Subject: Some of the rest...
Posted by PakProtector on Thu, 15 Sep 2005 21:30:10 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I do admit to sellig them in the past. Represented as copies of the original, with special mods for use in my original circuit designs. On this: just the other day they were \$100 each (your cost as you stated)... and, if I recall correctly, a few days before that they were being offered at \$140 each. The prices were all announced as tentative and depending on final quoted price. I don't see it changing much from the \$86.and this: As just one tiny example... you mention that the original peerless was made with polyester. Nope. Polyester (mylar) was not even available commercially in 1948 when this design was introduced. This leads me to be very suspect of your tear down if you got this small point incorrect. I said this before by way of warning you about the differences between what was actually produced by Altec and what your theft-prone documents may show. It was SOP to neglect and omit details from blueprints to protect them. It would be my best guess that the particular one I took apart was wound after the development of Mylar sheet. Regardless, that OPTx had mylar contributing to insulation between primary and secondary layers. I submit to you that the design I am copying is a more accurate version of the S-265-Q then you are capable of executing with those particular drawings. And if you're convinced I made so many mistakes, that this cannot possibly bear any relation to what you claim to be the Peerless design, why are you *I won't be using your trademark 'P', so don't worry about that issue. You have proven ownership of that detail thoroughly enough. **********Please show me this 'good will' of yours. I just don't see it.and this: leave me and my company alone... don't use us.... don't abuse us.... and we can peacefully co-exist worlds apart without any difficulty. I have helped your company, even though you publically denied it after our disagreement on how a PP transformer loads the tubes when in class A operation. I will hear you retract your statement, as proof of your so-called 'good will'.cheers, Douglas