to hear that? Find an old tape of his broadcast; then tell me Rush didn't steal his act.

---

---

Subject: Re: Addenda  
Posted by [Manualblock](#) on Sun, 13 Nov 2005 12:58:29 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Grant had a talk show in LA back in the early sixties. I had forgot about that until someone reminded me. He came first before all the bore-fests.

---

---

Subject: Why should I have to prove your wild conspiracy's?  
Posted by [Mr Vinyl](#) on Sun, 13 Nov 2005 13:25:28 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Just tell me. What does Rush do that he stole from Grant? All Rush does is talk politics. He doesn't do anything. Is anyone that talks conservative on the radio stealing his "act" from Grant. I object to the use of the word "act" too. There is no act. He is just talking politics. Again just wild accusations without any basis in reality. This is the reason liberals can't make it on the radio. Just look at our conversations on this web site. I back up what I say whenever possible. I do cut and pastes. I link to web sites. All you do is make accusations and then tell me to look it up.

---

---

Subject: Re: Why should I have to prove your wild conspiracy's?

Posted by [Manualblock](#) on Sun, 13 Nov 2005 14:01:43 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

You link to sites where you heard the original point. Why do I have to read it twice? Rush most certainly does an act. Listen to his earlier radio shows before he hit on the successfull formatted entertainment he does now. He was just a lousy DJ until he studied Bob Grant and appropriated his shtick. Try Radio News; they have the story in the archives. No Link.

---

---

Subject: Even if this were true. Who cares? What's your point?

Posted by [Mr Vinyl](#) on Sun, 13 Nov 2005 14:34:42 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Rush obviously does it much better than Grant or anyone else. He is the most listened to Radio talk show host of all time. So what is the difference if he modeled his show from Grants? Is this supposed to be a bad thing? What is your point? And again you have given no specifics at all. Why can't you just say, look Grant always did this and now Rush always does this. You can't because it isn't true. Rush simply talks politics. He has no act. What is his act? If one guys act was to say "get off my phone" hang up and say nasty things (grant) and the other is always calm and never hangs up on anyone (Rush) please explain how one stole the others show? They are totally different. And lastly if all some one has to do if steal Grants act to be successful why don't the liberals on Air America to that? Then they would be successful too.

---

---

Subject: Sorry, but I`m gonna Trolling this thread...

Posted by [Damir](#) on Sun, 13 Nov 2005 16:32:51 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

[http://www.sushiesque.com/photos/boston\\_common\\_031104/dscn1392.html](http://www.sushiesque.com/photos/boston_common_031104/dscn1392.html)

---

---

Subject: Re: Sorry, but I`m gonna Trolling this thread...  
Posted by [Manualblock](#) on Sun, 13 Nov 2005 16:58:09 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Jesus loves everyone; right?

---

Subject: Re: Even if this were true. Who cares? What's your point?  
Posted by [Manualblock](#) on Sun, 13 Nov 2005 16:59:43 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I would be calm too if I had access to strong opioids on a daily basis.

---

Subject: Obviously no point here. That's ok I understand...  
Posted by [Mr Vinyl](#) on Sun, 13 Nov 2005 18:14:10 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

If you can't debate the facts go to personal attacks. The Democratic mantra.

---

Subject: Re:First I need some facts to debate.  
Posted by [Manualblock](#) on Sun, 13 Nov 2005 19:29:41 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

That you like Rush isn't a debatable fact.

---

Subject: How about answering simple questions for a start? (NT  
Posted by [Mr Vinyl](#) on Mon, 14 Nov 2005 11:27:49 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

NT

---

Subject: What does this have to do with Bob Grant or Rush?

Posted by [Mr Vinyl](#) on Mon, 14 Nov 2005 16:09:20 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Although some of those signs were funny.

---

Subject: Re: What does this have to do with Bob Grant or Rush?

Posted by [Damir](#) on Mon, 14 Nov 2005 17:45:44 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Just my humble contribution to this interesting and merry, cheerful, jolly thread stretched at almost 100 messages, and almost 50% of yours...

---

Subject: Yes, I do enjoy proving the liberals wrong.

Posted by [Mr Vinyl](#) on Mon, 14 Nov 2005 18:50:18 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

As you can see, not hard to do. Just ask a question or two, present a fact or two and their argument just falls apart. You seem to have an obsession with gays? Any reason?

---

Subject: Re: Yes, I do enjoy, yes, yes...more Spam, please!

Posted by [Damir](#) on Mon, 14 Nov 2005 19:24:53 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

All I can say - if I was the Moderator of this Forum, I'd start to delete your messages without warning, and without reading them. The reason? Spamming. But, this is "no rules" forum, and all I can do is to send the illustrations, with thematic relationship to your blog.

---

Subject: Of course. All logical debate from the right must be censored.

Posted by [Mr Vinyl](#) on Mon, 14 Nov 2005 19:47:09 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

This I believe is true. For Manual the following is my opinion. Liberals before the internet really never had to debate the facts. The talk shows at the time were usually between a moderate liberal and a far left liberal. Facts never entered into it. Then the internet and cable news came along and people had a choice. They could now hear both sides of a debate. They could now find out the facts. This threw the liberals for a loop. Up until this point all they had to do was spew out some silly statement and no one questioned it. Now that talk radio, the cable news networks and the internet are giving people the facts. The liberals must handle this the way they handle all of their problems. Censorship. Liberals can't win at the voting booth so they simply get activists judges making law for them. But alas the tides have turned. Oh I'm sure the liberals will still win one here or there. Rome wasn't built in a day. But liberalism is a dying breed. What started in the 60's is now finally coming to a head. To bad so sad, I'm glad. See post above for further comments.

---

---

Subject: Re: Of course. All logical debate from the right must be censored.  
Posted by [Damir](#) on Mon, 14 Nov 2005 20:08:39 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I'm dissapointed that in above post you mentioned "liberals" just 8 times, not 10. But, why you are so obsessed with them? (Just rhetorical question, no I don't want to hear the answer, censorship reasons :-)). Well, politics is a weird business - now cons are complaining about censorship (cos it's the popular theme of the "liberals")...Huh...would you stop If I ask you nicely? I know you have a mission, and all of that, but...  
<http://www.plyrics.com/lyrics/buzzcocks/boredom.html>

---