

---

Subject: Re: All that and you're modest too  
Posted by [Manualblock](#) on Fri, 23 Jun 2006 15:25:06 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Well the problem with that is two-fold as I see it. One; you have an unproveable hypothesis since to be considered the best in the world you can't just claim you ARE the best in the world and then tell the world to prove you are not. You have to prove that you are. Example; Mohammad Ali was criticised for saying he was the best in the world; but he found challengers then met them in battle and defeated them. He offered a challenger lots of money to beat him or even fight him. He went wherever he was told there was a challenger; including the Phillipines and Zaire. He didn't sit somewhere and demand that the people come to him and prove he was wrong. I tell you what; offer some serious cash to anyone who can best your stats and we'll see what happens. The second part is I don't agree with the smug part and the prick part; although I can sound arrogant at times. And i can't argue politics because no one will provide a reasonable premise to refute any arguments I may offer. Arguments require two sides of a point; not just saying someone is wrong.

---