

---

Subject: Re: C3 is cap for 2nd LP pole

Posted by [Wayne Parham](#) on Sat, 01 Feb 2003 04:12:16 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

That may very well be true. I didn't really take issue with the original values, and didn't pay that much attention. In my version, I needed the circuit to provide some peaking for the compensation circuit to provide the shelved region before top-octave compensation kicks in. I'd be happy to look over this stuff some more, in fact, it would be very interesting. And I appreciate your going into it further. But to be quite honest, my plate is just really, really full right now. It's not that I have no spare time in the next couple of months. It's that I don't have anywhere nearly enough time to even do what needs to be done. Know what I mean? But I'll look at your E-Mail ASAP.

---