

---

Subject: Re: Dr. Floyd E. Toole

Posted by [Manualblock](#) on Fri, 01 Oct 2004 16:49:46 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Wayne Thanks again for those papers. Science in the Service of Art. Nice reading; nothing really new or to disagree with. The anecdotal description of the listening tests; while entertaining, have no real value w/o knowing the size of the statistical sample, of whom that sample is composed, and what other subliminal cues have been detected and neutralised. I know he takes a stab at doing just that and he states unequivocally that this is not a rigidly controlled scientific example. However it does postulate scientifically derived conclusions and as such requires some rigor. This is hard to debate because there are emotions and personal allegiances involved. Maybe that is the real subjective/objective conundrum. To be truly objective, you would have to be anonymous, and self-funded. None of those around. I will say this, it's a better debate than that travesty we were subjected to on Thursday night at 9pm EST. Jeez, what an embarrassment! To be continued.

---