Subject: Re: since you have no interest in speaking....
Posted by MQracing on Thu, 15 Sep 2005 20:53:48 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Dougie wrote;:::You engage me by accusing me of theft of an item which cannot be stolen.:::I paid for the Peerless designs. I bought them. They are my property.:::However, as a warning, should you continue to misrepresent my actions, I do have both ability and motivation to(among other things) spread the information you so clearly wish to remain secret as widely as is possible. For example to China where these good designs will be devoured and applied in a very widespread fashion. As it stands, simply mentioning the ease with which the information can be attained suggests that it may already be in-progress.:::there you go with more of your threats. I'm just curious how low you will drop the price to try to get some comers and also how much you are really paying for these knockoffs since the price has come down from $225 a year ago to $86 today.... just the other day they were $100 each (your cost as you stated)... and, if I recall correctly, a few days before that they were being offered at $140 each.:::I have retained legal assisitance and it is a resource I will not hesitate to employ. I do hate to warn you of what not to do, but if you don't do it, that will be enough.::::my counsel, his name and address has been previously posted on this forum if you should need to contact him.::::So the final request to walk through this and see if we can reach some agreement on coexistance is hereby made and extended to you.:::we can co-exist perfectly well and wholly apart if only you not use my trademark and if you refrain from trading on my good will which includes not pirating our designs.The problem with piracy is... as you've stated above... your going to or are willing to take these to china to get made... you apparently have no strong commitment to quality. You seem to be more motivated in damaging me or trying to hurt my business than in building good quality transformers. But when these potentially sub standard items are produced, sold, and used... it is the Peerless marque that stands to be hurt by any deficiencies in the construction or performance of the units that you wish to hawk... and that unfairly hurts our business and performance reputation.As just one tiny example... you mention that the original peerless was made with polyester. Nope. Polyester (mylar) was not even available commercially in 1948 when this design was introduced. This leads me to be very suspect of your tear down if you got this small point incorrect.Again, take the high road. Look at the Williamson design... which is to the best of my knowledge in the public domain. Or have Heyboer go in their archives and dig up the stuff they did for (I think it was) Fisher years ago and have them adapt their own designs to meet your needs.leave me and my company alone... don't use us.... don't abuse us.... and we can peacefully co-exist worlds apart without any difficulty.msl