

---

Subject: Re: More IP Nonsense

Posted by [Manualblock](#) on Wed, 22 Mar 2006 22:48:15 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

They claim that they own the game; that the game is an entertainment entity and the stats are an integral part of the game of Major League Baseball as defined in their corporate charter. There-for all events that generate stats also have an existence within the past present and future composition of the game. Because the stats are a tradeable commodity with value they treat it as say a book wherein the writings are an integral part of the book. This is the sort of twisted logic you get when you try to circumvent justifiable belief. If I believe that the stats have value then that value attaches to whatever entity I decide to form to utilise that value. The way I see it; the stats only have value when I prevent someone else from using them. They have no value unto themselves. That's the Fatal Flaw in much of the IP reasoning. That's why I believe that within the next ten years the courts will decidedly narrow the definition of IP; there is no other fair way to treat it. Otherwise you get nothing but situations like the MLB debacle. Or the Apple itunes mess where you actually purchase a song but can only play it on an apple unit. I don't think anyone can make a case for that sort of abuse.

---