
Subject: Re: an alternative design

Posted by [Damir](#) on Tue, 20 Dec 2005 13:48:39 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Now, that's a good info, thanks! Must find a time to read it more carefully....-)About C_w - $C_w=68\text{pF}$ is so good that I don't have any "criticism" - even with "worst case" $R_{out}=14\text{k}$ driver (pentode, cascode), we'll get $f_{-3} \sim 76\text{kHz}$, good. Even if this figure is doubled (140pF), "good enough" f_{-3} of $\sim 52\text{kHz}$ can be achieved with 300B tube...
