

---

Subject: you just don't get it....

Posted by [PakProtector](#) on Fri, 16 Sep 2005 01:52:30 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

You own one source of the design. You do not own \*THE\* design. You have rearranged the timeline a little bit to suit your own example. You ceased behaving rationally on that Labor Day morning you called to complain about my post on AA regarding PP or CT chokes. Some of the rest of your BS::: since the design is not patented... and since I will not sell you the design or give it to you... then you magically acquire the right to copy it, reverse engineer it, and use our name to promote your unauthorized copying efforts? I don't think so. You don't have the right to authorize anything except for a look at your paperwork. You did not purchase the right to control the design. You bought a document archive containing the design. Until I discovered a way around your impressive looking roadblock, I did try to convince you to let me at it. I did not use your name. You own a special letter P. I could see granting you the whole Peerless. Clearly what I created has no Peerless part number, so I will again thank you for promoting me to Altec Engineer. You seem to have taken great pleasure in creating this playing field so you can engage in Dog-in-the-manger. This would be a reasonable gambit if you had some means of protecting your investment. Since you don't, I am quite curious as to why nobody has gone to the trouble of doing it before::: You might be disappointed by my decision regarding the use, building, or disposition of the designs... but it's not your property and hence you have no right to tell me how I should manage my designs\assets\property. You keep saying that the design is your property. The piece of paper it is written on might be yours, but the design is up for anyone who wishes to go to the trouble of discovering it. It isn't mine for that matter. Since it is not yours( no matter how many times you say it, it won't come true ) , you should have considered your action and posture more carefully. You clearly seem unhappy with the idea that I not only know how to wind that one, but that repeating this performance with ANY old unprotected, unavailable OPTx is not only possible but likely::: Again, get over it. Already done. Accomplished it seconds after getting the first quote from Heyboer on the price of constructing those output TX's. The only person around here striking out( in both senses ) is you. I suggest that it is you who should get over it( what ever it is, only you can say ). And get used to the idea that we did a whole lot better before you called that Labor Day morning to argue with me. You were wrong then, wrong with the same analysis methods for the PP loading discussion, and you're wrong now. Look at the business you have gotten with Mssr. Lessard designing amps around your products. Do you think that cultivating a fostering and supportive relationship with me would have been any less profitable? The silly thing is that I am still willing to forgive you and prove it. cheers, Douglas