Subject: Re: better qualified than.... Posted by Bob Brines on Wed, 05 Oct 2005 20:29:13 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

The Constitution tells the federal government exactly what it can do. Everything else is left to the states. End of issue. If the people want the federal government to have additional authority, the people, though their state legislatures may amend the Constitution. Slavery and women's suffrage are two examples. Prohibition is a great example of what happens when zealots take over the process. We must be careful what we wish for because we may get it. Rowe v Wade is the current litmus test of ideological purity. The moral righteousness of the issue is irrelevant. There is not a shred of evidence in the Constitution that abortion rights is in the federal purvey. The Supreme Court legislated from the bench a right that does not exist. This issue belongs to the states, as directed by the Constitution. Some states will legalize abortion in all cases, some will outlaw it in all cases, the majority will find a middle ground. So be it. This is what the Founding Fathers intended. If the zealots on either side wish to force their opinion upon all of the states, they may do so through the amendment process. The Supreme Court does not have that right. Of course, here in Arkansas, I import food and manufactured goods from other states. This constitutes interstate commerce. Therefore, the Supreme Court gets the right to legislate abortion rights upon Arkansas through the interstate commerce clause. Sure, THAT's exactly what the Founding Fathers intended!Bob

Page 1 of 1 ---- Generated from AudioRoundTable.com