
Subject: 60th Anniversary of the end of WWII

Posted by [Wayne Parham](#) on Mon, 15 Aug 2005 04:01:02 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

A thought for all those that have fallen. Without them we'd be Nazis or Imperial Japs. Most of them were probably too young to have political interests. I don't know for sure, but I think the Muslim Nations probably would like nothing better than to rule America, to force us all to be Muslims. So my thoughts go out to the soldiers in Iraq and Afganistan and all other places too.

Subject: Re: 60th Anniversary of the end of WWII

Posted by [Damir](#) on Mon, 15 Aug 2005 07:14:09 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Huh, I think that we don't need WW3, this time against "Moslem Nations". I can see only millions of poor people, politicians, religion "used" by extremists, oil, neverending wars, politics... Interestingly, there are some states that don't have terrorist or "Moslem" problems, probably they have different politics...

Subject: Re: 60th Anniversary of the end of WWII

Posted by [wunhuanglo](#) on Mon, 15 Aug 2005 08:53:30 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I don't think you can expect to bring 150,000 of you closest gun-totin' friends to somebody else's back yard for a little shoot-'em-up and not generate some resentment.

Subject: Re: 60th Anniversary of the end of WWII

Posted by [Manualblock](#) on Mon, 15 Aug 2005 11:50:33 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Amazing; people still think this is about terrorism. The oil I guess just doesn't exist? I geuss the whole oil thing is just a little side trip in our defense of America against the Moslem hordes flowing over the ocean to eradicate our way of life. Eight years of Bush came from this backasswards thinking.

Subject: Re: 60th Anniversary of the end of WWII
Posted by [Wayne Parham](#) on Mon, 15 Aug 2005 16:23:56 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Here are three articles about Anti-Semitism in the Muslem nations. When a government ties itself to Islam, using the laws of the Koran as laws of the land, it sometimes views non-Muslims as infidels without rights. That pretty much allows them to do whatever they want with impunity. There are lots of other articles about this, some better than others. But the historical facts are pretty clear. Arab Muslim Anti-Semitism Muslim Anti-Semitism The Problem of Muslim Anti-Semitism Now I'm not saying that I want a world war, but I am saying that the Muslim Nations have been engaged in a "holy war" long before the American public ever realized it. Even now, we seem to want to be in a sort of denial about it, evidently because it is uncomfortable to think about. It's easier to find reasons why we should be sympathetic, understanding and "neutral." I'm all for those things, and I hope hostilities around the globe will de-escalate and no shots need be fired. But I'm not about to be in denial of the fact that the tensions are still high in several regions, and that there are lots of theocratic governments that use the Koran for their body politic and law. A little tolerance goes a long way, but it damn sure has to be bilateral or it isn't much good.

Subject: Re: 60th Anniversary of the end of WWII
Posted by [Manualblock](#) on Mon, 15 Aug 2005 17:04:05 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

O'Kay; that seems like a reasonable argument; so where does it lead? I would like to point out that up until recently there were many no Jews allowed facilities in this country. Coming from a state that has more people who are Jewish than Israel I can cite many anti-semitic instances in our country. My point? Every Religion is exclusionist by definition. Are the Muslims more violent? Compare the numbers of dead on each side is about the only way I could see to come to some conclusion. So we all know which way that wind blows. There is a case to be made that after WWII the Palestinians were kinda shafted by the Europeans who out of guilt took their land for the Jewish survivors as some kind of recompense for not doing anything to help them during the war when they were being exterminated. Because; hey, they are not Christians anyway. I am not a good candidate to absolve any organised Religion from the blood on their hands. Its an excuse to slaughter whoever doesn't believe. So tolerance is pretty scarce in all the worlds social clubs. To address your statement concerning denial; I would ask what is there to deny? Do the muslim nations fight for their Religion and their resources? Yes they do. So who doesn't?

Subject: Re: 60th Anniversary of the end of WWII
Posted by [Wayne Parham](#) on Mon, 15 Aug 2005 17:18:54 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

So would you have non-Muslims lay down and take their attacks? Don't misunderstand, I'm not

talking about Muslims or Islam, but rather Muslim Nations, those with governments setup as a theocracy. And I'm with you on the point about other religions that have been guilty of the same thing. I think it's a real bad deal. I think the Vatican has a lot of amends to make. But as long as they aren't making war today, I can let bygones be bygones. There's the rub. The Muslem Nations are making war today.

Subject: Re: 60th Anniversary of the end of WWII
Posted by [Manualblock](#) on Mon, 15 Aug 2005 18:20:44 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I would love to agree except I don't see any Muslim regular army in the streets of Manhattan; but I know they see our guys in Baghdad. I just really don't get how the Muslim nations are making war on us. If you refer to the Twin Trade Towers; wasn't that Saudi extremists that did that? I mean in every country there are extremists that do that sort of stuff; what about Timothy McViegh?

Subject: Re: 60th Anniversary of the end of WWII
Posted by [Wayne Parham](#) on Mon, 15 Aug 2005 18:41:05 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Muslim armies have not been effective, so they appear to have resorted to terrorism instead. I don't think uniformed soldiers are a prerequisite for politically motivated violence.

Subject: Re: 60th Anniversary of the end of WWII
Posted by [Damir](#) on Mon, 15 Aug 2005 18:58:15 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Israel is 50 years constantly in war with Muslim countries/people, and US politics is their ally. Simple as that, and that's how most of the Muslims see it.

Subject: Re: 60th Anniversary of the end of WWII
Posted by [Manualblock](#) on Mon, 15 Aug 2005 19:04:03 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

That may be true in terms of violence but armies are a pre-requisite for war. And so far I haven't

seen any evidence of a muslim army. Terrorism is a crime not a war. It should be fought by the proffessional crime fighters not armies and navies.

Subject: Re: 60th Anniversary of the end of WWII
Posted by [Wayne Parham](#) on Mon, 15 Aug 2005 19:12:08 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

That's right.

Subject: Re: 60th Anniversary of the end of WWII
Posted by [Wayne Parham](#) on Mon, 15 Aug 2005 19:16:36 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

No way. The American revolution was begun with terrorism because we couldn't initially put an army together. But our intentions against the British were pretty clear. The war was waged in a different way, using different tactics, but it was still a war.

Subject: Re: 60th Anniversary of the end of WWII
Posted by [Manualblock](#) on Mon, 15 Aug 2005 19:29:38 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Those were organised county militias given authority by the rebellion. Terrorism is a different definition. The parrallel to the Revolution is the insurgency. The original rebels of our history were not terrorists they were insurgents. Terrorists by definition have no tactical objectives except to sow chaos and fear in order to destructure the existing social organisation.

Subject: Re: 60th Anniversary of the end of WWII
Posted by [Wayne Parham](#) on Mon, 15 Aug 2005 19:45:33 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

It started off as acts of terrorism. An example is the "Sons of Liberty" dressed as Indians, who destroyed tea cargo as a reaction to the 1773 Tea Act. Later American colonies established local militias, which were not centrally organized because there was no Federal government to provide organization. It wasn't until 1775 that the Continental Congress established a regular army.

Subject: Re: 60th Anniversary of the end of WWII
Posted by [Manualblock](#) on Mon, 15 Aug 2005 19:57:38 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

The Sons Of Liberty event was a political protest, not a terrorist act. Militias by definition are not centrally organised or they would be called armies. They were insurgents and they were called that back then also.

Subject: Re: 60th Anniversary of the end of WWII
Posted by [Wayne Parham](#) on Mon, 15 Aug 2005 20:20:57 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

My point exactly. War is waged in many ways.

Subject: Re: 60th Anniversary of the end of WWII
Posted by [Manualblock](#) on Mon, 15 Aug 2005 20:27:28 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Not sure I get that. I mean thats self-evident. Terrorism is not war it's a criminal act and always was. Northern Ireland/Italy/Germany/Palestine etc etc all treated terrorist acts as criminal in nature. Just because after 9/11 they used that word as an excuse to invade Iraq doesn't change the meaning of it.

Subject: Oklahoma native
Posted by [colinhester](#) on Mon, 15 Aug 2005 22:48:08 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Wayne, Ever hear of this guy? He was an Oklahoma native and was awarded the Congressional Medal of Honor in WWII. He just recently died.....ColinCREWS, JOHN R. Rank and organization: Staff Sergeant, U.S. Army, Company F, 253d Infantry, 63d Infantry Division. Place and date: Near Lobenbacherhof, Germany, 8 April 1945. Entered service at: Bowlegs, Okla. Birth: Golden, Okla. Citation: He displayed conspicuous gallantry and intrepidity at the risk of his life above and beyond the call of duty on 8 April 1945 near Lobenbacherhof, Germany. As his company was advancing toward the village under heavy fire, an enemy machinegun and automatic rifle with rifle support opened upon it from a hill on the right flank. Seeing that his platoon leader had been wounded by their fire, S/Sgt. Crews, acting on his own initiative, rushed the strongpoint with 2 men of his platoon. Despite the fact that 1 of these men was killed and the other was badly

wounded, he continued his advance up the hill in the face of terrific enemy fire. Storming the well-dug-in position single-handedly, he killed 2 of the crew of the machinegun at pointblank range with his M 1 rifle and wrested the gun from the hands of the German whom he had already wounded. He then with his rifle charged the strongly emplaced automatic rifle. Although badly wounded in the thigh by crossfire from the remaining enemy, he kept on and silenced the entire position with his accurate and deadly rifle fire. His actions so unnerved the remaining enemy soldiers that 7 of them surrendered and the others fled. His heroism caused the enemy to concentrate on him and permitted the company to move forward into the village.

<http://www.army.mil/cmh-pg/mohiia1.htm>

Subject: Re: Oklahoma native

Posted by [Wayne Parham](#) on Tue, 16 Aug 2005 00:03:03 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I didn't know him, but I appreciate the link.

Subject: Re: Oklahoma native

Posted by [colinhester](#) on Tue, 16 Aug 2005 02:01:21 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

This was my gret uncle (Grandmother's brother.) I grew up hearing stories about his bravery. I never got tired of listening to them.....ColinPS, Ever hear of Bowlegs, OK? That's where my mother was born.

Subject: Re: Oklahoma native

Posted by [Wayne Parham](#) on Tue, 16 Aug 2005 11:16:14 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I had never heard of Bowlegs, so I just looked it up. Population 371. Oklahoma has plenty of tiny communities like that, some with interesting names. One that I always thought was funny is Okay, OK.
