Subject: Re: Is the difference in sound quality all in our head? Posted by Wayne Parham on Wed, 13 Feb 2019 17:10:12 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

That's all very true, Rusty. Glad you posted it.

The audibility of distortions and anomalies could be and should be viewed as a weighted set, with the most audible things factoring in more than the least audible things.

It's relatively easy to measure THD+noise, which is why it has been used as a quality test for so long. But if a system generated 10% second-harmonics and no noise or any other anomalies, the system would sound much better than a system that had 2% noise or high/odd harmonics. And like you said, intermodulation distortion is more audible than low harmonics too, especially even ones.

This is a set of anomalies in order of audibility:

- 1. High-level noise (completely unrelated to content)
- 2. Flutter, dropouts, etc. (usually caused by malfunction)
- 3. Dissonant signals (similar to noise, but may be related to content, just not by harmonics)
- 4. Large peaks in amplitude response
- 5. Intermodulation distortion (intermodulation creates dissonant signals)
- 6. High-harmonics distortion
- 7. Odd-harmonics (the higher, the worse it is)
- 8. Large holes in amplitude response (like missing treble)
- 9. Even-harmonics (again, higher is worse)
- 10. Low-level hum (usually related to power, ground or shielding)
- 11. Low-level white or pink noise (hiss)

Of course, if you have an extreme problem in one of the low-weighted areas, it may be more audible than a small problem in one of the higher-weighted anomalies. This list describes an approximate order of precedence if the content of each of the problem areas are approximately equal.