Subject: Re: McCain and Miranda
Posted by Manualblock on Sun, 18 Dec 2005 12:38:48 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

So; I got one right ehh?I read your post thoroughly and I think I see the moral ambiguity that seperates us. If torture of a human suspect in a wartime situation who is clearly an enemy combatant would provide information that would save lives and prevent mass scale deaths then I would say that the process had some validity.Lets agree that most proffessionals will say that torture doesn't work; because that is what they do say.Lets say that the threat of torture might coerce a suspect into confessing important info. That process as we have been shown would have to be carried out by a knowledgable and well experienced handler. That eliminates the possibility of speedy attainment of the info.So not only will we agree there will be abuses but those abuses will predominate as a result of the conditions required to produce good reliable info.Then we have some limits; should the torture be regulated? Or should the limitations of the torture be death if needed?I know you see where I am goingwith this so let me stop and turn another tack.Regardless of who you think we are fighting in Iraq; what I see is a very diverse group; some of whom are legitimate revolutionaries fighting against an occupying nation. Who will make the determination concerning whether the suspect is a terrorist or a true Iraqi soldier fighting to liberate his country? And is that wrong enough to require us to kill or torture the guy?There's more but I will stop for now and see what the response is.