
Subject: Five Generals Now; Dumsfeld Must Go
Posted by [Manualblock](#) on Sat, 15 Apr 2006 12:14:15 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

If Five are out there now can we guess how many are still active and thus must remain silent? This is a cancer in our military that can only be excised with the removal of the Rummy. Then the shooter. Do the words LAME DUCK mean anything to you? Never saw it happen this early in the second term. But remember; a lot of people were fooled by all that phony rhetoric and bumper-sticker philosophy. I don't know how they could be so gullible.

Subject: Re: Five Generals Now; Dumsfeld Must Go
Posted by [akhilesh](#) on Tue, 25 Apr 2006 14:26:23 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

People don't like change. Rummy is changing the military: faster, leaner, more multiplication of force. That some Generals are complaining (6 out of thousands) is no big deal. From my analysis, he seems to have done an excellent job. Casualty rate in Iraq is low (as compared to previous military campaigns). This is NOT TO MINIMIZE the sacrifice of even one soldier in our armed forces or civilian out there. But it could have been much worse in the hands of someone incompetent or WORSE, political. Remember Somalia? Remember the Iranian rescue attempt? Both bungled by politicians running the war. Same with Vietnam. I am glad we have a non-political bunch of smart guys (Rummy & Dick) running the war. That is why we are winning and haven't had any attacks here seems to me. -akhilesh
