

---

Subject: Re: New tungstols from SRS tubes and hickock results

Posted by [Russellc](#) on Mon, 03 Oct 2005 12:25:12 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

SRS recontacted me telling me to return them so they can "rescreen" them. I will not be accepting any B.S. explanations of how these tubes that three match and one is way off are still somehow "dynamically" balanced. Check out there SRS-webstore, their testing protocol is decribed as very exhaustive, but I wonder with this deal. I will definately be posting SRS's response to this and how they handle this. Previously purchased 6l6 tubes (SED) I got from them were spot on. I've been fooling with tubes a long time, and one drooping this far off is simply not matched to the others, dynamically, or otherwise. Now I am wondering about the overall low out put when compared to my 15 month old stronger testing quad set of SED 6650C. Perhaps I got a quad meant forfor the trash bin, who knows. I was a little taken aback by there response to an obvious problem and denial of the possibility that there extreme testing would allow a faulty tube come through. Like they never had a bad tube before... now they offer to rescreen...no one has said replace yet... will keep you all posted on how this plays out.Russellc

---