Subject: Re: I found it...
Posted by akhilesh on Fri, 03 Feb 2006 22:25:45 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

"That would be too much like a traditional conservative response."I try to be apolitical on the forums. What I posted was simply an article in the Washington POst. I am assuming it;s true..i.e. tha tIS HOW they voted? SInce it seems like the "conservative" justices were against the eminent domain ruling, it does not seem like a stretch to say maybe the other"conservatives" like roberts & alito will side with them on this one. -akhilesh---article snippet below----From the washington post:"Stevens was joined in the majority by Justices Anthony M. Kennedy, David H. Souter, Ruth Bader Ginsburg and Stephen G. Breyer.Kennedy's vote was something of a surprise because he had expressed strong sympathy for property-rights claims in past cases. But in a brief concurring opinion he explained that the New London plan showed no sign of improper favoritism toward any one private developer.O'Connor was joined in her dissent by Chief Justice William H. Rehnquist and Justices Antonin Scalia and Clarence Thomas. They wrote that the majority had tilted in favor of those with "disproportionate influence and power in the political process, including large corporations and development firms."