
Subject: Re: I'll Take A Stab at Part of This One
Posted by [Manualblock](#) on Tue, 20 Dec 2005 00:42:52 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Wayne and E-man; It is my position that the purpose of the media is not to guarrantly that every word they write is a fact. First there are no facts. Only perceptions. It's like the old legal maxim that says the most unreliable witness is an eyewitness. After posting on this forum for several years now I see that there is very little agreement on what constitutes a fact even in this little corner of the world. The purpose of media is to describe events. Thats what they should be doing. As far as bias in reportage; can we agree that the human brain is wired to operate under conditions of bias? So thats why we just tell what we see and then let the people ponder the meaning of the report. The Times is an imperfect example of perfection but it is all we have in terms of integrity. What would you use as a reference; Fox? The Daily News? So the responsibility of the reader is to bring his experience and intellect to the events reported on. At least thatts the way I see it. So let me ask; has there ever been an honest reporter; (except for Chris Mathews that is.)
