
Subject: So these are the things we know so far....
Posted by [Mr Vinyl](#) on Wed, 12 Oct 2005 11:18:10 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

1) The Alternative Minimum Tax was created in 1978 when Carter was President and the Democrats controlled congress. 2) The top 50% of tax payers pay almost all the income tax taken in. 3) The top 5% pay 53% of all income tax taken in. 4) And the top 1% pay a bit over 32% of all income tax taken in by the IRS 5) The Bush tax cuts, when all taken into account, actually raise taxes on the rich and did not lower them. Can we agree on these things? What is left to determine is if the rich somehow through tax shelters etc. are hiding a lot of their wealth. And that they are actually paying only 5%-8% of their income as Manual contends. While the lower tax brackets are paying a much high percentage of their income to taxes.

Subject: Re: So these are the things we know so far....
Posted by [Manualblock](#) on Wed, 12 Oct 2005 12:02:20 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hey man give me some time here. You read one one page blog and suddenly you're an expert. It's hard work getting at the truth. The next proof is that the wealthiest 10% pay less than they did ten years ago per capita and that the middle class under Bush pays more than the wealthiest 10%. Funny how you disregard everything said up to this point and use your one suspect source as the final solution to the debate. Sorry; do some research. If this is important to you get on the stick and find some facts. The Bush administration has been a disaster for the middle class and now: Everyone out there reading this... There is an initiative under the Bush administration currently in discussion to cut the MORTGAGE DEDUCTION on YOUR house to a maximum of 300k allowable. Watch out guys; here goes your future down the drain. And your children's legacy.

Subject: Re: So these are the things we know so far....
Posted by [Mr Vinyl](#) on Wed, 12 Oct 2005 12:37:13 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

You say: "Hey man give me some time here. You read one one page blog and suddenly you're an expert. It's hard work getting at the truth." No, I am no expert. Never said I was. That's why I looked it up. Turns out I was right. Now the US Treasury is a blog? You say: "The next proof is that the wealthiest 10% pay less than they did ten years ago per capita and that the middle class under Bush pays more than the wealthiest 10%." 10 years ago Bush wasn't president. So if you want to prove Bush's tax cuts were for the rich then you can only go back to when Bush became President and signed into law the tax cuts. I think this was 2001. That said the site referenced clearly says that the wealthiest are paying more. You say: "Funny how you disregard everything said up to this point and use your one suspect source as the final solution to the debate. Sorry; do

some research. If this is important to you get on the stick and find some facts. The Bush administration has been a disaster for the middle class and now: "I have not disregarded any you've shown to be true. You have not shown anything to be true. Up until this point you have only stated numbers that you say came from the IRS site, but have not shown where on the site you got them and in what context. The "One suspect source" was basically suggested by you. You suggested the IRS as a good source. I found a site by the US treasury that summarized the info from the IRS. Before you were willing to accept the numbers. Could it be a "suspect source" now because your having trouble twisting the numbers? As far as Bush's administration being a disaster for the middle class. Saying something doesn't make it so. You say: Everyone out there reading this...There is a initiative under the Bush administration currently in discussion to cut the MORTGAGE DEDUCTION on YOUR house to a maximum of 300k allowable. Watch out guys; here goes your future down the drain. And your childrens legacy. What's all this? Let's stay on point.

Subject: Re: So these are the things we know so far....
Posted by [Manualblock](#) on Wed, 12 Oct 2005 18:42:19 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

IRS.GOV Top Heading STATS. That should be all you need. Whats hard here?

Subject: Nothing hard here....
Posted by [Mr Vinyl](#) on Wed, 12 Oct 2005 18:57:32 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I already proved my point. I am not going to spend all day disproving yours. That's up to you.

Subject: Re: Nothing hard here....
Posted by [Manualblock](#) on Wed, 12 Oct 2005 19:07:44 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Whats your point?

Subject: Re: Nothing hard here....
Posted by [Mr Vinyl](#) on Wed, 12 Oct 2005 19:48:17 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

1) The wealthiest are paying almost all of the taxes taken in. And are paying more than their fair share.2) The Bush tax cuts hurt the rich and not helped them.

Subject: Re: Nothing hard here....

Posted by [Manualblock](#) on Wed, 12 Oct 2005 20:50:31 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

See if you can get anyone to stop laughing long enough to listen. Come on were just getting somewhere here; don't fade on me now. You must be a rich guy; thats the part I didn't get until now.

Subject: Re: Nothing hard here....

Posted by [Bill Martinelli](#) on Thu, 13 Oct 2005 01:05:10 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I found this while poking around the sites you guys are talking about.1) yes I agree the wealthy will always pay more. the top 5% is just plain bigger than the other 95%. As for payiong more than the fair share. It looks like if you have a good accountant you pay less than just going by the numbers. 2) I found this link that does not agree.
<http://www.ctj.org/pdf/gwbny.pdf>

Subject: Re: Nothing hard here....

Posted by [Mr Vinyl](#) on Thu, 13 Oct 2005 11:14:55 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi Bill,There are many such sites on the web that will tell you anything you want to hear. See link below. But they have an agenda and are twisting the numbers. The site I provided was from the US Treasury. Even ManualBlock agreed with the numbers until he realized it wasn't fitting his agenda.

http://taxesandgrowth.ncpa.org/hot_issue/share/

Subject: Re: Nothing hard here....

Posted by [Manualblock](#) on Thu, 13 Oct 2005 11:30:11 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hey; thats not true; don't speak for me then lie I don't appreciate it.You read the post wrong as usual because you have to read everything in such a way as to try and prove something that isn't true. If you wanted truth this would have been a learning experience except you really just want to prove a falsehood.Here's my agenda..Who Pays Taxes In America and How Much.BTW it's all on the IRS site you refuse to read. Thats where they all get their numbers from left and right.

Subject: I have read the IRS site...

Posted by [Mr Vinyl](#) on Thu, 13 Oct 2005 12:05:12 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Nothing you say is there. We I have shown you already "who pays taxes in America and how much"

Subject: Oh, and it is true. Here is your quote...

Posted by [Mr Vinyl](#) on Thu, 13 Oct 2005 12:07:29 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

You said:"While the site you show is accurate in terms of the actual percentage numbers it does not reflect the amounts of money and percentages of tax each slice of the pie pays."
