
Subject: Re: then there must be an error in the database
Posted by [MQracing](#) on Wed, 14 Sep 2005 13:02:20 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi Wayne:Just got off the phone with my trademark attorney and he informs me that our trademark is still in force. And we discussed several other relevant issues.I mentioned to him that the 622,592 comes up zip on the US Patent and Trademark Search engine... and he agreed that indeed that is odd.But he has copies of and I have the original certificate issued under the aforementioned registration number which was renewed on March 6, 1996.It's actually a pretty document... it has a gold embossed seal of the US Patent and Trademark office affixed to it. For the doubting Thomas' I can also tell you (as I hold the document in hand) that it is designated as form # PTO-134 (Rev. 8-89) and is signed by a Bruce Tehman (can't actually decipher the guys last name with certainty)...After talking to my attorney I am much relieved and reassured that we are indeed in fine shape as regards our ownership of the Peerless trademark as registered in the trademark office.wooo-wee!!!!msl
