
Subject: You just got to love Ann Coulter...

Posted by [Mr Vinyl](#) on Wed, 09 Nov 2005 18:12:41 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

A sampling of Ann Coulter's riotous and dead-on wit on: The Iraq war and the Democrats: "John Kerry has said that we need to 'de-Americanize' the war -- I guess on the theory that the 'de-Americanizing' process has worked so well for the Democratic Party" Gay marriage: "Gays usually bring up the argument about all the straight couples living in 'sham' marriages, but I see no point in dragging the Clintons into this" Liberals and the military: "The only time liberals pretend to like the military is when they claim to love soldiers so much they don't want them to get hurt fighting a war" Media bias: "Fox News should agree to admit it is conservative if all other media outlets will admit they are liberal" Modern anti-Christian bias: "There is no surer proof of Christ's divinity than that he is still so hated some 2,000 years after his death" Journalistic standards: "The only standard journalists respect is: Will this story promote the left-wing agenda?" Slick Willie: "What actually happened during the Clinton presidency? No one can remember anything about it except the bimbos, the lies, and the felonies" Hillary's memoirs: "Hillary has already gotten a record \$8 million advance from Simon & Schuster for the book -- reportedly the most anyone has ever received for rewriting history" The Democrats: "The current Democratic Party is a crowd of idle, rich degenerates, the likes of which hasn't existed since the czar's court" Janet Jackson's Super Bowl exhibitionism: "Even CBS executives were enraged by MTV's halftime show, saying they could have gotten the identical show from National Geographic for a fraction of the price" The Confederate flag controversy: "It is outrageous for Northern liberals and race demagogues to try to turn the Confederate flag into a badge of shame, in the process spitting on America's gallant warrior class" The Episcopal church: "The Episcopalians don't demand much in the way of actual religious belief. They have girl priests, gay priests, gay bishops, gay marriages -- it's much like the New York Times editorial board" Kerry and tax cuts: "If Democrats want to talk about middle-class tax cuts, couldn't they nominate someone who hasn't been a poodle to rich women for the past thirty-three years?" Hugh Hefner: "Like the Democrats, Playboy just wants to liberate women to behave like pigs, have sex without consequences, prance about naked, and abort children" The New York Times's war coverage: "Apparently, the Times's stylebook now requires all reports of violence anywhere within 1,000 miles of Iraq to be dated from Bush's speech declaring an end to 'major combat' operations" The Times and crime: "The only cop the New York Times likes is the one in the Village People" The parties: "Both parties run for office as conservatives. Once they have fooled the voters and are safely in office, Republicans sometimes double-cross the voters. Democrats always do" Islamic terrorism and liberals: "The Times was rushing to assure its readers that 'prominent Islamic scholars and theologians in the West say unequivocally that nothing in Islam countenances the Sept. 11 actions.' (That's if you set aside Muhammad's many specific instructions to kill nonbelievers whenever possible)" Liberals and Christianity: "The only religion that can be constantly defamed and insulted is the one liberals pretend to be terrified of" Liberal eulogies for Reagan: "The lesson to draw from what liberals said about Reagan then and what they are forced to say about him now is that the electable Republican is always the one liberals are calling an extremist, Armageddon-believing religious zealot"

Subject: Re: Let The Words Speak For Themselves

Posted by [Manualblock](#) on Wed, 09 Nov 2005 19:20:52 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Back in the old neighborhood they had a name for women with her personality. Forget about politics; just think of waking up next to her and hearing that voice. You would have to punch holes in your own eardrum. That's personal though; on a national level she's irrelevant; no one takes her seriously except the deluded and mis-guided.

Subject: Re: You just got to love Ann Coulter...

Posted by [Damir](#) on Wed, 09 Nov 2005 19:22:17 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

And one from Muffin Man: "Some people like cupcakes better. I, for one - care less for them!" P.S. Just one little comment about Mr. Clinton - IMO, he actually has much luck for being "modestly" successful in his good work, and for his liking of "easy chicks". If plan "A" failed (discrediting), then plan "B" would be in order...

<http://lyrics.rare-lyrics.com/L/Lou-Reed/The-Day-John-Kennedy-Died.html>

Subject: What's wrong with her voice?

Posted by [akhilesh](#) on Thu, 10 Nov 2005 14:08:13 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

She sounds fine and looks OK. Sounds like women with "opinions" intimidate some of us
-akhilesh

Subject: Re: What's wrong with her voice?

Posted by [Manualblock](#) on Thu, 10 Nov 2005 19:27:57 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

You think so? I can explain my dislike of her and it goes to a deeper level than superficial politics but it would take some time and careful thought. My wife is much smarter than me BTW and so is my girlfriend. And I am intimidated by all women; and they all have opinions; have you met one that didn't?

Subject: Re: What's wrong with her voice?
Posted by [akhilesh](#) on Thu, 10 Nov 2005 20:04:31 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I was kidding John.As you know. -akhilesh

Subject: Re: What's wrong with her voice?
Posted by [Manualblock](#) on Thu, 10 Nov 2005 20:57:37 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Of course my Friend.Her opinions are simplistic; lacking in originality or critical rigor and on top of that she shoots for the easy targets. If she were a man she would not even be given access to the media. Thats the tip of the iceberg of my distaste; by virtue of being a somewhat attractive, obstinate and malicious critic they give her airtime; thats disengenous. Since she has nothing but insults to inform her rhetoric; why would anyone take her seriously unless she was what she was?It's patronising to women to present her as someone of intellect; when many very well accomplished females on both sides of the aisle cannot get a hearing from the media.

Subject: Re: What's wrong with her voice?
Posted by [akhilesh](#) on Thu, 10 Nov 2005 21:51:13 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I find her to be intelligent and passionate in her views...whether one agrees with them or not. Yes, she uses hyperbole to make her point, but that is not a big deal, once one discounts it. -akhilesh

Subject: Re: What's wrong with her voice?
Posted by [Manualblock](#) on Thu, 10 Nov 2005 22:29:10 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Can you find a view; other than, "The Clinton's Were Bad News"?I can't. The mantra,Democrats have nothing to offer. Well; they do but it isn't, death/incompetence/lack of leadership/theft of public monies/lies.The Public seems to be coming around somewhat; I may soon be out of a job as a critic since unlike the Republicans I don't like to gloat.Can you give me an example of a thorough and thoughtfull political analysis from her by any chance? Something that does not include the mention of the Clintons?

Subject: Of course, none of this is true...

Posted by [Mr Vinyl](#) on Fri, 11 Nov 2005 12:47:54 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

It's also quite sexist. As usual just because you say something doesn't make it true. I notice you didn't dispute anything that she has said. You also claimed that she is irrelevant. How so? She has four #1 best selling books and is highly sought after on many TV programs to give her commentary. In her chosen field that's about as relevant as it gets. Whenever liberals don't like what someone is saying they have to be labeled as dumb or irrelevant. Because liberals can't argue the facts. We have seen this on this forum many times. She is obviously highly intelligent and highly effective at getting her points across. Let's see you go on a talk show and argue with a single conservative. Ann is usually pitted against 2 or 3 Democrats and holds her own very well.

Subject: Re: What's wrong with her voice?

Posted by [akhilesh](#) on Fri, 11 Nov 2005 15:38:31 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Can you give me an example of a thorough and thoughtful political analysis from her by any chance? Something that does not include the mention of the Clintons? "Here you go,

John:<http://audioundertable.com/Dungeon/messages/1867.html>-akhilesh

Example of one of her columns

Subject: Re: What's wrong with her voice?

Posted by [Manualblock](#) on Fri, 11 Nov 2005 18:21:53 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Sorry I posted your response up above to Mr V. You'll have to look up there for it. She says nothing of any substance in that article; you should be able to see that. See above statements. She's very silly.
