
Subject: Re: Line Array discussion

Posted by [Anonymous](#) on Fri, 03 Sep 2004 21:22:45 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dan made some odd comments days ago and I interpreted this as "The Griffin white paper is good, then bad". Dan's new message claims it's good again.*****Dan said;"I've read Dr. Griffin's paper, and while it is generally good, it does overlook much of the near-field issues that a good strong reference (Steven's recommendation of Fundamentals of Acoustics by Kinsler et al is excellent) cover."Then he said;"The paper linked is Jim Griffin's paper, and it's not accurate at all."<http://forum.soundillusions.net/showthread.php?t=38445&page=1&pp=15>Then he said here days later;"Anyway, IF you had to do a near-field line array, I think Dr. Griffin's paper is a good starting point, and said so up-front."*****It's not a big deal really, but it's easy to misjudge when the poster is sending mixed signals or changing their minds midstream :)
