

---

Subject: Re: To ManualBlock and others interested in our tax debate...

Posted by [Manualblock](#) on Thu, 13 Oct 2005 14:40:16 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Mr V; there's no way I am going to access PDF files of upwards of 50/60 pages and link each set of data to the site independantly when all you have to do is look at it. You refuse to accept that the rich have tax shelters and use them. When I describe what they are you disregard the description. You continue to insist that one page of charts tells the whole tax story; when you know thats not possible. You haven't responded to the first proof yet of how the wealthiest 400 pay less than the lower 93%. The 128k figure is listed prominently on the IRS.Gov site under tax rates percapita. Thats the highest rate for the top 5% and 317k is the lowest rate for the top 1%. This is not an accounting site. I gave you the location of the information and you will just have to break down and look at it. How can I respond responsibly when I offer you an example and you disregard it claiming you can't find that site..which is the first listing on google for IRS. It appears no one gives a shit anyway which is sad because other than Bill we could have some input into something of value. This is too much work just to refute one guy's opinion. I ask you give a response to the facts of the top 400 and what they pay in taxes. If you do that I can continue; but as of now it seems you ain't listening to anything I say. I am not bailing out if you want to continue thats fine but we need to accept whats true about the numbers first and the 400 top earners is a fact that needs to be addressed. Then we can approach each position one at a time. I even broke my own rule and linked it for you. This is not a competition it's a fact finding mission. Under Bush we the middle class are paying in total of all taxes more than ever and the rich pay less; the rich being those whose income; as I showed exceeds the top 1% of earners. Can you explain the 10% of earned income VS the 70% of capital gains and how lowering the capital gains rate has resulted in the rich getting much better return on their money than the middle class; thats an easy one and we both agree on the rate so what gives?

---