
Subject: Re: Tell Us About the Array you built or are building.....
Posted by [Ivor the Engine Driver](#) on Mon, 26 Feb 2007 19:34:40 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

> There aren't any flanges to trim on the rear entrance Neo's. You are incorrect. Go here:
<http://www.partsexpress.com/pe/showdetl.cfm?&Partnumber=275-035>> So let me get this right,
you can take me > to task for some minor semantic violation of the Griffin paper Uh, no, I didn't. I
took you to task because you said that it can't be an array if it doesn't couple to the floor and
ceiling. That's just silly.> But in your next post you can ignore one of the substantial > main tenets
of the Griffin paper which involves the effect of > vertical comb distortion by simply saying that you
don't care. I said I don't care because in my experience I haven't had a problem with it. My
alignment causes a theoretical combing problem at slightly less than 10k. I have heard nulls when
playing around with a frequency generator. I have never heard one when listening to music.
YMMV for any number of reasons. But since these are my speakers and my ears, I don't care
what you hear. I care only about what I hear.> We sure wouldn't want the un-informed here to get
the idea > that we can ignore the comb filter distortion issue. Of course we shouldn't ignore it. But
it's a far more complex issue in practicality that simply using a simple calculation and proclaiming
the results to be cast in stone. Griffin's paper discusses this very subject on page 13.> Will you
take the same level of responsibility for your > gaff for the newbies that you demand of me? The
only mistake I've made is arguing with a self-proclaimed expert who can't admit that he made a
simple and dumb mistake in a definition, and who then offers lies and strawman arguments to
defend himself.
