

---

Subject: I don't understand this..I thought Iraq had nothing to do with Al Qaeda and terrorists?

Posted by [Mr Vinyl](#) on Thu, 14 Jul 2005 20:38:53 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"Suspected leaders of al Qaeda in Iraq captured" See LinkMust be the conservative press making this stuff up to make Bush look good.

<http://www.cnn.com/2005/WORLD/meast/07/14/iraq.main/index.html>

---

---

Subject: Re: I don't understand this..I thought Iraq had nothing to do with Al Qaeda and terrorists?

Posted by [Manualblock](#) on Fri, 15 Jul 2005 00:17:37 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

So; they were there all along??Were's Osama, thats all I want to know. Carl Rove knows I think.

---

---

Subject: I'm not sure were Osama is but I wouldn't be surprised if he were...

Posted by [Mr Vinyl](#) on Fri, 15 Jul 2005 00:29:16 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

at Ted Kennedy's house So the all terrorists moved in after the war then? That's ok too. Rather fight them there than here."A jumper cable walks into a bar. The bartender says, "I'll serve you, but don't start anything."

---

---

Subject: Re: I'm not sure were Osama is but I wouldn't be surprised if he were...

Posted by [Manualblock](#) on Fri, 15 Jul 2005 14:32:16 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

So; are we fighting them?

---

---

Subject: Considering we just caught too of the leaders It looks like it..

Posted by [Mr Vinyl](#) on Fri, 15 Jul 2005 15:39:20 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Don't you think?

---

---

Subject: That would be "two" of the leaders lol.....NT  
Posted by [Mr Vinyl](#) on Fri, 15 Jul 2005 15:40:20 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

NT

---

---

Subject: Re: That would be "two" of the leaders lol.....NT  
Posted by [Manualblock](#) on Fri, 15 Jul 2005 17:30:59 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Did those "leaders" by any chance have some WMD's with them? Or maybe they have the missing Social Security money. Say; anyone seen Dick Cheney lately?

---

---

Subject: Nice change of subject....  
Posted by [Mr Vinyl](#) on Fri, 15 Jul 2005 18:17:58 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I could care less about WMD. It was only one small reason of many for taking out Saddam. Let me ask you this? If you had rattle snakes in your basement would you wait till they bit someone before you tried to get rid of them? Or why did we enter WWII and fight Hitler when it wasn't Hitler that attacked us? It was the Japanese. I'll let you have the last word as I believe I have made my point. Which is that Iraq/Saddam had much to do with terrorism and Al Queda. He was a huge threat to us and the world. Therefore we took him out. No it wasn't perfect, war never is. But it's a hell of a lot better than the Clinton/Democrat solution of do nothing appeasement and hope they decide to like us strategies. At least that's the way I see it. Your opinion my differ.

---

---

Subject: Re: Nice change of subject....  
Posted by [Damir](#) on Fri, 15 Jul 2005 18:32:54 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Thanks to point it out that "WAR IS PEACE" tactic is so efficient. There are other paroles from the

Ministry of Truth: "FREEDOM IS A SLAVERY", "IGNORANCE IS THE POWER"...(All from the book "1984" - there`s MUST ALWAYS be the war, terrorism and bombs MUST exist, etc. - interesting book :-)).But, I also "false" believed that Mr. Clinton politics bring peace to ex-Yugoslavia, and Middle-East (Israel), too.Probably we read different newspapers, or so...

---

---

Subject: Re: Nice change of subject....

Posted by [Manualblock](#) on Fri, 15 Jul 2005 20:14:16 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I hate when the Hitler reference comes up. It appears as an answer to every issue even though it has nothing whatsoever to do with anything other than itself. Analogies are imprecise at best and weak in terms of argument.What amazes me is there are people who still invoke the terrorist/al queda thing for Iraq. How much information do they need before they will give up that scam?

---

---

Subject: Harvard University Commencement Speech

Posted by [Damir](#) on Fri, 15 Jul 2005 20:59:36 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

THIS is the nice change of subject:-)

Harvard University Commencement Speech

---

---

Subject: Yeah, go get'em...

Posted by [wunhuanglo](#) on Sat, 16 Jul 2005 07:26:16 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Now that the "War on Poverty", the "War on Drugs", and the "War on Crime" are so well in hand we have all the the time and resources we need to go after the new amorphous "War on Terrorism".I'm confident we'll be just as effective in this one too.

---

---

Subject: Re: Yeah, go get'em...

Posted by [Manualblock](#) on Sat, 16 Jul 2005 12:38:02 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

The walls are crumbling for these people; Iraq is a write-off, even those sunny stories we used to

---

get from the national press of soldiers winning the hearts and minds and 60% of the country is safe seem lately to have diminished and more truthfull assesments are now emerging of the terrible state that country is in. If you notice the vitriolic nature of the rightwing media is slowly ratcheting up as they realise the people are waking up to their propoganda. I love it because if you watch the talking heads they are trying to overcome the negativity by increasing the speed of their speech and the hammering of single issue points in every speech regardless of content. Ask about Iraq and they fillibuster and try to force in Supreme Court/Social Security/Hillary Clinton sucks/the Ten Commandments ad infinitum. It shows they hate to have to accept responsibility and it gives the appearence of small children defending their toys with extreme reactions to minor slights. They are sinking their own ship; ain't it great!Thats why I say let them talk; they are causing the American people to realise how morally bankrupt and desperate they are the more they respond.

---

---

Subject: "The walls are crumbling for these people"  
Posted by [Mr Vinyl](#) on Sat, 16 Jul 2005 14:21:51 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

You might have a better case if and when your side wins and election. You guys haven't won one in a while. If the walls are crumbling then the bricks are falling on the liberals heads.Problem is you guys never debate with facts. Let's take a look at what you seem to be saying in response to my post about catching two Al Qaeda leaders in Iraq. You don't like this fact because it doesn't fit your "Saddam was a nice guy who had nothing to do with terrorists" theory. So you seem to say that the terrorists came into Iraq after the war. Why would they do that? So let's see, a bunch of terrorists are sitting around deciding how they can kill Americans. Their choices are numerous. They can bomb trains, fly planes into buildings etc. But these guys decide "hey, let's sneak into Iraq and fight the full force of the American, British and coalition militaries, yeah that's the ticket! Makes no sense.I haven't seen any of these so called "sunny stories" from the liberal press you talk about. Please supply a link. Anyone can say anything. Let's see something. Regarding fillabustering because they don't want to discuss Iraq. Hmm let's see. I bring up Iraq and the terrorist caught there. You change the subject to Carl Rove, then missing social security money (??), then the media not covering for Bush with sonny stories. Seems to me that it is you that is changing the subject. Let's face it. Your side is losing and losing big time. People are no longer buying the liberal propaganda spewed fourth buy the liberal media machine. The big news networks are losing there grip over the American people. Look at the facts. It's the Democrats that have absolutely no agenda. All they can do is attack the other side. "Don't vote for us because we have good ideas and can solve the countries problems. Vote for us because the other side is bad. Look at all the dirt we dug up on them" Please it doesn't work anymore. You side had control of congress for 40 years! Where did it get us? All of the problems you now complain about. The problem is your sides agenda is always a failure. Liberal ideas almost never work. Because they are based on feeling good and not reality. "Can't we all just get alone" puke, barf. Saddam was given how many chances to comply with the United Nations resolutions? How many resolutions did he break? 15? 25?. Liberal response "a couple more resolutions and then he will comply you'll see". A joke. What is it they say is the sign of stupidity? Doing the same thing over and over again and expecting a different outcome. That pretty much sums up the whole liberal agenda.

---

---

Subject: Re: "The walls are crumbling for these people"  
Posted by [Manualblock](#) on Sat, 16 Jul 2005 18:17:48 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Our side as you call it ran things from 1992 to 2000. Everyone made money/had fun and got along with the world. Saddam was contained in his little country doing no harm to us. Now what do we have? Our pals in Saudi Arabia who funded and helped Al Qaeda. But they helped George when he needed a contract for his oil company. The one he drove into the ground with dumb management. There are more terrorists coming over the open border to Mexico than are coming here from Iraq. That's right; they are just walking on in and setting up for the next 9/11. Where's George? Stealing oil for his buddies at Halliburton. Close to 1800 American boys would still be alive if not for George and his buddies. And we would be safer using those guys to patrol the southern Borders.

---

Subject: I was going to let this go, but  
Posted by [wunhuanglo](#) on Sat, 16 Jul 2005 19:30:36 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

what the hell. By your twisted, Limbaugh-inspired logic we ought to nuke the UK too - after all there are apparently elements of A-Q or their sympathizers there too. The presence of bad guys in Iraq doesn't make the Dauphin Prince any less a traitor and a war criminal, and the people losing in all this mess are the people who can't afford to go to \$5000 a plate republican fundraisers. You may be surprised to know that Democratic Senators and Representatives represent more people (numbers of constituents) than do the majority party - one of the sad quirks of the system.

---

Subject: Re: "The walls are crumbling for these people"  
Posted by [Damir](#) on Sat, 16 Jul 2005 20:09:37 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"...But these guys decide "hey, let's sneak into Iraq and fight the full force of the American, British, and Coalition militaries, yeah, that's the ticket!" Makes no sense..."It makes perfect sense. Total terror with bombs, snipers, rocket-launchers, and hidden bombs again, every day. I hate to be right in this case, but when the Coalition losses would count in thousands...byebye. If the inhabitants even passive support this "terrorist resistance", even partly - your "full force" (planes, rockets, tanks...) can't do much. And "terrorist resistance" have all the time in the world to think "what, where, when", this game can be long...Again, I'm not "malicious" and hate to be right, but IMO - large mistakes are made in this "War against the terrorism". Is there less terrorism, is Iraq better place now?

---

Subject: Ah but this isn't just Al Qaeda....  
Posted by [Mr Vinyl](#) on Sat, 16 Jul 2005 20:35:35 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

It is Al Qaeda leaders... Hmm, You would think the leaders would stay clear wouldn't you??? Your suggestion that Republicans are all rich is silly propaganda. I'm not rich. And I think Kerry, Kennedy and Hillary have a few bucks no?

---

Subject: Re: "The walls are crumbling for these people"  
Posted by [Mr Vinyl](#) on Sat, 16 Jul 2005 20:44:48 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hi, Paleeze, Your side didn't run things from 1992 till 2000. If you recall the real people that make the laws/bills is congress and that was controlled by the Republicans in the time period you mention. Spare me the oil bit huh. If we wanted the oil we would have taken it during the first gulf war. We didn't take it then and we aren't taking it now. I agree about the open boarder. But it's the Democrats screaming bloody murder every time someone brings up the prospect of closing our boarders and stopping illegal emigration. Yes that's "illegal emigration" not undocumented workers as the Democrats now want to call them. Our "boys" as you put, it defended the American way of life. They died with honor protecting this country. Not for oil or anything else but freedom.

---

Subject: Don't worry so much... You're not right..(NT)  
Posted by [Mr Vinyl](#) on Sat, 16 Jul 2005 20:47:09 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

NT

---

Subject: Re: "The walls are crumbling for these people"  
Posted by [colinhester](#) on Sat, 16 Jul 2005 21:07:06 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

You wrote: "But it's the Democrats screaming bloody murder every time someone brings up the prospect of closing our boarders and stopping illegal emigration." Correct me if I'm wrong, but didn't Hillary Clinton meet the Minutemen volunteers and support their effort. I'm not taking sides on the ILLEGAL immigration issue, but the Republican Party (George Bush) has done nothing to close our borders. If you don't think this is an issue with "your" side, then please check out [freerepublic.com](#), a very pro Bush news blog. It seems most hardcore Republicans believe Bush

is doing very little to protect the AMERICAN people in terms of security or national identity.....Regards, Colin

---

---

Subject: This is a huge problem with both sides...  
Posted by [Mr Vinyl](#) on Sat, 16 Jul 2005 21:31:16 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hillary is a joke. She's trying to get elected president. No chance. But to say that the Democrats are for closing the borders is also a joke. Look at what's happening in California. The Dems have practically destroyed California's economy by insisting on paying for illegal emigration benefits etc. For the record. I am for kicking out all illegal emigrants and putting the national guard on the boarder with directions to shot to kill anyone trying to cross illegally. I'm not saying the Republicans are correct here. But I am not a one issue guy. The Republicans have always been for a strong military. I love the way the Democrats are now clamoring for a strong military when they have done everything they could to destroy the military every chance they got. This is one of the reasons Reagan got elected. Because Carter almost single handedly dismantled the military.

---

---

Subject: Almost agree  
Posted by [colinhester](#) on Sat, 16 Jul 2005 22:04:05 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hillary is not a stupid woman, and I would not consider her or her run for President as a "joke." I do believe our borders do need to be shut down NOW. Not with guns, but help the Mexican people make Mexico a place they want to live. For the most part, most Mexicans I have had contact with are a very proud and hard working group of people. Example: there are two new houses being built behind me right now. One house framed by an American crew and the other by a Mexican crew. The Mexican crew works from sun up to sun down six days a week. The American crew works from 8 or 9 until maybe 4:30. The Mexican crew is now on their second house. I agree that the situation in California is out of control (as well as other southern states.) Something must be done. I believe the government must go after those whom employ ILLEGALS. You cannot blame a group of people trying to better their lives. For the record, President Carter was pro-military; he was a 1946 graduate of the Naval Academy. Just curious, who is your front-runner for the Republican Party's candidate in 2008? Condi? I think she would be a formidable candidate and a good President.....Colin

---

---

Subject: OK. Terrific.  
Posted by [wunhuanglo](#) on Sat, 16 Jul 2005 22:05:16 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

nt

---

---

Subject: Re: "The walls are crumbling for these people"  
Posted by [Manualblock](#) on Sat, 16 Jul 2005 22:06:02 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

The boys died for oil. George senior was a reasonable man who would not invade a sovereign nation with the express purpose of taking their natural resources. The republican House was held in check by the Veto power of the Executive during the 92-2000 presidency; otherwise we would have been in an even deeper financial mess by now. Undocumented workers is a term coined by the Republicans. It is the Democrats trying to regulate immigration; the Republicans want to keep wages down.

---

---

Subject: Re: Almost agree  
Posted by [Manualblock](#) on Sat, 16 Jul 2005 22:08:54 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Why bother confusing him with the truth? Condi has no base of support. You would see Colin Powell before her.

---

---

Subject: Re: Almost agree  
Posted by [colinhester](#) on Sat, 16 Jul 2005 22:24:22 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

General Powell would be another fine choice. Thanks for reminding me. He does not, however, enjoy a strong Rep. base as does Condi. The Republican Party has a number of fine candidates. I still think the Rep. Party severely underestimates Senator Clinton. She will be the Democratic nominee. Period.....Colin

---

---

Subject: Re: Almost agree  
Posted by [Manualblock](#) on Sat, 16 Jul 2005 22:41:38 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---



Maybe you can help me out here. I am not usually oblivious; if someone has a prsonality flaw I can recognise it. So what exactly do you think it is with her? I see a bright, concerned, capable woman who can speak with intelligence and be compassionate without sounding patronising. Do the Republican men and women feel threatened by a capable woman?? I mean what is the problem with her? Condi Rice is a puppet; no strength of will, just manipulated by a nit-wit.I can't figure it out.

---

---

Subject: Re: Almost agree  
Posted by [Mr Vinyl](#) on Sat, 16 Jul 2005 22:42:18 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Carter was Pro military? Now that's funny. Hillary's run for president is a joke. If you don't thinks so, and the Democrats are stupid enough to nominate her then you'll see how much she loses by. Your theory that Mexicans are more hard working than Americans is also propaganda. Americans built our nation to what it is. Have you been to Mexico lately? Americans have to abide by American laws. Law breaking illegal emigrants don't have too. Yes that's right law breaking illegal's. They are criminals just being in American illegally. I could care less if the serial killer down the block from me was a hard working business man that helped out his community. He is still a murderer. Condi has about as much chance as Hillary. For-get-about-it. I think it's going to be Jeb! That's right the Democrats worst nightmare.

---

---

Subject: I notice you have absolutely no useful input except insults...  
Posted by [Mr Vinyl](#) on Sat, 16 Jul 2005 22:44:42 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Not to worry. It's what I expect from liberals. If you don't think I speak the truth why not set me right? I know you don't have time etc etc, blah, blah, blah....

---

---

Subject: Nice comeback. Glad we agree.. (NT)  
Posted by [Mr Vinyl](#) on Sat, 16 Jul 2005 22:48:21 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

NT

---

---

Subject: Re: I notice you have absolutely no useful input except insults...

---

Posted by [Manualblock](#) on Sat, 16 Jul 2005 22:56:11 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Au Contrair', I have plenty of time. What is it you need to know?

---

Subject: Re: Almost agree

Posted by [colinhester](#) on Sat, 16 Jul 2005 23:00:37 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I realize my frame of reference is limited, but I watch out my window everyday the Mexboys bust ass. This is NOT "propaganda." I agree that illegal means "illegal." There is no disagreement here. Close our border, President Bush, and quit coddling President Fox's wishes on immigration. I know our forefathers built this country, but I also know that I am not Native American. My families immigrated from England, Scotland, Germany and Sweeden, although not for the past 200+ years. My family has given its blood for this county, and I will never forget their sacrifices. I only hope for the best, so my children can continue to enjoy what you and I have enjoyed. We have the same end in mind, just different ways of reaching it. Jeb?  
Intersting?!?!?!? Colin

---

Subject: This is priceless.

Posted by [Mr Vinyl](#) on Sat, 16 Jul 2005 23:00:50 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

George Sr. was a reasonable man? Unfortunately your Democratic party wasn't so sure. Since the vast majority voted against the Gulf War. Not to mention the fact that the first unsuccessful attempt to discredit the Republicans by the Democrats was to say that they should have finished the job during the Gulf War and taken out Saddam then. Remember that? You said that the Democrats ran things between 1992-2000. This isn't true. The Republicans ran things. Clinton was nothing but an embarrasment to the nation. GW at least has restored respect to the office no matter how hard the liberal media tries to make it look otherwise. Undocumented workers is from the Democrats I assure you. If it was the Republicans trying to keep wages down they would be for illegal imagration. Isn't it the Democrats pandering to the unions trying to raise minimum wage every chance they get? Hmmm?

---

Subject: Yes, Your families were a "legal" emagrants.

Posted by [Mr Vinyl](#) on Sat, 16 Jul 2005 23:04:25 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

We should encourage legal emigration and discourage illegal emigration. Both parties should do this. Unfortunately both parties (I blame Democrats more for this though) are willing to sell out their country for votes. I believe we are not so far apart.

---

---

Subject: Facts (NT)

Posted by [Mr Vinyl](#) on Sat, 16 Jul 2005 23:05:09 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

NT

---

---

Subject: Agree 100%

Posted by [colinhester](#) on Sat, 16 Jul 2005 23:24:24 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I am all for LEGAL immigration and work visas (to some extent.) This issue will be THE topic during the next presidential election. Our country has had open borders for too long, and it is, as you pointed out, taking a toll on our nation. Please remember, Mexicans (and other nationals) are people too. They have wants and desires just like our past generations. They want a better life for themselves and children. They want a part of the American dream that we are fortunate enough to enjoy every day.....Colin

---

---

Subject: Thanks Colin...

Posted by [Mr Vinyl](#) on Sun, 17 Jul 2005 00:25:50 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Of course. Republicans are NOT the unfeeling, arrogant, money grubbing people we are portrayed to be. Of course Mexicans, like all people have wants and desirers, and only a chance to better themselves. There is a world of difference between "legal" and "illegal". And I think America welcomes the "legal" emigrants with open arms. It is emigrants that have built this country and it is emigrants that make it strong. I assure you, Republicans and I are all for "legal" emigration.

---

---

Subject: Hillary / Condi

Posted by [colinhester](#) on Sun, 17 Jul 2005 01:54:21 GMT

---

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I like Hillary, and she has my vote. Also, I think Condi has done a fine job with what she has been asked to do. She is not in a position to flaunt her own beliefs (Powell's downfall.) Yes, she is Bush's sockpuppet, but that is her job. I think Dr. Rice is very capable of forming her own opinions and would express them with elegance and dignity, as Hillary does.....Colin

---

---

Subject: Maybe...  
Posted by [Damir](#) on Sun, 17 Jul 2005 08:13:34 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Based on the your posts in this thread (16), vs. mine (2+1 joke), "full force" is on your side...

---

---

Subject: freerepublic.com  
Posted by [colinhester](#) on Sun, 17 Jul 2005 14:36:19 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Mr. Vinyl, If you are not familiar with freerepublic.com, you should check it out. It's where I get 90% of my news. Even better are the comments added. See link for one story I thought would interest you: <http://www.freerepublic.com/focus/f-news/1444749/posts.....>Colin

---

---

Subject: Re: Thanks Colin...  
Posted by [Manualblock](#) on Sun, 17 Jul 2005 14:37:37 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

You forgot a small detail. The current administration has lobbied for increasing the quotas allowing professionals from the Indian Subcontinent to emigrate here in ever larger numbers in order to lower the value of American labor in the fields of medicine and computers. Meanwhile the number of Swedes allowed in have decreased as well as Irish due to their requirement for a livable wage and refusal to work for slave wages like the Indians and Pakistanis.

---

---

Subject: Re: Facts (NT)  
Posted by [Manualblock](#) on Sun, 17 Jul 2005 14:39:02 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Yes but can you handle the facts?

---

---

Subject: Re: Hillary / Condi  
Posted by [Manualblock](#) on Sun, 17 Jul 2005 15:02:17 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Fair enough. It is hard though to be all things to all men. Condi has bought into that whole Henry Kissenger mid-east philosophy and as such is lost for enacting any meaningful national policy in that region. I like your reply to the insane concept of a third rate opportunist hack like Jeb as our national leader.?!?!?!?

---

---

Subject: Re: Thanks Colin...  
Posted by [colinhester](#) on Sun, 17 Jul 2005 15:15:26 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

That's why I qualified my work visa statement. I think it is a good idea (in theory), but is currently being abused. I've had the pleasure of working with a number of eastern Europeans that would be a true asset to our country; however, they have a very hard time getting sponsored and obtaining work visas for the very reason you state.....Colin

---

---

Subject: As someone posted. Unfreakingbelievable!...  
Posted by [Mr Vinyl](#) on Sun, 17 Jul 2005 16:30:02 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Thanks for the link. I'll keep an eye on it.

---

---

Subject: Re: As someone posted. Unfreakingbelievable!...  
Posted by [colinhester](#) on Sun, 17 Jul 2005 16:35:12 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Warning. This site is very addictive. The news is posted by members and beats Drudge for breaking news. I really enjoy the comments. Like I said before: it's a VERY pro-Republican / Bush site. I think you will enjoy it.....Colin

---

<http://www.freerepublic.com/focus/f-news/browse>

---

---

Subject: Re: Thanks Colin...

Posted by [Manualblock](#) on Sun, 17 Jul 2005 16:47:28 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I know you know; I addressed that post to our uninformed friend.

---