
Subject: Here we go again...

Posted by [Thrint](#) on Thu, 15 Sep 2005 09:54:33 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Alright Mike, the wording was poor. It should have read something like a custom modification to the Peerless S-265. It is aside from the additional taps, electrically the same. Same wire, same lams, better insulator paper. Leads and endbells, instead of that cool looking potting case. Actually, when I measured my copy at school, it was in a few critical areas like capacitance better than the original. You brought up your model of the idea competitor. I find it curious that you think it shyster-ish to produce modern copies of older designs, seeing as you seem so successful at it. I suppose it is the methods by which the winding instructions are attained. And that must of course be from the original creator of said designs? Wait a minute! you have already done that....nice circular logic which allows you to label any competition with such nasty and insulting terms. I am not buying it. There are several other winders who advertise the ability to make all sorts of cool Iron. At least one posts a list...Acrosound, Dynaco, McIntosh, Chicago, Peerless....I would of course invite you to offer your bid for the design. It stands at 16 pcs now. Of course I expect similar terms to what the folks at Heyboer give me. Payment *AFTER* receiving product is an important one. Speed is another. With a completed order delivered to you at the end of September, I'd like the Iron in my hand(and the rest of the customer's) by the end of October. Would you need more time than that? Just remember Mike, I am only a customer. Until Labor Day a few years back, I would have been one of yours. cheers, Douglas
