Subject: Re: The long and the short of it
Posted by MQracing on Fri, 23 Dec 2005 11:21:10 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Damir writes:::...you don`t have a claim to "Peerless" name, and DST has prior use...:::you should not play lawyer... when your so ill equipped to do so. OTOH I do have a trademark attorney... who has evaluated all of our trademark claims and assures me that we are on solid legal ground.For your information... prior use of the Peerless namesake as a producer of electronic transformers goes back to 1934. And the test is "first use" of...and further, rather than you playing lawyer... why don't you read post number 1450 in the dungeon and read the following once again...**********Posted by colinhester [65.27.130.154] on September 15, 2005 at 21:05:17:In Reply to: Re: in additon to other concerns..... posted by MQracing on September 15, 2005 at 20:46:18:I think the issue of the "Peerless" ownership has been resolved to both Wayne's and my satisfaction. It's clear you have ownership, and this board will respect your TM rights.....Colin*
http://audioroundtable.com/Dungeon/messages/1450.html
**********at least when Colin was the mod over on Group Build there was some objectivity and no HIDDEN AGENDA. You on the other hand have only been interested in seeing how you can harm our legitimate business interests and provide cover for Dougie.No wonder your forum is moribund. Your putting too much effort in how to dress up sleazy, deceptive business practices and too little effort into promoting a healthy audio envirnment.Also... again... the issue of registration of trademarks is not even close to being a determinative test... as federal registration of trademarks is not a requirement for the existence of a trademark.Again... try to read and comprehend the descriptions of what constitute's "passing off" and "misappropiation". And why these are condemned as deceptive business practices.msl