Subject: Re: Line Array discussion
Posted by Anonymous on Thu, 02 Sep 2004 19:12:14 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I asked them to close that thread over there because nobody wasable to offer ideas on how to build a good sounding near fieldline array even though they claimed that Jim's white paper is flawed.The data they posted is fine, they explain the 'bad science' behindline arrays. It's good data. But the data seemed more useful to dissuade the DIY'er from making an attempt to build. I was expectingsome positive reinforcement on how to combat some of the issues.It's no big deal. I view this as an example where science clasheswith a subjective topic. The human perception of sound qualitymay not be measured variable, everyone has their own tastes in audio.analogy,You like Loudspeaker 'A', I don't like Loudspeaker 'A'.Speaker design is a game of compromise and even though a designmay have 'bad science', that is no indicator that the gremlinswill be audible.Even if there is mixed opinion on the sound quality of a design,that is no reason to discard the design either. But if a 100 outof a 100 people vote 'no' on design due to audition, then youmay have to come to the conclusion that you need a redesign of yoursound system.The specific part that I'm interested in is wondering how somethingwith bad science can be interpreted as sounding good. The onlything that comes to mind is the 'human equation'.