Subject: Re: 'scuse me (my take)

Posted by e-linear on Sat, 31 Dec 2005 13:59:37 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I have been silently following this thread and similar ramblings. Now here is my take on this Here are some things we must consider about any product which must be remembered by all moral law abiding men and women. The grade and purity of the materials used to manufacture the item in question as well as the expertise and knowledge which comes with years of hands on engineering and manufacture of any product is the key to a rewarding long Any product made to look or perform similar to or like another lasting cost effective investment. is a knock off, a fake, a piracy and simply "NOT AN ORIGINAL" To publicly advertise verbally or in any other manner that a product is like, similar or the same as another's product or intellectual property is not only plagiarism but is morally incorrect and criminally negligent to the There is more than one victim in this act. Not only is the Original Manufacture or owner of such trade marks and intellectual property injured, but so are the consumers who buy a plagiarized item expecting the same quality and performance as an ORIGINAL! Here is an I buy and dismantle a Wankel Rotary engine. I record all the data example: (unrelated but true) required to obtain the materials and manufacture my own. However, I change the designs to allow triple wipers on the Rotor segments and place mechanical valves in the Stator ports to farther regulate fresh and spent fuel flow. The design has now been significantly changed and I can claim That the Joe-"X" (My trade Name) rotary engine is superior to and out performs other's rotary type engines. But I can not claim it is Similar to, Based on, like the "Wankel" rotary engine. The use of the trade name Wankel is Plagiarism!