

---

Subject: ABX

Posted by [Manualblock](#) on Mon, 09 May 2005 15:53:52 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I need an honest answer. ABX testing is a methodology that is constantly disputed by the different experts in the field of psychoacoustics. Just one hit on Google brings up dozens of current research papers from respectable science departments of known universities. At what point does the concept that this is an evolving discipline and will experience revisions of the data and conclusions the same way every other science tool does become important to the discussion? I may be wrong here but it seems that the proponents of the ABX tests as they are currently performed consider the results they obtain to be absolute and incontrovertible. Is that possible in any science? Is this a dumb question? I mean every day they discover aspects of the brain that completely refutes long held beliefs. Look at the phenomenon of phantom pain; can a science explain that? I know the cursory explanation; a matrix of synapses that retain a memory of the missing limb and then creates situational responses to something that no longer exists? But the real explanation has not been discovered. In this they are clueless. Thanks; J.R.

---