
Subject: Re: Trademarks and intellectual property rights
Posted by [Manualblock](#) on Wed, 14 Sep 2005 12:04:38 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

This is a fascinating discussion that pretty much sums up the the state of the use of trademark on the net. I am not an attorney but in my reading I see two issues here. One is the cross-posting; ie answering a question that wasn't asked and asking a question that doesn't apply to the situation. Two; what I get from this debate is what I got from a casual question I posed to an attorney acquaintance which resulted in the response that a trademark is only worth what you are willing to pay to have it enforced. I realise that a transformer has many proprietary issues in it's manufacture. The final consensus up to this point is that anyone can build a trans just don't name it Peerless. But the name peerless also has a meaning indigenous to a part of the circuit parameters of a transformer used in many amplifiers. If one were to need to replicate one transformer that held all of the specs and techniques of the Peerless Trans; but that particular unit was not manufactured and required sourceing; how would you describe the unit in question? You would need to name it and at that point where does the status of the peerless name stand? That's my question.
