

---

Subject: Re: Rudy Giuliani for President

Posted by [Manualblock](#) on Wed, 08 Mar 2006 02:45:38 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Yes but are the facts relevant to the situation. What does he say about the aging of the baby-boomers since it is a proven fact that from 35 on you are statistically much less liable to committ a crime. And the Baby-boomers of that age group predominate from about 1983 on since the baby-boom is considered to have begun in 1952. Next is it true that the more enlightened populations tend to have more liberal attitudes towards abortion; consequently those states with a population consisting of the better educated more enlightened would also be the states that have greater wealth per capita and that statistic equating to less crime. Said wealth resulting in generally smaller families since there is a direct co-relation with wealth and small families? Therefor the states with high abortion rates would also be those with the better educated more stable citizens who have less children to committ crimes as they acquire more wealth? And the cost of living in those states preventing the young less skilled and less educated who committ crimes from living there? And the states where these mores prevail also are less rural and consequently have more available medical facilities? Therefor the data resulting in higher abortion rates could be a consequence of greater ease in acquiring abortions drawing individuals from other areas where there are no facilities?What about immigration; since in the case of New York that is where all the young people came from and they are generally not the population who gets abortions. Just some thoughts regarding what statistics actually mean.

---